

Growing Alaska's Native Plant Sector

September, 2024



Homer Soil & Water
CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Casey Greenstein

Bonnie Bernard

Kelly Sivy

Jake Egelhoff



Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Interviews and Surveys	3
2.1	Methods	3
2.2	Response Summary	4
2.3	Responses	5
2.3.1	Background	6
2.3.2	Economics	14
2.3.3	Policy	18
2.3.4	Educational Needs	20
2.3.5	Demand	22
2.3.6	Timelines	33
2.3.7	Salvage	38
2.3.8	Supply	41
2.3.9	Propagation Expertise	45
2.3.10	Vegetation Mats	51
2.3.11	Final Thoughts	52
2.4	Discussion	52
2.4.1	Economic Considerations	52
2.4.2	Supply-Side Highlights	53
2.4.3	Demand-Side Highlights	53
2.4.4	Policy Challenges	54
2.4.5	Outreach and Educational Needs	54
3	Propagation Profiles Literature Review	55
3.1	Introduction and Methods	55
3.1.1	Regional Resources	55
3.1.2	Alaska-Specific Resources	56
3.2	Results	57
3.3	Discussion and Recommendations	61
3.3.1	Data Gaps	61
3.3.2	Information Accessibility	62
3.3.3	Considerations for a Data Clearinghouse	62

4	Vegetated Mats	64
4.1	Terminology and Disambiguation	64
4.1.1	Erosion Control Materials	65
4.1.2	Transplanted Mats or “Sodding”	65
4.1.3	Cultivated Mats	66
4.2	Transplanted Mats	67
4.2.1	Use Cases in Alaska	67
4.2.2	Ecological Considerations.....	68
4.2.3	Logistical Considerations.....	69
4.3	Cultivated Mats	70
4.3.1	Use Cases in Alaska	70
4.3.2	Production of Cultivated Vegetation Mats	70
4.4	Cultivated vs. Transplanted Mats	72
4.4.1	Ecological Considerations.....	72
4.4.2	Logistical Considerations.....	73
4.5	Potential Applications of Cultivated Mats	74
4.5.1	Small-Scale Restoration	74
4.5.2	Stormwater and Ornamental Plantings.....	74
4.5.3	General Production Notes	75
5	Partnerships, Coordination, and Collaboration	76
6	Discussion and Recommendations	78
6.1	Progress Toward Identified Goals.....	78
6.2	Roles and Responsibilities Moving Forward	78
6.3	Remaining Data Gaps and Next Steps.....	79
6.3.1	Scope and Scale.....	79
6.3.2	Advance Planning – Projects and Climate Change.....	79
6.3.3	Ecological and Biological Appropriateness	79
6.3.4	Monitoring and Adaptive Management.....	80
6.3.5	Technology Standardization, Development, and Analysis	80
6.3.6	Education and Outreach.....	80
7	Works Cited	82

Appendix A – Propagation Profiles
Appendix B – Recommended Reading
Appendix C – Collection Permits
Appendix D – Survey/Interview Questions
Appendix E – Network Diagram

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ADF&G	Alaska Department of Fish and Game
ANPMWG	Alaska Native Plant Material Working Group
BIL	Bipartisan Infrastructure Law
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CSP	Conservation Stewardship Program (NRCS)
DOT	Department of Transportation
ECB	erosion control blanket
e.g.	exempli gratia – for example
EQIP	Environmental Quality Incentives Program (NRCS)
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
i.e.	id est – that is
n.d.	no date
NGO	non-government organization
NPN	Native Plant Network
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
OWM	open weave mesh
PLANT	Partnership for Landscape Action with Native Terrestrials
PMC	Plant Materials Center
RECP	rolled erosion control product
SOS	Seeds of Success
SWCD	Soil and Water Conservation District
TRM	turf reinforcement mat
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFS	United State Forest Service
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Cover photos, left to right: *Chamerion latifolium* (dwarf fireweed, C. Greenstein), *Cornus canadensis* (dogwood, B. Bernard), *Lathyrus japonicus* (beach pea, C. Greenstein).

The Homer Soil and Water Conservation prohibits discrimination regarding a person's race, religion, color or national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, marital status, political beliefs, familial or parental status, or any other impermissible characteristic as defined by law.

This document was produced simultaneously with a “sister” document, <i>Growing Alaska’s Native Plant Sector</i> . There is some overlap, but also distinct difference between the two reports, and different target audiences, summarized below.	
Growing Alaska’s Native Plant Sector	Starting a Native Plant Business in Southcentral Alaska
Target audience: Professionals working in natural resource management or related field with an interest in native plant-related issues.	Target audience: Any individual or organization, private or public, interested in initiating or expanding activities related to native plants.
Introduction	Introduction
Body of report: -Survey and interview methodologies, responses, and discussion -Propagation profiles literature review methodologies, results, discussion, and recommendations -Vegetation maps literature review, filling data gaps -Partnerships, coordination, and collaboration	Body of report: -Ecological and biological considerations (why local plants are important, seed transfer zones, integrated pest management) -Business considerations (choosing production type, scalability, ensuring demand) -Best Management Practices by taxon and material type (seed collection, grow out, woody cuttings, salvage, ancillary/support services; graminoid, forb, shrub, tree) -Understanding the market: working with government agencies and NGOs, funding opportunities
Discussion and Recommendations	Closing Thoughts
Appendices (identical in both reports): A – Propagation Profiles B – Recommended Reading C – Collection Permits D – Survey/Interview Questions E – Network Diagram	

1 Introduction

The current state of native plant production in Alaska is often compared to the chicken-and-egg dilemma. Without native plant materials available, land managers can't require their use in restoration and reclamation projects. But until projects start mandating native plant material use, there isn't enough demand to grow the native plant industry. Which comes first?

With the current influx of federal funding (e.g., Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, BIL), we – as a statewide partnership of vegetation-minded individuals and organizations – can aim to produce so many eggs that the chicken must follow. In this analogy, native plant materials are the egg, and the chicken is regulations requiring and enforcing the use of native plant materials in restoration and reclamation projects.

Our chickens and eggs can happily coexist, if we act now with what we have: the right people, in the right place, at the right time, with the right resources. There are federal funds presently to break inertia, but these funds will run out. If we want a native plant industry to be sustainable, it needs to exist outside the boom-and-bust cycle of federal funding. Incentivizing non-government organizations and individuals to produce native plant materials will be needed to guarantee a sustainable industry. However, potential growers see the volatility in the market, question the profitability, and hesitate to get involved. We can start to ameliorate this situation by changing the language government agencies provide to contractors implementing projects, which typically specifies the use of native plant materials “as practicable.” With a paucity of materials, it is rarely practicable. These are two sides of the same coin. If we can remove “as practicable” language from contracts, we can ensure stronger demand for native materials, which in turn provides stability to private growers. This becomes a self-perpetuating cycle of increased demand (chickens) – increased production (eggs), that reverses the current self-perpetuating cycle of no production (eggs) – no requirements (chickens).

To keep the analogy rolling, this report celebrates our favorite yard birds by sharing research conducted 2023-2024 by the Homer Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD). This report shares what we've learned from surveys and interviews of 43 individuals, representing organizations from diverse perspectives: state and federal agencies, non-profits, botanists, foresters, farmers, and anyone with an interest in native plant production. We conducted extensive literature reviews to compile *Propagation Profiles* on 40 species, each detailing biological descriptors, and a summary of the best management practices currently available for collecting, cleaning, storing, propagating, and planting high-priority species. Another literature review was done to determine how to best grow vegetated mats for use in restoration and created an experimental design to test multiple techniques. We also explored scalability of endeavors, to encourage inclusivity and reduce barriers to implementation for those interested in starting a native plant business.



The geographic scope of this project focuses on Southcentral Alaska, but much of the work is applicable throughout the state. We hope that this report is useful for anyone interested in native plants, as well as the diverse and talented team participating in the Alaska Native Plant Collaborative. We hope this report serves as a resource to guide our education and outreach strategies, inform training opportunities for growers and seed collectors, connect supply and demand, build partnerships, support collaboration, and identify areas for future research and growth.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Alaska State Office for funding the production of this document. Thanks also to the financial support of the United States Forest Service (USFS) Chugach National Forest and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Business Development program for additional financial support that has allowed us to develop Homer SWCD's Native Plants Program.

We are grateful for the guidance and support provided by Ann Erickson and Aliza DuComb at the BLM State Office, as well as for the initiative and collaboration spearheaded by Amy Tippery and Lisa Dlugolecki at the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Fairbanks office. Pat Holloway, Professor Emerita of Horticulture with the University of Alaska Fairbanks and Georgeson Botanical Garden, shared her tremendous experience in native plant research with us and greatly improved the quantity and quality of the species-specific information contained in this document. Homer SWCD's District Manager, Kyra Wagner, never fails to remind us that *a rising tide lifts all boats*, and the work we put into this report elevates the work done across the state to develop a viable native plants sector. It's been a pleasure working with the Homer SWCD Native Plants team. This project would not have come together if not for Bonnie and Kelly's brains, which bear a remarkable similarity to a relational database; and to Jake for his unflagging ability to talk to anyone about anything. Lastly, we thank all the interview participants who let us lob way too many questions at them in the name of science and industry.

2 Interviews and Surveys

Conversations around native plant production have been ongoing throughout Alaska. However, they can be siloed, disparate, casual, and/or undocumented. We aimed to remedy this with a structured approach, diverse and ample interviewees, and vigorous note taking. The results have helped glue together many separate conversations to form a more holistic – and useful – representation of relevant issues.

2.1 METHODS

Homer Soil and Water Conservation District conducted interviews and completed standardized survey forms with individuals and organizations across Alaska in winter 2023-2024. The survey team compiled a list of potential interview subjects, based on their known or assumed interest in native plant supply, demand, and/or expertise. This captured federal and state agencies, tribal entities, non-profits, educators/researchers, landscape and arborist contractors, restoration practitioners, local growers, and one retailer. We applied a snowball sample technique, so that when we were referred to another interested party during an interview, we added them to the interview list.

Interview/survey questions were derived largely from professional experience regarding the needs of the native plant sector in Alaska and conversations with collaborators. We also referenced the [National Academy of Sciences 2023 report, "An Assessment of Native Seed Needs and the Capacity for Their Supply"](#) for general guidance on data collection needs.

Interviews were conducted by two Homer SWCD staff, either jointly or separately, and all interview questions were compiled into a standardized survey form hosted in Google Forms (Appendix D). These were shared with interviewees in advance of our conversation. They had the option to either fill out the form independently, then walk through the questions and responses with Homer SWCD staff, or have Homer SWCD fill out the form responses throughout the course of the interview. All the interview/survey questions were considered optional; some respondents answered all of them, others answered a subset based on relevancy to their position. We used this approach in hopes that it would maximize participation.

Up to three attempts were made to contact a potential interview subject, and if no response was obtained after three tries, they were considered a "non-response." Some respondents were deemed "ineligible" if they were no longer in business or were not active in the native plant world. A survey was considered "partially completed" if the respondent filled out the form online but did not participate in a follow-up interview.

Interviews were held by video conference, telephone, or in person, and often led to topics not captured in the survey/interview questions, that were of specific interest to the interviewee. Recordings or transcripts were not created; Homer SWCD staff took notes during the interviews to capture relevant information outside what was included in survey/interview questions.

The survey included both closed and open-ended questions. All questions were entered into an Excel spreadsheet and personal identifiers were removed for the confidentiality of the respondents. Closed questions were cleaned and quantified. Open-ended questions were cleaned and coded into thematic categories.

2.2 RESPONSE SUMMARY

A total of 69 individuals were contacted to take part in the survey and/or interview. From these invitations, 41 online surveys were completed; and of these, 34 completed an interview with HSWCD staff.

69 INVITATIONS →
41 ONLINE SURVEYS COMPLETED →
34 ONLINE SURVEYS + INTERVIEW COMPLETED

Of the 69 invitations, 21 individuals either did not respond, forwarded the survey to a coworker they deemed better suited to answer the questions, or were not available during our interview window of December 2023-February 2024. Another 7 were removed from consideration because they were either not active or interested in native plants.

Forty-one survey responses were filled out by a total of 43 participants (some survey responses capture two individuals from the same organization; these are noted with an asterisk on the table below). These are broken down by category and subcategory in Table 1. We had a relatively strong and even representation between state and federal agencies, as well as a variety of private entities. The non-profits included are specifically focused on botany and/or natural resources. The University of Alaska is minimally interested or involved in native plant work, so is represented by relatively few individuals. Similarly, there is only one active native plant retailer in Southcentral Alaska.

Table 1. Summary of survey and interview participants.

Category	Subcategory	Count
Federal		9
	Army Corps of Engineers	1
	Bureau of Land Management	1
	National Forest Service	3
	National Park Service	2
	Natural Resource Conservation Service	2
State		9
	Department of Fish and Game*	1
	City Government	1
	Department of Transportation	2
	Soil and Water Conservation District*	3
	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry	1
	Department of Natural Resource, Division of Agriculture - Plant Materials Center	1
Tribal Conservation District		3
University		2
Non-profit		5
Private		12
	Arborist contractor	1
	Landscape contractor	3
	Consultant	1
	Private grower	6
	Other	1
Retailer		1
	Total	41

* Interview included two respondents from the same organization

Gaps in our sample group include the Alaska Seed Growers Association, whose members were unavailable for a response, and we would have preferred more input from tribal entities. We intend to pursue further discussions with these groups in the future.

We maintained and counted data for every survey response provided, so some entities were captured more than once. This may skew the data slightly, but we chose this approach to capture a diversity of insights from within a single organization.

2.3 RESPONSES

Some questions reference the “Alaska Native Plants Program.” This name refers generally to the statewide Alaska Native Plant Collaborative, or anyone interested in developing the native plant sector and participating in any aspect of native plant-related work.

Questions were open format except where otherwise noted as “multiple choice” or “select all that apply.”

2.3.1 Background

Surveys opened with 7 questions applicable to all respondents to gauge their interest, capacity, and involvement regarding native plant-related activities, both at present and in the future.


Ranked Interest

Rank your level of interest in working with native plants on a scale of 1-5. (multiple choice)

Nearly all respondents chose “4” or “5.” Only one chose “3,” and no one selected lower values. This is not surprising, as we selected survey participants based on their perceived interest in native plant-related activities.

Ranked Interest on Scale of 1-5

- Med: 3/5
- Med-High: 4/5
- High: 5/5




Current Status with Native Plants

How would you describe the current status of how your organization utilizes native plants, and the goals you have for the future?

Current Status

Answers provided were incredibly broad and varied and were coded to reflect common themes.

How organizations use native plants



- *Restoration* was sometimes used as a standalone term, or in conjunction with related terms, which include remediation, rehabilitation, reclamation, revegetation, stabilization, erosion/sedimentation control, and invasive species exclusion.
- *Education and outreach* include specific mention of connecting people with place, strengthening bonds with the environment, increasing participation in conservation activities, and emphasizing cultural importance.
- *Production* appears exaggerated when graphed, as this comprises small-scale harvest or propagation efforts, new startups, or other entities not selling in quantity on the open market; it includes only one commercial seller.
- *Habitat enhancement* includes specific mention of moose, pollinators, and fish.

Federal and state agencies – often the sources of funding to carry out native plant activities – strongly contributed to the emphasis on restoration and reforestation. The lack of research, brokerage, and landscaping points to opportunities for growth.

Overall, many respondents noted the lack of plant material availability impacted their ability to use them, and called for increased quantity, diversity, and genetically appropriate plant materials.

Future Goals

Responses were frequently omitted or implied to be the same as current use. Where a response was specifically included, it was simply put: “increase capacity,” “continue use,” and “incorporate more forbs.”


Resources Available

What resources do you have available regarding infrastructure, land, transportation, expertise, and workforce to implement native plant projects?

This question was presented as open format which made synthesis difficult; in retrospect, it should have been presented as multiple choice. Self-reporting skewed the data, with many participants omitting things that our research staff are aware they have access to. For example, since Homer SWCD was conducting the surveys/interviews, we had only one formal response from a staff member who focuses on forestry and habitat enhancement, so the survey didn’t capture our Agricultural Program’s expertise. In other instances, an organization we work closely with underreported their staff’s breadth of expertise or available growing space. We attempted to adjust the data accurately, and left responses as reported if we were uncertain of available resources. Moreover, this question was redundant to responses from the subsequent question “What expertise do you currently have in-house regarding botany and restoration?” We addressed this by combining results from these two questions in the graphs below.

Outdoor growing space appears to be the most abundant resource available. These include 5 outdoor nurseries that are specifically growing native species. Indoor growing spaces, such as

greenhouses, high tunnels, or low tunnels are limited. Farming and seed cleaning equipment is even more limited. Additional available resources noted by participants include box trucks, trucks and trailers, handheld seed harvesters, and warehouse space.



Overall, there was a great deal of overlap between those with expertise in botany, horticulture, and agriculture. Restoration was also well represented. The sole source of seed expertise is from the Plant Materials Center (PMC).



Several additional expertise categories were provided by participants; however, they were omitted from the graph because are ancillary to the core expertise categories, and each was only mentioned once: project management, retail/brokerage, range and pasture specialist, and environmental analyst/manager.

We attempted to capture whether an organization had adequate staffing to meet their goals regarding native plants, but this changes over time and is not adequately reflected in responses. We did learn that at least 2 organizations specifically called out their lack of work force, 2 frequently relied on volunteers, 8 relied on contractors, and 8 had seasonal seed collectors available.

Regarding available funding, several state and federal agencies have opportunities for grants, contracts, and partnerships, but these fluctuate over time. Two programs have funds to

disburse, and while they can't go directly to native plant material production, they can incentive their use by property owners.

One contractor mentions collaborations and partnerships as a resource; that is, their ability to connect private landowners with contractors, state agencies, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), to facilitate growing the local native plant network. Two respondents stated they had "all" resources available to them, indicating that their organizations were already set up and highly capable of working with native plants. Three respondents said they had no resources and were attempting to find ways to get involved in native plant work, regardless.


Expertise

What expertise do you currently have in-house regarding botany and restoration? Do you have a need or interest in hiring someone with expertise?

The first part of this question was incorporated into the preceding response summary. Of those that responded to the second part of the question, regarding a need or interest in hiring, 18 had no intention of hiring, 9 are considering hiring, and 5 said possibly and/or in the future. Additional comments include:

- Hiring seasonal staff for native plant work.
- Hiring agronomists.
- Hiring or contracting a restoration specialist.
- Hires a botanist as needed for specific projects.
- Possibly hiring in the future for an ecologist/naturalist.
- Would provide referrals to a botanist.
- Need support in research, writing, and seed collection; considering interns or graduate students.
- Might hire but would prefer to develop skills in existing staff.
- Two responded they would like to hire but have no funding.

Interested in Hiring Staff for Projects Related to Botany and/or Restoration?




Involvement in the Alaska Native Plants Program

Would you or your organization like to be involved in the Alaska Native Plants Program? If so, how would you like to be involved?


Many respondents (85%) said that they would like to be involved or are already involved. The other 15% said that they would possibly be interested depending on availability, workload, and level of involvement required. No respondents declined.

Responses for how they would like to be involved were categorized by theme. At this early stage in developing Alaska's native plant sector, most respondents were unsure how they could participate. This was followed closely by being involved in networking, developing partnerships, and communication; education and outreach, and sharing ideas and information.

Interest in Participating in the Alaska Statewide Native Plants Program



How Would You Like to Be Involved in the Statewide Native Plants Program?



Support Requests

What services or support would you like the Alaska Native Plants Program to provide? (Species-specific and education-specific questions to follow.)

The list below summarizes common responses.

MARKETPLACE (ONLINE)

CREATE AND HOST A MARKET FOR THE BUYING AND SELLING OF NATIVE PLANTS.

- FOR BUYERS: PROVIDE A LIST OR DIRECTORY OF GROWERS AND KEEP IT MAINTAINED.
- FOR SELLERS: PROVIDE A LIST OR DIRECTORY OF WHAT SPECIES AND QUANTITIES ARE NEEDED BY PROJECT OR AGENCY.

FUNDING AID

CLARIFY FUNDING MECHANISMS AND PATHWAYS TO REDUCE BARRIERS TO IMPLEMENTATION.

- CONNECT POTENTIAL GROWERS WITH FEDERAL AND STATE FUNDING SOURCES.
- SHARE NOTICES OF GRANT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES.
- ASSIST IN GRANT WRITING.
- SUPPORT PRODUCERS IN DEVELOPING MULTIPLE REVENUE STREAMS.
- CREATE A SELF-SUSTAINING NATIVE PLANT ECONOMY, INDEPENDENT OF FEDERAL FUNDING BOOM-AND-BUST CYCLES.

NETWORKING AND CONNECTIONS

HOST A COLLECTIVE WORKING GROUP AND ENCOURAGE INCLUSION AND PARTICIPATION WITH DIVERSE GROUPS AND STAKEHOLDERS.

- ACT AS AN INTERMEDIARY.
 - CONNECT INTERESTED PARTIES STATEWIDE.
 - FACILITATE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN LANDOWNERS AND ORGANIZATIONS.
 - FACILITATE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN END USERS AND PRODUCERS TO ENSURE SATISFACTORY PRODUCTS.
 - POOL NEEDS FOR LARGE-SCALE COORDINATED PURCHASES.
- COORDINATE AND/OR SUPPORT OTHER ORGANIZATIONS WITH SIMILAR GOALS.
 - PROVIDE GUIDANCE IN SEED COLLECTION, NURSERY MANAGEMENT, ETC.
 - SUPPORT NEW AND GROWING BUSINESSES TO PRODUCE PLANT MATERIALS.
- HOST A LOCATION FOR JOB POSTINGS.

INFRASTRUCTURE

- INCREASE PLANT MATERIAL PRODUCTION TO MEET MARKET DEMAND.
- INCREASED SMALL-LOT SEED PROCESSING CAPACITY.
- DEVELOP LARGE-SCALE GREENHOUSE SPACES.

DATA REPOSITORY (WEBSITE)

CREATE A ONE-STOP SHOP WEBSITE THAT HOSTS A CENTRAL REPOSITORY FOR DATA AND KEEP IT UPDATED.

- SPECIES-SPECIFIC DATA
 - DEVELOP AND HOUSE SPECIES-SPECIFIC PROPAGATION PROTOCOLS.
 - CREATE RECOMMENDED SPECIES LISTS BY REGION, BASED ON WHAT HAS BEEN SUCCESSFULLY PROPAGATED AND/OR USED IN RESTORATION OR SIMILAR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT ALASKA.
 - CREATE AN OPEN DATABASE SPECIFIC TO ALASKAN SPECIES.
- PROVIDE CASE STUDIES OF RESTORATION/SEED SAVING/PROPAGATION IN ALASKA AND SIMILAR HIGH-LATITUDE REGIONS.
- PROVIDE BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR ALL ASPECTS OF PLANT WORK: COLLECTION, PROCESSING, STORAGE, PROPAGATION, GROW OUT, OUTPLANTING, MONITORING, ETC.
- HOW-TO GUIDE FOR RESPONSIBLE HARVEST AND OBTAINING PERMITS TO COLLECT ON LANDS UNDER VARIOUS OWNERSHIPS.
- PROVIDE A PLATFORM FOR COMMUNICATION AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING.
 - HOST A DISCUSSION BOARD FOR PEOPLE TO POST QUESTIONS AND SEEK ADVICE.
 - HOST A DATA PORTAL WHERE PEOPLE CAN SHARE SUCCESSES AND FAILURES WITH PROPAGATION, OUTPLANTING, ETC.
 - PROVIDE CONNECTIONS TO THOSE WITH EXPERTISE.

EVENTS

- CREATE AND HOST AN EVENT CALENDAR FOR NATIVE PLANT MEETINGS AND RELATED ACTIVITIES.
- HOST WORKSHOPS, DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS, PRACTICUMS, TRAININGS, FOR EXAMPLE:
 - STREAMBANK RESTORATION.
 - ROADSIDE RESTORATION.
 - PLANT SALVAGE IN AREAS SCHEDULED FOR GROUND DISTURBANCE.
 - VARIOUS BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.
 - EVENTS TAILORED TO DIFFERENT GROUPS, SUCH AS THE GENERAL PUBLIC, CONTRACTORS, AND GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, ETC.

OUTREACH AND EDUCATION


TARGETED TO PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC:

- THE IMPORTANCE AND VALUE OF USING NATIVE PLANT MATERIALS.
- USING NATIVE PLANTS IN THE HOME GARDEN AND GROWING YOUR OWN.
- REPLACING TURF WITH NATIVE SPECIES.
- HIGHLIGHT TOPICS SUCH AS FIRE-ADAPTED SPECIES AND ASSISTED MIGRATION.
- POINT TO AVAILABLE RESOURCES, E.G., A PUBLIC FACING WEBPAGE (SEE DATA REPOSITORY HEADING ABOVE). HOW TO SOURCE AND INSTALL NATIVE PLANT MATERIALS (E.G., CONTRACTOR LIST).
- PROVIDE POINT OF CONTACT FOR NATIVE PLANT-RELATED QUESTIONS.

Networking Status

Have you spoken with any partner agencies, individuals, or groups about native plant production? If so, with whom, and what was discussed?

This question was intended to gauge how much networking has already taken place. We summarized responses by entity type to maintain confidentiality and look for a meaningful pattern. In general, there appears to be good communication both within and among federal and state agencies. There was also strong communication from federal and state agencies with NGOs, tribal entities, and non-profits (these are summarized on the graphs as NGOs for readability).



*Abbreviation of NGOs includes tribal entities and non-profits

Of interest, those that indicated they had not spoken with federal or state agencies, or NGOs, were all contractors or private growers; within this group they report talking amongst themselves (e.g., work referrals), with private landowners (i.e., clients), and local nurseries. Responses of *Unspecified “many”* were equally represented across federal, state, tribal, and private entities.

Additionally, as Alaska only has one broker/retailer, they note communication only with the Alaska Seed Growers Association and PMC.

Regarding what was discussed, many participants declined to answer. The responses received revolved around:

- Fundings opportunities.
- Sourcing plant materials.
- Increasing production.
- Requesting species-specific recommendations for growing (supply) or planting (demand).
- Networking, cooperating, building partnerships.
- Increasing access to knowledge and skills.
- Developing growing sites like nurseries or greenhouses, and storage sites.
- Plant salvage.
- Seed collection.
- Generating interest.

2.3.2 Economics

Understanding the economics of a nascent industry is impracticable without basic financial data. Instead of trying to quantify costs of production and hypothetical prices for native plant materials, we provided an open-format and optional prompt regarding economic considerations.

Economic Comments

Briefly summarize the following as they apply to your organization:

- Funds available
 - Funds pending
 - Funding sources
 - Funding limitations
 - Transportation costs
 - Staffing rates
 - Contracting rates
-

Responses are summarized below by theme.

Funding Sources

Federal agencies that responded have current funding through the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL). That funding is distributed to federal land management agencies based on project proposals, and then distributed to grant or agreement applicants. Currently some of these funds are earmarked for native plant work through this fiscal year, but not necessarily in the future. Federal agencies continue to request these funds so they can pass them down to state agencies (including SWCDs), tribal entities, and NGOs. As of 2024, funds available range in the hundreds of thousands.

Two limitations for using these funds are setting up agreements and/or contracts quickly enough to spend funds before they expire, and a paucity of existing partners and contractors to establish

these agreements/contracts with quickly. For these reasons, they would like to have more involvement from tribal entities and the State of Alaska.

Another limitation is that federal agencies are typically required to spend funds exclusively on their own lands. There may be leeway to support native plant nursery development off their lands, with the caveat that plant materials produced go back to the funding agency lands.

One respondent mentioned the need for more support for Alaska's native plant projects from federal agency leadership.

By contrast to other federal agencies, the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) is specifically set up to work with private landowners to address resource concerns on their actively managed agricultural lands. These may be both private and public lands, in the case of a permit/land lease). Assistance from the Natural Resources Conservation Service can be both technical and financial for individuals and private entities, and funding varies each year. As of 2024, they have significantly more funds to disburse than previous years. Their two primary pathways for distributing funds is through the [Environmental Quality Incentives Program](#) (EQIP) and [Conservation Stewardship Program](#) (CSP).

At the state level, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) runs a [Cost Share Program](#), which provides financial support and incentives to private landowners to improve habitat along streambanks and shoreline. The limitations faced by the Cost Share Program are that it's grant funded, and consequently relies on staff to apply and win grant agreements, and it can only assist property owners with waterfront properties.

The Alaska Department of Transportation (DOT) is likely the largest user of grass seed in the state. Funding for their projects varies: those funded by the state are strapped for cash, while those funded at the federal level could potentially cover all costs for native plant revegetation.

Additional comments provided by NGOs include the lack of funds to support certain non-profits and private growers. One tribal entity reports they have never had issues getting funding for native plant projects. One contractor/consultant shares that they have successfully obtained contracts from private industry, NGOs, and private property owners.

Growers

Prospective native plant growers face issues of both funding and logistics.

As mentioned, federal funds are limited in their applications, and typically can only be disbursed to state agencies, non-profits, university affiliates, etc., to the exclusion of private for-profit organizations. Even if a grower were to win a government grant or contract, funding volatility is a concern. Often growing enough product for a project or commercial sale can take 3+ years and such timelines rarely align with federal funding award periods.

Logistically, farmers need assurances that the species and material type they choose to grow will be profitable. First, there needs to be more economic research. Second, there needs to be education and outreach to potential growers, so they can produce plants that are both in demand and profitable. Third, as the number of growers increases, there will be increased demand for native seed or other propagative materials to start grow operations. Fourth (and simultaneously with prior steps), we need to bridge the gap between growers and markets.

Better Business

Several respondents provided comments that are summarized here as methods for “better business.” For example, there’s a need for accurately appraising ecosystem functions and proactive financial planning to protect resources. Investing in environmental project planning is expensive, but likely cheaper than fixing mistakes; it’s important to understand the long-term costs of poor restoration practices.

For some organizations, personnel with specialized skillsets (e.g., restoration professionals, botanists) are being contracted out at rates two to three times higher than those for existing staff. It may be worth developing more qualified staff in-house.

Participants also call out the need for certified weed-free gravel, forage, and potentially other weed-free resources.

Back to the chicken-and-egg analogy discussed in the introduction, we heard from many prospective growers that they want to know what buyers are willing to pay for native plant products, and buyers what to know what growers will charge them. There is no clear consensus on who will set prices for plant material, though there is agreement that it needs to be cost competitive with nonnative materials. However, one respondent notes that government agencies can’t set purchase rates; this needs to come from the grower.

A similar situation exists regarding specific species and quantities: growers want to know what the buyer wants, and the buyer wants to know what’s available. One respondent noted that a lack of nursery stock forces the use of transplants and live staking, which is limited and very expensive. An increase in advance planning and improved communication is needed between growers and buyers.

Labor

Several participants provided anticipated staff and contractor rates; estimates include:


- Interns – \$20/hr
- Staff – technicians (e.g., propagation, labor): \$30/hr
- Contract – laborer: \$40-60/hr
- Contract/consultant – specialized (e.g., arborist, landscaper, restoration, construction, equipment operator): \$60-75(-100)/hr

Price Comparison

If available, how much would your organization be willing to pay for genetically appropriate, local plants and seeds (as opposed to out-of-state seed mixes, or nonnative nursery plants)? In contrast, if your organization produces these products, at what rate would you expect to sell? (select all that apply)

- ☐ No more than what we already pay for out-of-state materials
- ☐ Up to 10% more
- ☐ Up to 25% more
- ☐ Up to 50% more
- ☐ Up to 100% more
- ☐ Not sure
- ☐ Other

Responses were aggregated and provided in the graph below.



The main takeaway is that larger items like trees are cheaper in-state (shipping from out-of-state is cost prohibitive), and smaller items like seeds (in particular, red fescue) are so much cheaper from out-of-state suppliers that Alaska growers can't compete.

Some respondents call out the value of supporting local business and decreasing the risk of introducing new invasive species by using materials grown in-state. Several responded they don't use any out-of-state materials. One participant called out the high demand for Alaska-grown weed-free forage, particularly for horses travelling in the backcountry; while production costs may be higher, the shorter shipping distance may close the price gap. One federal participant said they may be willing to pay more for materials, based on specific project requirements and available funding.

2.3.3 Policy

We didn't have any specific questions on policy as it pertains to native plants but prompted participants by asking about their knowledge of Alaska's weed-free products and providing an open-format space to capture any thoughts or comments.

Existing Weed-Free Programs

The Alaska Weed-Free Forage and Gravel Program has faced similar challenges that the Native Plants Program is now addressing. Namely, chicken-and-egg issues with supply and demand, and how this influences policy. To best learn from past experience, we'd like to know if you're familiar with the Weed-Free Forage and Gravel Program, and are you likely to use it? (select all that apply)

- ☐ Not familiar
 - ☐ Somewhat familiar
 - ☐ Familiar
 - ☐ Yes, would use it
 - ☐ No, would not use it
-

Half of the participants were familiar with the program and of these, 80% would use it. Unfamiliar respondents did not comment on whether they would use it – presumably because they didn't not understand it – and this made up 20% of responses. Of the 30% that claimed "somewhat familiar," responses were split evenly into "yes, would use it," "no, would not use it," and no comment.

For those that would not use it, the majority offered this was because they had no need for forage or gravel. For those that would have a need for forage or gravel, the reasons given were equally divided between lack of accessibility and perceived/potential higher costs for the products.

How may these responses apply to the native plant sector? First, there's a need to spread the word that materials are available. Second, ensuring availability of materials at a scale that meets demand. Third, staying cost competitive with similar non-local materials.

Policy Thoughts

Do you have any thoughts or comments on policy regarding potential native plant material requirements or related issues?

Responses are summarized below by theme.

Reiterate the chicken-and-egg dilemma

Two respondents touched on this theme. They are unable to enforce native plant use when materials are not available. Enforcing native plant use would force increased production. In turn,

it would be easier to implement native plant material requirements if there was an increased volume and diversity available.

Implement and enforce

Implementing or developing new regulations, and/or enforcing existing regulations, was mentioned 9 times. Generally, it was used in reference to the use of weed-free materials and native plants materials. It also referred to increasing requirements within cost-share programs, and regarding responsible harvesting of native plant materials. State agencies need to follow their own mandates regardless of cost. Bottom line: policies need to have teeth.

Planning

Two participants highlighted the need to improve project planning and procurement of materials. Requesting plant materials a minimum of 1-2 years in advance can help stabilize the market and help growers know what to produce.

Invasive species

The state's [Prohibited & Restricted Noxious Weeds list](#) needs to be updated, as do regulations surrounding seeds. There was also mention of developing policy to restrict the movement of invasive species. Two respondents highlighted the pending need for regulating invasive species contamination in native plant materials.

One suggestion to reduce invasive species spread is to limit allowed nonnative/out-of-state materials to only annual species that are unlikely to survive an Alaska winter. They would be able to provide the temporary service of soil stabilization while other native species have a chance to colonize.

Role of government

Three respondents called for more support either at the local level or state level, and better communication between project managers up the chain and with decision makers. There are people that are listening and understand the importance of native plant materials, but overall, we need to increase pressure on those with decision making authority. It also helps to talk to as many different people as possible, since native plant-related work is so dispersed.

Financial burden

One respondent suggested that wild harvest collection permit fees could be collected on state and federal land to increase revenue (see Appendix C for more on collection permits). Another suggested subsidies for use of weed free materials and native plants.


2.3.4 Educational Needs

What sort of materials would your organization be interested in, regarding native plant knowledge? (e.g., formats, such as guidebooks, single page flyers, web resources; or topics, such as propagation, restoration, and economic breakdowns).

To facilitate analyzing results, we broke responses down by format, content and target audience, and existing materials referenced. All items listed below are intended to be Alaska-specific.

One general comment of interest is particularly poignant: “The government representatives I work with daily, their employees, and the contractors they use have no interest in brochures or flyers. I have had the greatest success when I meet with them in person, know my facts, understand the economy, and deliver an informed and economically convincing argument.”

Another respondent noted that some individuals are distrusting of government, so education and outreach materials from NGOs is strongly preferred over governmental resources.



Formats

By far the most requested format was online resources. This was sometimes referred to as a “one-stop shop” or website to host a variety of relevant resources. It would include, at a minimum, species-specific propagation recommendations, restoration techniques, a networking component, and digital versions of all hard copy items otherwise noted in this section.

One respondent called for educational videos, and noted the preferred format is short and information dense.

Nine participants said there were for “all of the above,” referring both to formats and content, provided below. Two responded “unsure,” and only one (a contractor) responded that they didn’t need any educational materials.

Content and Target Audiences

The most frequently requested type of information was species-specific propagation guidelines, to include planting, growing, and caring for plants, as well as information on soil inoculation and environmental considerations.

Restoration information was frequently requested, and includes mention of revegetation, wetland plant restoration, large scale restoration, erosion control, and soil stabilization.

Other education topics mentioned were species distributions and selection recommendations, assisted migration, spruce bark beetles, collection permits, and economic breakdowns.

Several comments specified homeowners as the target audience for educational materials. Suggested formats were typically one-page fliers, or a slightly longer pamphlet/booklet/brochure. For example:

- Specific-specific propagation guidelines mentioned above, but simplified; for example, how to harvest and grow your own fireweed.
- Restoration recommendations mentioned above but simplified.
- How to incorporate native plants into the garden.
- Importance of native plants, including clearly defined ecological services that are enhanced by choosing native plants.
- Justification for spending a little more on native plants, rather than shopping at Home Depot.
- Illustrated guide to plants for the home garden.

Some respondents specified project managers, land managers, and agency personnel as the target audience for educational materials. For example:

- Restoration recommendations mentioned above but formatted as one-page fliers for project managers.
- A seed manual for use by DOT, to include varieties and applications.
- Transportation-focused resources, e.g., roadside erosion stabilization.
- Region specific species lists, reflecting plants that grow well from seed in a specified region/ecosystem, and/or species lists tailored to common habitat types.
- Price comparisons for native plants and typical Home Depot plant stock, that agencies like NRCS can share with landowners to encourage adoption of native plant use, and to be referenced by staff.
- Project failures and success, so managers can learn from each other.
- What may or may not be suitable or adaptable species, e.g., larch, hemlock, lodgepole pine.

- An open-source planning tool for seed lot selection.
- An online marketplace or directory was mentioned a couple times and is addressed elsewhere in this document.

Existing Resources Referenced

- University of Alaska Fairbanks, Cooperative Extension Service – [Don't Plant a Problem](#)
- PMC's [Revegetation Manual for Alaska](#) – **Published in 2008 and needs updates.**
- ADF&G's [Streambank Revegetation and Protection Guidebook](#) – **Revised 2005 and needs updates**
- PMC [Publications](#) (numerous manuals and several hundred research studies)
- USFS internal publications

2.3.5 Demand

This section is applicable to organizations that have restoration projects planned or in progress, and addresses demand for native plants. Respondents were asked if this applies to them, and if they answered “no” they skipped this section of questions.

Projects Planned or in Progress

Do you have project(s) planned or in progress currently that need native plant materials? If so, what square footage or acreage needs planting?

Of the organizations and individuals surveyed, 72% had a demand for native plant materials; however, it should be noted this includes all participants, including suppliers and educators, who were not expected to have a need for plants.

Acreage of Project Planned or in Progress

Areas provided ranged from less than 1 acre (n=5), 1-5 acres (n=3), 12-15 acres (n=3), 60-100 acres (n=3), and “unknown” to “a lot” (n=4). Overall, most entities didn't have an exact number, but there is easily demand for 300+ acres of revegetation with native plant materials.

Contractors are often tapped into the private landowner market. They call out the need for large, mature trees and shrubs to provide instant privacy, particularly in subdivisions that have lost trees to beetle kill. There is often demand for living screens, constructed of shrubs and trees 3-4 feet tall to start; taller material is often more successful at handling a season of snowfall than smaller plants that may get crushed. In general, development projects remove all vegetation from a site, and are often replaced by lawn after construction. However, there is demand for native plant materials rather than lawn. Specific examples of demand include one project on the Kenai Peninsula that could use approximately 100 small trees, and another contractor that is scheduled to revegetate around 100 acres in 2024 and is always looking for sources of plant material. Farther north, a contractor is looking for materials to revegetate 15 acres of arctic forbs.

Federal agencies are the largest landowners in Alaska, and consequently have some of the highest demand for large-scale projects. For example, 23 acres of wetlands need replanting over the next 5 years, in addition to potentially another 75 acres of riparian corridor. There is ample need for reforestation as part of spruce beetle recovery projects, and for revegetation after culvert replacements. Other federal agencies have specified restoration projects of approximately 12 acres and 60 acres. Yet another project replanting approximately 6000 square feet with around 200 plants is currently underway, with a SWCD providing plants starts.

Moreover, some federal agencies, such as NRCS, work directly with private landowners and can help connect those with demand to suppliers. These projects may include native seedlings for wildlife, pollinators, and erosion control on small farms; tree planting and soil stabilization plantings through private forest management; and riparian plantings/buffers and fish passage projects which may include native plantings for soil stabilization. An intermediary like NRCS – or at the state level, ADF&G's cost-share program – can effectively block the installation of nonnative species, particularly those known to be aggressive invaders, such as European bird cherry trees. They are also able to discourage the use of Alaska native but not locally adapted species, such as larch on the Kenai Peninsula. However, it is up to the discretion of these intermediary agencies.

At the state level, rights-of-way can provide a constant demand for massive quantities of grass seed. Local governments have requested unspecified quantities of native spruce, willow, grasses, and pollinator-friendly forbs for green infrastructure.

Some tribal entities need native plant material, but they are creating their own harvesting and propagation infrastructure to produce their own materials and supply plants to others. There are at least two projects planned on tribal land for restoration, encompassing approximately one acre.


Current Plant Materials Used

Do you use any of the materials listed below for projects with your organization? (check all that apply)

- ☐ Native seed
 - ☐ Nonnative seed
 - ☐ Native plant materials
 - ☐ Nonnative plant materials
-

Those that chose to respond to this question noted using native seed and plants more than nonnative.

Plant Materials Currently Used



Geographic Regions

What geographic region does your organization work in? You can write in a description or refer to Level III Ecoregions of Alaska (Figure 1) and choose from the list below. (select all that apply)

- ☐ 113: Alaska Peninsula Mountains (Kodiak Island)
- ☐ 115: Cook Inlet
- ☐ 116: Alaska Range
- ☐ 117: Copper Plateau (Copper River Basin)
- ☐ 118: Wrangell Mountains
- ☐ 119: Pacific Coastal Mountains (Chugach-St. Elias)
- ☐ 120: Coastal Western Hemlock-Sitka Spruce Forests (Gulf of Alaska Coast, includes Homer and Seldovia)
- ☐ Statewide
- ☐ Other

Include any notes on location, or projects planned or in progress.

Since our research was primarily focused on Southcentral Alaska, we formatted this as multiple choice, with a list of Level III Ecoregions of Alaska (Gallant et al., 2010) specific to Southcentral. However, the geographic scope of our research grew as we accumulated more interview subjects, so we subsequently added an option for “Statewide” or a write-in response. Note that as research moves forward, the most useful granularity of locations is likely to be seed transfer zones rather than Level III Ecoregions (see sidebar).




Figure 2. Level III Ecoregions of Alaska (Gallant et al., 2010) in Southcentral Alaska.

Seed Transfer Zones


Seed transfer zones are mapped regions in which transferring seeds should be reasonably successful. In the Lower 48, zones are based on defined ecoregions and climate data that include aridity and winter minimum temperatures, similar to US Department of Agriculture Plant Hardiness Zones. These are not a guarantee for seed transfer success but are a starting point that should be used alongside local knowledge, species-specific traits, and microsite types.

These data have not been fully assessed and mapped in Alaska, but our best resource at present is Preliminary Seed Zones (below) based on unpublished research from Brickley (2010) and described in a report by Duffy (2012). This uses the Alaska Unified Ecosystem units, with some modifications. At present these units are very large, so appropriate Transfer Zones still rely heavily on professional judgement.



Preliminary seed transfer zones (Duffy, 2012).

Organizations' Geographic Region (Level III Ecoregion)



One respondent, noting need across all regions, has a specific need for FAC, FACU, and FACW species, including native sedges, alders, currants, raspberries, and roses (see sidebar). This organization works statewide but has the highest demand in Southcentral. They dovetail with additional organizations (n=3) that reiterate the need for plants to revegetate high use, disturbed areas along rivers and streams. Another respondent called out the same need, but specifically for the Kenai Peninsula and Portage Valley. Additional agencies note the need for culvert restoration (n=3). Overall, there is a high demand for species suited for riparian/wetland and adjacent sites.

WETLAND INDICATOR STATUS

OBL: OCCURS IN WETLANDS 99% OF THE TIME.

FACW: OCCURS IN WETLANDS 67-99% OF THE TIME, AND OCCASIONALLY FOUND OUTSIDE OF WETLANDS.

FAC: EQUALLY LIKELY TO OCCUR IN WETLANDS OR NON-WETLANDS.

FACU: OCCURS IN NON-WETLANDS 67-99% OF THE TIME, AND OCCASIONALLY FOUND IN WETLANDS.

UPL: OCCURS IN NON-WETLANDS 99% OF THE TIME.

In Interior Alaska, there is demand for roadside revegetation along the Parks, Richardson, Tok Cutoff, Taylor, Glenn, and Dalton Highways and adjacent campgrounds. There is also demand from military bases. Interior Alaska mine reclamation sites, as well as mineral extraction sites, would also benefit from seeding with natives to outcompete nonnative plant establishment; this includes Yukon River restoration associated with mining activities. Additionally, there are planned culvert replacements on the Tonsina River in the Copper River region that will need revegetation. North Slope restoration is needed along the Community Winter Access Trails where tundra damage has occurred. Fiberoptic lines need native seed for remediation in areas connecting Deadhorse, Coldfoot, and Fox.

In Anchorage there is a need for revegetation along a parking lot and road realignment. One local government is particularly supportive of increasing native plant use and incorporating it into their growing green infrastructure initiatives.

It's also worth noting that some protected lands don't allow outside material, for example State Parks and National Wildlife Refuges may have specific requirements to only use materials sourced within their boundaries.

Habitat Types


What habitat type does your organization work in? You can write a description or choose from the list below. (select all that apply)

- ☐ Boreal forest
- ☐ Coastal rainforest
- ☐ Rivers and lakes
- ☐ Wetlands
- ☐ Coastal
- ☐ Disturbed areas (roadsides, urban, industrial, residential, etc.)
- ☐ Other

If you have projects planned or in progress in these habitats, please make a note.

In addition to the responses provided, one participant added "permafrost" and another added "garden/farms."

Habitats Respondents Work In



Elevation

If you have projects planned or in progress, what is the approximate elevation?

Respondents called for native plants materials needed at all elevations.


Viereck Codes

Optional: define area by Level II Viereck code (select all that apply)

- ☐ Needleleaf forest
- ☐ Broadleaf forest
- ☐ Mixed forest
- ☐ Dwarf tree scrub
- ☐ Tall scrub
- ☐ Low scrub
- ☐ Dwarf scrub
- ☐ Graminoid herbaceous
- ☐ Forb herbaceous
- ☐ Bryoid herbaceous
- ☐ Aquatic herbaceous
- ☐ Other

Optionally, we asked respondents to classify the current or planned project areas by Level II Viereck Code (Viereck et al., 1992). Mixed forest was the most common response, bryoid herbaceous was least common. All other classifications had a relatively even distribution.

Level II Viereck Code of Project Area




Plant Groups Needed

What types of plant material do you need? (select all that apply)

- ☐ Graminoids (grasses, sedges, rushes)
- ☐ Forbs (non-woody herbaceous flowering plants)
- ☐ Shrubs/subshrubs
- ☐ Trees
- ☐ Other

Results indicate a consistent need across all plant categories. One landscape contractor pointed out that at least 50% of clients would prefer native plants over lawn, but they're not available, so they end up with hydroseeded turf. There is demand for at least 100 pounds of fireweed meadow mix, which would include a combination of forbs and grasses. One mention was made of the high demand for trees from private landowners, to replant after spruce bark beetle kill and/or the removal of invasive cherry trees (*Prunus padus* and *P. virginiana*). There is also high demand and opportunities for plant salvage, discussed in more depth in section 2.3.7 Salvage.

Types of Plant Material Most Needed



Quantities Needed

How much plant material do you need (# of plants, pounds of seed), as they apply to the plant categories in the previous question?

Out of 22 responses, half indicated they did not know what quantities they need, and most were unsure, providing a rough estimate and often not including specific species. To summarize, tree needs called for 1 box of saplings to 50,000 saplings, 100 potted trees, or “some large trees.” For shrubs, requests include 10 willows or other shrubs, 100,000+ willow cuttings, 100 potted shrubs, and 5000 potted alders.

One respondent needs 100 potted forbs, and one respondent specifically requested vegetated mats. Seed needs were highly variable. One participant needs small quantities of a wide diversity of species. Requests for grass seed ranged from ounces to 20,000 pounds, or simply stated, “a lot.” Another need was for 5 pounds of birch seed. The key takeaway is that many entities in need of plant materials did not weigh in on this question, so there is still a large data gap.


Material Type Needed

What type of plant material would be most useful for you? (select all that apply)

- ☐ Seed
 - ☐ Hydroseed
 - ☐ Seedlings in plugs or pots (3 month-2 years old; graminoids, forbs, shrubs, or trees)
 - ☐ Woody plant cuttings (e.g., willow stakes)
 - ☐ Bare root trees or shrubs
 - ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least two years
 - ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least five years
 - ☐ Trees or shrubs grown more than five years
 - ☐ Vegetation mats, sod
 - ☐ Other
-

The most frequently cited material type was seed, with half of these respondents also calling for hydroseed. Also in high demand were willow stakes, plugs or pots grown 3 months to 2 years (graminoid, forb, shrub, or tree), and vegetation mats. The lowest priority is mature trees and shrubs, grown for over 5 years. This question had a high response rate, indicating it’s a relatively accurate assessment of current needs.

Types of Plant Material in Highest Demand




Most Requested Species

What are your most commonly needed plant species? Are there other specific species you would like to have available?

Species and frequency of requests are provided in the graph below. Those with an asterisk capture more than one species. Birch was mentioned three times at the genus level, and once specifically as Kenai birch. Willows include a specific mention of one each for Bebb's, polar, and generally as "wetland willows." Berries are listed generally, and may include but are not limited to currants, blueberries, and salmonberries. One respondent noted that there is a high demand for native edible plants, but berries aren't commercially available. Nitrogen fixers were specifically requested, and we nested one request for locoweed (*Oxytropis* spp.) and two requests for alpine sweetvetch (*Hedysarum alpinum*) under this category. The request for fireweed includes one specifically for a fireweed meadow mix. For graminoids, we lumped one request for water sedge (*Carex aquatilis*) and one for cottongrass (*Eriophorum* spp.) under "wetland sedges." Fescue was requested once by common name at the genus level, and once as red fescue. These data are disaggregated and incorporated in Section 2.3.9 Propagation Expertise, which lists all species that respondents do or would like to grow, and notes whether they have been reported as easy or difficult to propagate.

Specific Species Requested

* see notes in text




2.3.6 Timelines

Timelines for future work (select all that apply)

- ☐ This year
- ☐ 1-3 years out
- ☐ 3+ years out
- ☐ Other

Survey participants were asked if they needed plant materials this year, 1-3 years out, or 3+ years out. These results were aggregated for clarity and usefulness. Some respondents noted that the need was likely to be ongoing but didn't indicate this by selecting the "3+ year out" option; these were clarified during survey synthesis. Additional comments note that some projects have a short turnaround time, while some are planned years in advance. Responses reflect an immediate need for plant materials now, and for the foreseeable future.

Timelines for Plant Material Demand



Time of Year

Time of year that seeds/plant materials are needed (select all that apply)

- ☐ Spring and summer (for outplanting)
- ☐ Fall (for outplanting, direct sowing of seed needing cold stratification)
- ☐ Other

Most survey subjects had no preference on whether plants were available early in spring/summer, or later in the fall. However, one respondent noted that their field work begins in May and wraps up in July or mid-August at the latest; however, they have also done tree and willow plantings in the fall. Another respondent noted that fall is a preferred planting window, as they have little time in spring for projects. One other respondent said June is preferred. Such varied responses indicate that plant materials are likely needed throughout the spring, summer, and fall.


Sourcing Materials

How do you currently acquire plants/seeds? (select all that apply)

- ☐ Grow your own
- ☐ Salvage
- ☐ Wild harvest
- ☐ Purchase from retailer in-state
- ☐ Purchase from retailer out-of-state
- ☐ Contractor
- ☐ Giveaways
- ☐ Other

Comments provided in this section indicate that “giveaways” include the annual tree sapling giveaways or are gifts or trades from friends and neighbors. One participant noted they were able to get small birch trees in conjunction with runway clearing at a municipal airport. Some private landowners may acquire plants or seeds from local groups, including native plant sales or the master gardener network.

How do you currently acquire plants/seeds?



Vendor Locations

If you chose purchase in-state, out-of-state, or contractor, please include name.

Since there are limited native plant materials in Alaska, where are organizations sourcing their materials? Nineteen sources were mentioned; of these 11 are Alaska-owned business, and of these only 4 provide Alaska grown or harvested plant materials from native species (one harvests for internal use only). Of note, Alaska Mill and Feed purchases seed from the Alaska Seed Growers Association, which in turn obtains seed from the PMC. These are originally wild collected seeds but bred at the PMC to produce cultivars. These cultivars originate from native seeds and may be considered native but may also lack the genetic diversity intrinsic to native populations.

Vendor	Number of times referenced	Location	Alaska - Business Type	Alaska materials	In Alaska & Alaska Native Plants
AK Mill and Feed	9	Alaska - Anchorage	Retail	yes/no	yes/no
Alyeska Landscape Professional	2	Alaska - Girdwood	Harvester	yes	yes
Kenai Feed	2	Alaska - Kenai	Retail	no	
Knik Tribal Conservation District	2	Alaska - Knik	Grower	yes	yes
Wagon Wheel	2	Alaska - Homer	Retail	no	
AK Native Plant Society	1	Alaska - Anchorage	Native Plant Society	yes	yes
Alaska Hardy	1	Alaska - Homer	Retail	no	
Cad-Re	1	Alaska - Kenai	Retail	no	
Faltz Nursery	1	Alaska - Anchorage	Retail	no	
Moore's Landscaping	1	Alaska - Kenai	Harvester	yes	yes
Seed 'n' Tree	1	Alaska - Palmer	Retail	no	
Fedco	1	Maine		no	
Granite Seed	1	Utah		no	
High Mowing Organics	1	Vermont		no	
Lawyer-Mistletoe-Carter-Select Seeds	1	California		no	
Clearwater Seed	1	Washington		no	
North Creek Nurseries	1	Pennsylvania		no	
PRT	1	British Columbia		no	
Walters Gardens	1	Michigan		no	


Future Demand

How do you expect your organization's need for native plant materials to change over the next 10 years?

- ☐ Increase
- ☐ Decrease
- ☐ Stay the same
- ☐ Unknown
- ☐ Other

Perhaps unsurprisingly, the majority of respondents said they expect their demand for native plant materials to increase. No respondents anticipated a decrease in demand. One respondent commented that the increase in BIL funding may cause more projects to come online in the near future, while state-incentivized programs are likely to remain the same.

Expected Future Demand of Native Plant Materials



Species Availability

For past projects, were you able to acquire the amount and type of native seed/plant materials you needed? (select all that apply)

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No (if no, choose from below options, select all that apply)
- ☐ Too expensive
- ☐ Not available within project timeline
- ☐ Not available at all
- ☐ Other native species were substituted for preferred native species
- ☐ Nonnative species were substituted for native species

When asked if the participant had been able to source appropriate native materials in adequate quantities, half said yes and half no. When asked the reason why, there was an even distribution among the options: not available within the project timeline, not available at all, other species were substituted.

Project Purpose


What types of projects do you – or do you expect to – need plant materials for?

- ☐ Post-fire rehabilitation
- ☐ Resource extraction reclamation
- ☐ Mitigation (describe below)
- ☐ Erosion control and stabilization
- ☐ Roadside vegetation
- ☐ Weed exclusion
- ☐ Improve habitat diversity
- ☐ Pollinator support
- ☐ Restoration of wildlife habitat
- ☐ Landscaping
- ☐ Other

If needed, explain project purpose, or elaborate.

The most cited need for plant materials is for erosion control and stabilization. The least common was post-fire rehabilitation.

Project Purpose



2.3.7 Salvage

We describe salvage as removing plants from an area prior to scheduled ground disturbance, with the intent to replant them after disturbance or use them at another site. This section is applicable to organizations or individuals that either have areas scheduled for disturbance where plants could be salvaged beforehand, potentially have the capacity to salvage plants, or have experience with native plant salvage. Respondents skipped this section if this did not apply to them.

Because of the interrelatedness of the questions in this section, we chose to aggregate them into flow charts, provided below. Some of these questions were posed as if-then questions, but respondents often didn't approach them as such. Consequently, numbers don't always add up, but results yield a general picture of salvage experience and opportunities.

Do you have experience with plant salvage? (yes/no)

Do you have any upcoming projects that will cause ground disturbance? (yes/no/possibly)

Do you have capacity in your organization to salvage native plants before disturbing the ground? (yes/no/possibly)

Would you need staffing or technical guidance in that process? (yes/no-staffing, yes/no-guidance)


Do you have a site in a similar ecosystem that's in need for restoration/revegetation? (yes/no/possibly)


If yes, do you plan to salvage and replant between sites? (yes/no/possibly)

If not, would you consider salvaging plants to share with another land management organization, contractor, or individuals? (yes/no/possibly/not applicable)

Additional thoughts on plant salvage

Two-thirds of participants have some experience with salvage. The following two figures illustrate the interplay between experience; need for staffing, technical guidance, or both; organizations with capacity to salvage; opportunities to salvage; an appropriate site for replanting; and willingness to share with other individuals and organizations. The numbers in each circle indicate the number of responses.





We received numerous responses to the open-ended prompt to include additional thoughts. Some comments from experienced salvagers include:

- Plants need constant attention and maintenance; the staging site requires fence, irrigation, and shade cloth; can minimize plastic waste by avoiding plastic pots and instead staging plants on Tytar® lined with soil.
- Proper techniques are not always followed for harvesting, staging, transporting, and outplanting; guidance would be helpful.
- Two years of watering salvaged material after installation is essential.
- Even if the target plant being salvaged doesn't survive, the seedbank in the soil will grow; great for restoration.

One Kenai Peninsula contractor notes there is more interest in this type of work in Homer than the Kenai/Soldotna area. Some people would arrange for salvage on their property if it was free service, and small a subset of them would pay to have their plants salvaged.

A few respondents noted there is certainly an opportunity to pursue this as a business venture. Additionally, a salvage operation could provide initial propagation stock needed by a native plant grower.

2.3.8 Supply

We asked whether the respondent or their organization was able to supply native plant materials. If they responded “no,” they skipped this survey section.

Just over half said they have native plant materials and/or could produce supply in the future. However, this includes organizations that may focus on collecting or growing plants for internal use.


Growing or Collecting?

Will you be growing or collecting native plant materials? (select all that apply)

- ☐ Growing
- ☐ Collecting
- ☐ Neither
- ☐ Unsure
- ☐ Other

Some chose to leave this answer blank. The one “neither” response reflects Alaska’s only seed broker/retailer, who supplies seed by way of marketing, rather than growing or collecting. The one “unsure” response reflects a federal agency that was considering seed collection or nursery production in the near future but has no solid plans at present. Results indicate that if an organization participates in native plant production, they most frequently participate in both growing and wild harvest.

Growing vs. Collecting



Farm Characteristics

If growing on a farm, describe location, elevation, aspect, ecosystem type, soil characteristics, sun exposure, etc.


For those growing on a farm and potentially selling to market, we wanted to know more about site conditions, as this will affect the species they can grow. Only 8 respondents provided any level of detail. To maintain their confidentiality – and due to a paucity of data – we omit synthesis of this data.

Harvesting Wild Materials

If harvesting wild materials, what ecoregion are you collecting from? Are you able to tailor collections to meet demand?

We chose to leave Southcentral regions unaggregated (Kenai Peninsula, Chugach National Forest, Anchorage area, Southcentral-other), because this encompasses the original geographic scope of our research, and it provides a finer level of detail for this area. However, for areas outside of Southcentral, we aggregated responses into broader regions (Interior, Statewide, Western, North Slope).

Few respondents acknowledged if they were willing to tailor their collections, with 2 saying “yes” and 6 “maybe.”




Propagation Experience

What is your experience with propagating native plants? (select one)

- ☐ Little to no propagation experience – would appreciate help from the Native Plants Program
- ☐ Experience with agricultural or ornamental crops, but not with natives
- ☐ Some propagation experience – successfully done native plant propagation
- ☐ Extremely experienced in native plant propagation

We asked what level of experience respondents had with propagation, whether with native species, agricultural crops, or ornamentals. Encouragingly, most respondents have some experience with natives, and some have extensive experience.

Propagation Experience



Production Interest

What types of material are you interested in producing? (select all that apply)


- ☐ Seed
- ☐ Hydroseed
- ☐ Seedlings in plugs or pots (3 month-2 years old; graminoids, forbs, shrubs, or trees)
- ☐ Woody plant cuttings (e.g., willow stakes)
- ☐ Bare root trees or shrubs
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least two years
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least five years
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown more than five years
- ☐ Vegetation mats, sod
- ☐ Other

We asked potential suppliers what types of plant material they are most interested in producing and compared this with a question from the preceding section of the survey (2.3.5 Demand, “Material Type Needed”) asking what type of plant material was in highest demand. Since the number of respondents was different for each question (Demand=26, Interest in Producing=17), we adjusted for percent response.

For interest in production, seed ranked the highest (n=13); however, in context this was clearly in reference to wild seed harvest, not seed grow out for multiplication, with few exceptions. Plugs and pots with a single-season to 2-year time investment were also popular (n=12); similarly, interest in growing trees or shrubs waned with increasing time investment (<2 years, n=8; 2-5 years, n=4; >5 years n=3).

When comparing demand with interest in production, seed, trees, and shrubs were well matched, while the remaining responses were not. Demand outpaces interest in production for hydroseed, willow stakes, bare root trees and shrubs, and vegetated mats/sod. Note that we didn’t provide an age range for bare root trees and shrubs, because we were gauging the interest in potted versus unpotted materials. Similarly, we didn’t specify whether trees and shrubs grown 2-5+ years were bare root or potted, as we were gauging plant size and maturity demand/interest.

Notably, the only category in which production interest outpaces demand is plugs and pots of young plants.



Specific Species

What specific species do you grow? What species are you interested in growing?

When asked what species they grow, or would like to grow, respondents typically did not differentiate between the two, so responses were combined into a single list. These responses were further combined with a species list of reported easy-to-grow and difficult-to-grow plants, and number of requests by species. See below, Section 2.3.9 "Propagation Expertise," for a table of these data.

Production Quantities

What are your current and/or projected production quantities?

There was a lack of response to this question, and the few answers provided were given in varying units (pounds of seed, flats of seedlings, individual plants), making comparison difficult. For these reasons, these data are omitted.

Infrastructure

What do you have, and what do you need for infrastructure? For example:

- Capillary beds, in-ground planting, high tunnels
 - Square footage of high tunnels, acres of land
 - Power, water, irrigation, equipment, materials
-

Much like the previous questions, there was a lack of response, and the answers provided were extremely disparate. Nearly all respondents were working with outdoor garden beds, and have a water source, irrigation, and fencing. Most were lacking covered or enclosed space for working or growing, and equipment beyond hand tools.

2.3.9 Propagation Expertise

To capture participants' breadth and depth of knowledge in native plant propagation, we included a section here that asks specifically about their propagation expertise. Twenty-one respondents (54%) indicated they have propagation expertise; the rest skipped this section.

Sharing Expertise

Are you willing to share your expertise, either with the Native Plants Program, or with other individuals or organizations? (select all that apply)

- ☐ With the Native Plants Program
 - ☐ With others
 - ☐ Possibly/conditionally
-

Responses were aggregated. Roughly half of the respondents are willing to share their expertise freely, and the other half may be willing to share under certain conditions.



Successes and Failures in Propagation

What species have you had a high success rate with propagating?

What species have you had a low success rate with propagating?

These questions were combined with the earlier responses to “What specific species do you grow? What species are you interested in growing?” (2.3.8 “Specific Species”); and “What are your most needed plant species? Are there other specific species you would like to have available?” (2.3.5 “Most Requested Species”). Answers to the former were typically not differentiated, so they are included in Table 2 as a single column.

We cross checked scientific names with the [USDA Plants Database](#) and provided their accepted name parenthetically where it differs; this was also used to obtain their preferred common name. Where respondent only provided a common name that was unclear, a Google search pointed to the most likely species; this was then crosschecked with the USDA Plants Database. Where the common name provided differed from the Plants Database, we included “(sic)” to clarify the discrepancy. In three instances, common names could not be deciphered to accepted scientific names, so were omitted.

We’ve also maintained what was referred to at the genus level, versus species. Where the same taxon was mentioned multiple times, this is indicated with multiple “X” marks (Table 2).

Table 2. List of all species mentioned throughout surveys and interviews, indicating which species respondents do grow or would like to grow, species that are reported as easy or challenging to grow, and most requested species.


Scientific name	Common name	Do or would like to grow	Easy	Challenging	Requested
<i>Achillea</i> spp.	yarrow	X			X
<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	common yarrow	X			
<i>Allium</i> spp.	allium (<i>sic</i>)		X		
<i>Alnus</i> spp.	alder	XX	XX	X	XXX
<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	Saskatoon serviceberry		X		
<i>Anemone</i> spp.	anemone		X		
<i>Angelica</i> spp.	angelica		X		
<i>Aquilegia</i> spp.	columbine	X		X	
<i>Aquilegia formosa</i>	western columbine	X			
<i>Arnica</i> spp.	arnica	X			
<i>Arnica alpina</i>	alpine arnica	X			
<i>Arnica frigida</i>	snow arnica	X			
<i>Artemisia</i> spp.	wormwood	XX			
<i>Aster sibiricus</i> (<i>Eurybia sibirica</i>)	arctic aster	X			
<i>Astragalus alpinus</i>	alpine milkvetch	X			
<i>Betula</i> spp.	birch	XX	XXXX		XXX
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> var. <i>kenaica</i>	Kenai birch	X			X
<i>Campanula rotundifolia</i>	common harebell (<i>sic</i>), bluebell bellflower	X	X		
<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	bluejoint				XXX
<i>Carex</i> spp.	sedge	X			
<i>Carex aquatilis</i>	water sedge		X		X
<i>Chamerion</i> spp.	fireweed	X	X	XX	XXX
<i>Chamerion angustifolium</i>	fireweed	X			
<i>Dodecatheon</i> spp.	Shooting star	X			
<i>Drosera</i> spp.	sundew		X		
<i>Dryas</i> spp.	mountain-avens	XX		X	
<i>Eriophorum</i> spp.	cottongrass			X	X
Ferns, unspecified	fern	X	X		
<i>Festuca</i> spp.	fescue				X
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	red fescue				X
<i>Geum</i> spp.	avens	X	X		
<i>Hedysarum</i> spp.	sweetvetch	X			
<i>Hedysarum alpinum</i>	alpine sweetvetch	XX			XX
<i>Hedysarum mackenziei</i> (<i>H. boreale</i> ssp. <i>mackenziei</i>)	northern sweetvetch	X			
<i>Honckenya peploides</i>	beach greens (<i>sic</i>), seaside sandplant	X			
<i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	meadow barley				XX
<i>Iris setosa</i>	iris (<i>sic</i>), beachhead iris	XX	X		
<i>Larix laricina</i>	larch (<i>sic</i>), tamarack				XX

Scientific name	Common name	Do or would like to grow	Easy	Challenging	Requested
<i>Lathyrus japonicus</i>	beach pea		X		
<i>Leymus mollis</i>	beach wildrye (<i>sic</i>), ryegrass (<i>sic</i>), American dunegrass	XX	X		
<i>Ligusticum scoticum</i>	beach lovage (<i>sic</i>), Scottish licoric-root	X			
<i>Lupinus</i> spp.	lupine	X	XX	X	
<i>Lupinus arcticus</i>	arctic lupine	X			
<i>Lupinus nootkatensis</i>	Nootka lupine	X			
<i>Mertensia maritima</i>	oysterleaf		X		
<i>Mertensia paniculata</i>	tall bluebells	X			
<i>Mimulus</i> spp.	monkeyflower		X		
<i>Oxytropis</i> spp.	locoweed	X	X	X	X
<i>Oxytropis campestris</i>	field locoweed	X			
<i>Papaver alboroseum</i>	Portage poppy (<i>sic</i>), pale poppy	X	X		
<i>Papaver radicum</i>	Alaska poppy (<i>sic</i>), rooted poppy	X	X		
<i>Parnassia</i> spp.	grass of Parnassus			X	
<i>Pedicularis labradorica</i>	Labrador lousewort		X		
<i>Pedicularis groenlandica</i>	elephanthead lousewort		X		
<i>Phleum alpina</i>	alpine timothy				X
<i>Picea</i> spp.	spruce	X	XXX		
<i>Picea glauca</i>	white spruce	X	X		XXXXXX
<i>Picea mariana</i>	black spruce				XX
<i>Picea sitchensis</i>	Sitka spruce			X	XXXXXX
<i>Picea xutzii</i>	Lutz spruce				XXXXXX
<i>Pinus contorta</i>	lodgepole pine				X
<i>Plantago maritima</i>	goose tongue		X		
<i>Poa alpina</i>	alpine bluegrass				X
<i>Polemonium acutiflorum</i>	tall Jacob's-ladder	X			
<i>Polemonium pulcherrimum</i>	Jacob's-ladder	X			
<i>Polygonum alaskanum</i> (<i>P. alpinum</i>)	Alaska wild rhubarb	X			
<i>Populus</i> spp.	aspen, cottonwood	X		X	XXXX
<i>Ribes</i> spp.	currants and gooseberries	X	XX		X
<i>Rosa</i> spp.	rose	X	X		
<i>Rosa rugosa</i>	rugosa rose	X			
<i>Rubus</i> spp.	raspberries (<i>sic</i>)	X	X		X
<i>Rubus chamaemorus</i>	cloudberry			X	
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	American red raspberry			X	
<i>Rubus parviflorus</i>	thimbleberry		X		
<i>Rubus spectabilis</i>	salmonberry			X	
<i>Salix</i> spp.	willow	X	XXX		XXXX
<i>Salix alaxensis</i>	feltleaf willow	X	X		
<i>Salix barclayi</i>	barclay's willow	X	X		

Scientific name	Common name	Do or would like to grow	Easy	Challenging	Requested
<i>Salix bebbiana</i>	bebb willow				X
<i>Salix polaris</i>	polar willow				X
<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	red elderberry				X
<i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>	great burnet	X			
<i>Saxifraga</i> spp.	saxifrage		X		
<i>Solidago</i> spp.	goldenrod (<i>sic</i>)		X		
<i>Solidago canadensis</i>	Canada goldenrod	X			
<i>Solidago spathulata</i>	Mt. Albert goldenrod	X			
<i>Spiraea</i> spp.	spirea		X		
<i>Tsuga</i> spp.	hemlock			X	X
<i>Vaccinium</i> spp.	blueberry		X	X	X
<i>Vaccinium uliginosum</i>	bog blueberry		X		
<i>Vaccinium oxycoccos</i>	bog cranberry (<i>sic</i>), small cranberry	X			
<i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</i>	low bush cranberry (<i>sic</i>), lingonberry	X			
<i>Veronica</i> spp.	speedwell		X		
<i>Vicia</i> spp.	vetch, native	X			
<i>Viola</i> spp.	violets		X		

Some respondents provided categories of plants they grow or intend to grow, rather than specific species.

Plants Grown or Intended to Grow
By Category



Plants that were mentioned as easy to grow, by category, include graminoids (n=3), woody shrubs (n=1), and one respondent simply stated “many.” There was one mention of *Vaccinium uliginosum* (bog blueberry) as the easiest-to-grow *Vaccinium* species.

Plants that were mentioned as difficult to grow, by category, included sedges (n=3) and forbs (n=2). There was one mention of blueberries being difficult to grow.

One experienced grower was happy to share his knowledge and included the following regarding challenging species:

“Mostly the alpine species. *Diapencia*, pincushion plant. Grapeferns, *Botrychium*. A lot of plants that have evolved to specific ecosystems, primarily saltmarsh plants and some alpine and bog species. Larkspur, which has low germination and is a shorter-lived perennial. Some plants like the star gentian and some *Vaccinium* will produce seed that germinates readily but has low vigor and never quite size up. Some partially parasitic plants like *Pedicularis* can be tricky. Other plants that need a specific fungi present, like Orchids. Some biennial and triennial plants produce surprisingly shy seed.”

Overwintering Methods

What methods do you use to overwinter plants and what have you seen as successes or failures?

One third of respondents concurred that deep snow and keeping plants in the ground were the most favorable conditions for overwintering success. Adding some insulating material to the soil, such as spruce pulp or bark, sandy soil, or straw, was also commonly mentioned.

Other suggestions include sheltering plants from the wind or moving plants indoors. One mentioned that storing them in pots or bare root is species dependent. Two people said to do nothing and let them be.

The most common cause of storage failure was flooding and freezing. For example, heavy fall rain followed by a hard freeze, shallow snowpack and deep freezes, inconsistent or warming and freezing cycles. Dehydration stress was also mentioned.

Lastly, herbivory from moose, rabbits, porcupines, and voles is a risk. Fencing can help but doesn't guarantee protection.

Seed Processing and Propagation Equipment

What equipment do you use, or would like access to, for seed processing and propagation?

Twelve people provided responses to this question. Of those, 25% use a Clipper seed cleaner. Another 25% said they leave their seed cleaning to the PMC.

Depending on the target species, tools varied significantly. For collecting seed from trees, one respondent uses a chainsaw, tarp, and screen. For hand collection, one uses cloth bags, berry pickers, and pruning shears.

Two reported doing everything by hand: one works with vegetables and forbs, the other works with trees. Both winnow by hand, wind, or water. Sieves are also used.

More advanced seed cleaning is species-specific. Many types of equipment are available. However, this is largely the domain of the PMC.

2.3.10 Vegetation Mats

Vegetation mats are sheets of native plant material commonly used in restoration projects in the Lower 48 but are not frequently used or produced in Alaska. This topic is addressed in detail in Section 4 Vegetated Mats. In the survey/interview process, we asked respondents if they were familiar with vegetation mats, and if so, to describe their experience using them.

Experience with Veg Mats

Vegetation mats are sheets of native plant material commonly used in restoration. Do you have any experience using or producing them? (yes/no/somewhat)

If you answered “yes,” please elaborate.

Some respondents immediately think of wild harvested vegetation mats, while others think of manufactured mats. Regarding the former, one respondent has wild-harvested mats for transplant in the arctic and Southcentral Alaska. Another respondent on the Kenai Peninsula harvests them directly from the ground, but notes that dandelions are often present and thrive with disturbance. Wild-harvested vegetation mats are labor intensive, as they require heavy equipment for removal, loading them onto pallets, and transportation to the planting site. Post-installation monitoring indicates that alders within mats don't transplant well except very early in the spring. Another respondent emphasizes root system considerations. Mats can be a highly effective way to incorporate a lot of biodiversity into a planting site; it may be disturbing to the donor site, but there is potential to create donor sites that are managed like a sod farm, ensuring native plant recolonization once a mat of vegetation is removed. One organization sometimes harvests sod, sets it aside during ground disturbance, and then replaces it. Two other respondents noted some limited experience with wild harvested mats.

Familiarity with Vegetation Mats



For manufactured mats, one respondent described their methods using 4x10' boxes made from 2x4" lumber, filled with mineral soil and 20% potting soil, and seed of sod-forming species. A

skid steer loader is used to move them, or they can be cut down into smaller pieces to move. They were previously used in stream bank restoration, but this method has mostly been replaced with hydroseeding. Another respondent has worked in manufactured vegetation mat research and has installed manufactured mats on the North Slope. One other respondent has done growth trials, and another specifically mentioned using seeded coir mats for soil stabilization.

2.3.11 Final Thoughts

Please include any other thoughts you have for us below. Thank you for taking time to do this survey! We greatly appreciate your input.

This was a catch all for any lingering thoughts that respondents wanted to share. Where appropriate, responses were backfilled to the survey questions where most applicable. More general comments are incorporated below, into the Discussion section.

2.4 DISCUSSION

2.4.1 Economic Considerations

Chicken-or-egg challenges: Many respondents noted the lack of plant material availability impacted their ability to use them, and called for increased quantity, diversity, and genetically appropriate plant materials. On the other hand, many potential growers expressed an interest in producing native plant materials only if buyers could somehow help offset the uncertainty of a new agricultural market. These “chicken-or-egg” type challenges permeated our discussions and affect many different aspects of the native plant market.

Plant material pricing: Potential growers want to know what buyers are willing to pay for native plant products, and buyers want to know what the growers are going to charge them. However, typically those with a need for plants seek bids for contract work, so setting the price falls to the grower. It also seems most practical for growers to determine the cost of production and set prices from there. Our question about how much more buyers might be willing to pay relative to imported materials did not provide a conclusive answer, but there was general agreement that native materials should be cost competitive with imports. To some extent, removing the cost of transportation from outside Alaska may help offset the cost of production within Alaska.

Plant material quantities: Growers often need years of lead time to produce a given quantity of plant material. An increase in advance planning and improved communication is needed between growers and buyers. Requesting plant materials a minimum of 1-2 years in advance can help stabilize the market, and help growers know what to produce.

As highlighted in the questions about Alaska's weed-free gravel program, a successful native plant materials program will require (1) communication about the kinds and quantities of materials available; (2) ensuring availability of materials at a scale that meets demand; and (3) staying cost competitive with similar non-local materials.

2.4.2 Supply-Side Highlights

Just over half of respondents said they have already produced some native plant materials or could produce plant materials in the future. Results indicate that if an organization participates in native plant production, they most frequently participate in both growing and wild harvest. However, it's worth noting that some of these organizations collect or grow plants primarily for internal use.

In terms of existing infrastructure, outdoor growing space appears to be the most abundant resource available. Nearly all respondents involved in production were working with outdoor garden beds, and have a water source, irrigation, and fencing. Many fewer respondents reported access to covered or enclosed growing spaces, and greenhouses/high tunnels are limited. Access to farming and seed cleaning equipment is even more limited; most respondents reported working primarily with hand tools.

Encouragingly, most respondents reported some experience with native plant production, and some have extensive experience. Respondents also generally expressed enthusiasm for information sharing and collaboration.

2.4.3 Demand-Side Highlights

Though demand for native plant materials varies greatly by year and by product type, a few trends did emerge. Overall, there is a high demand for wetland and riparian species in Southcentral Alaska. In Interior Alaska, there is demand for roadside revegetation along highways and in adjacent campgrounds. In both regions, the most cited need for plant materials is revegetation and erosion control in high use and/or disturbed areas, including riverbanks, roadsides, and culvert restoration projects. The most frequently needed material type was seed, with half of these respondents also calling for hydroseed. Also in high demand were willow stakes. Young plugs or pots of were also mentioned, but to a lesser degree. Importantly, most respondents said they expect their demand for native plant materials to increase over time; no respondents anticipated a decrease in demand.

Federal agencies are the largest landowners in Alaska, and consequently have some of the highest demand for large-scale projects. There is ample need for reforestation as part of spruce beetle mitigation projects, and for revegetation after culvert replacements. At the state level, rights-of-way can provide a constant demand for massive quantities of grass seed. Local governments have requested unspecified quantities of native spruce, willow, grasses, and pollinator plants for green infrastructure. Contractors report need for large, mature trees and shrubs, particularly in subdivisions that have lost a lot of privacy due to beetle kill.

2.4.4 Policy Challenges

Policy may provide a path to resolving some of the “chicken-or-egg” challenges. Numerous respondents mentioned developing new regulations, and/or enforcing existing regulations about native plant or weed-free material requirements. Other respondents called for more support either at the local level or state level, and better communication between project managers and with decision makers. Overall, respondents supported talking to many different people and partners, and putting pressure on those with decision making authority.

2.4.5 Outreach and Educational Needs

By far the most requested format was online resources. This was sometimes referred to as a “one-stop shop” or website to host a variety of relevant resources. The most frequently requested type of information was species-specific propagation guidelines. Restoration information was also frequently requested, and includes mention of revegetation, wetland plant restoration, large scale restoration, erosion control, and soil stabilization.

3 Propagation Profiles Literature Review

Through ongoing conversations statewide, and subsequently by survey and interview respondents, we found that there is a data gap regarding species-specific knowledge related to collecting, growing, propagating, and outplanting native plants. We aimed to address that with a literature review and compilation of findings into Appendix A – Propagation Profiles. We intend for our methodologies to be transparent and repeatable to enable staff and partner agencies to create Propagation Profiles for additional species.

3.1 INTRODUCTION AND METHODS

The Propagation Profiles provided in Appendix A represent the results of a literature review conducted November 2023 - March 2024. The objective of this review was to search for and consolidate all available propagation protocols and literature relating to a selection of Alaska's native plant species. Specific emphasis was given to techniques and considerations for Alaska or other similar cold-climate/northern regions. The target audience for this information is horticulturists, commercial growers, and land managers.

To start, a list of 82 “target” Alaska native plant species were identified for the literature review, based on suitability for revegetation, needs of agency partners with upcoming or planned restoration projects, and personal experience and observations by Homer SWCD staff. From this list, species were added and further prioritized based on current seeds on hand and immediacy of use in restoration projects by Homer SWCD or partner agencies.

3.1.1 Regional Resources

For each species, the [USDA Plants Database](#) was used to verify current taxonomy, scientific and common name, USDA symbol (alphanumeric abbreviation of scientific name), and general plant attributes (e.g., growth duration, growth habit, and wetland indicator status for Alaska). This database also includes Plant Guide/Fact Sheets that were reviewed for propagation information. When available, general plant characteristics, such as soil preference, shade and drought tolerance, seeds per pound, etc., were included in our Propagation Profiles.

For more specific information on range and habitat relevant to Alaska and neighboring Canada, we relied on the digitized version of Eric Hultén's 1968 manual [Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants](#), and the [Electronic Atlas of the Flora of British Columbia](#), in addition to other sources (cited within each Propagation Profile). To a lesser extent, we consulted the [Lady Bird Johnson Native Plants of North America Database](#) to fill in additional details as needed. Species distribution maps included in each Propagation Profile were obtained from the online mapping tool [Alaska Flora Quick Map](#), a product of the Alaska Flora Project which maps species occurrences based on records from three collections: 1) University of Alaska Museum of the North Arctos Database, 2) Hultén 1968, and 3) iNaturalist observations.

For finer scale propagation related information, we started by consulting the [Native Plant Network Plant Propagation Protocol Database](#). The Native Plant Network (NPN) was

established in 2001 to provide technical information for the propagation of native plant materials; the online propagation database provides a repository for protocols submitted by university partners and USDA-sponsored Plant Material Centers nationwide. Protocols available through NPN were reviewed and prioritized for inclusion based on geographic proximity of seed and/or propagation activity to Alaska or similar regions. We consulted the [2023 USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map](#) to provide the most recent plant hardiness zone equivalents for seed source origin and/or propagation location. Often, we found that the state listed for a given protocol was not the same state where seeds were collected. Because of this, we took care to review protocols from multiple states when available, to ensure inclusion of propagation information from the closest matched ecoregion/climate. Protocols from incompatible hardiness zones/regions were included only if a given species had little or no other available information.

We reviewed available species profiles on the [Fire Effects Information System](#) online database, which presents comprehensive species reviews of biology, autecology, restoration use, and to some extent, propagation-related information, summarized from primary research. We consulted the Society for Ecological Restoration's [Seed Information Database](#) for a designation of seed type, seed storage conditions, and outcomes and conditions of germination trials. Because data from germination trials are reported from across the globe, we prioritized referencing only trial data from the region nearest Alaska. However, because trial locations were generally reported at coarse scale (e.g., country or continent), and details of trial methods were sparse, information should be interpreted with this in mind. For additional germination guidance, we also consulted the [Ontario Rock Garden and Hardy Plant Society Germination Guide](#), which provides recommended seed pre-treatments and germination temperatures for over 8,000 species; recommendations are based on the most successful technique for germination of dry seed stored for 6 months at 20°C. Seed source/ecoregion and exact germination or propagation locations were unknown, so we treated these protocols as general guidelines only.

Finally, research articles, white papers, and other primary research sources were located by using Google Scholar, the [USDA National Agricultural Library](#), and [USDA Plant Materials and Technical Documents](#). Within each search engine, we entered the species name (or commonly used synonyms, within reason), plus one or more of the following search terms: Alaska, propagation, germination, cultivation, seed storage. We adopted a "snowball" approach to locate and review additional works cited that were not evident from our primary literature searches.

3.1.2 Alaska-Specific Resources

For Alaska-specific propagation details, we reviewed resources from the Plant Materials Center (PMC). These include native plant propagation protocols, which are hosted on the NPN Database. The PMC website includes their [Alaska Plant Materials Center's Plant Flyers Database](#), and each "Plant Flyer" fact sheet provides general growth habits and propagation tips for Alaska germplasms developed for use in revegetation and restoration programs.

We reviewed all publications available through [Georgeson Botanical Garden Resources](#), a research and botanical garden extension of the University of Alaska Fairbanks. This site catalogs nearly 50 years' worth of propagation guidance from their experimental and research garden trials.

Finally, we are especially grateful to Patricia S. Holloway, Professor Emerita of Horticulture with the University of Alaska Fairbanks and Georgeson Botanical Garden. She provided her propagation expertise on the 40 species reviewed; her propagation suggestions summarize her own experiences, tenure at University of Alaska Fairbanks, and her knowledge of the scientific literature. She prefaces the species notes she has generously compiled for this project with the following caveat:

"The methods listed here have been successful over the years, but results may vary depending on seed harvesting and handling techniques, how the seeds are stored, age of seeds, germination techniques, etc. Be sure to experiment with protocols to better fit your lab, standards, and outdoor conditions."

3.2 RESULTS

From our initial list of 82 priority species, we completed literature review and propagation profiles for 40 (Table 3). Alaska-specific protocols or propagation information was available for 14 of the 40 species reviewed (Table 4). Alaska-specific cultivars or germplasms developed by the Alaska PMC (with associated "Plant Flyer" and planting guidelines) were available for 8 of the 40 species. Of the 26 species with no formal, published Alaska-specific protocols available, propagation information from the Pacific Northwest or British Columbia was available for 11 (Table 4). For the remaining species with no Alaska or Pacific Northwest region protocols, or to supplement sparse information, we referred to relevant information from other regions and occasionally from European studies in which germination and/or propagation was featured.

We considered a "formal" protocol as either 1) detailed protocol on file with NPN, 2) instructions/guidelines published in a book or other propagation-related publication, or 3) germination methods provided in primary research, thesis, or dissertation, provided that germination or propagation was a component of the research objective. We did not include information on cultivars or germplasms offered by the Alaska PMC as "formal" Alaska protocols, as germination guidance was not reported, and their planting guidelines may not be applicable to wild harvested native plant materials. Personal communication is not considered a "formal" source, as it's not published and publicly accessible. We also did not consider propagation tips available on general horticulture/gardener websites as "formal" information, as sources were rarely verifiable nor provided details on seed source, storage conditions or pre-treatments, which can strongly impact propagation success.

Table 3. Initial list of 82 species prioritized for literature review. Asterisk (*) indicates species with Propagation Profiles completed.

Scientific Name	Common Name	USDA Code
* <i>Achillea millefolium</i>	common yarrow	ACMI2
* <i>Achillea sibirica</i>	Siberian yarrow	ACSI
<i>Aconitum delphiniifolium</i>	monkshood	ACDE2
* <i>Agrostis scabra</i>	rough bentgrass	AGSC5
* <i>Agrostis</i> sp.	bentgrass	AGROS2
* <i>Alnus incana</i> ssp. <i>tenuifolia</i>	thinleaf alder	ALINT
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	red alder	ALRU2
* <i>Alnus viridis</i> ssp. <i>crispa</i>	mountain alder	ALVIC
* <i>Alnus viridis</i> ssp. <i>sinuata</i>	Sitka alder	ALVIS
<i>Anemone</i> sp.	anemones	ANEMO
<i>Angelica lucida</i>	seacoast angelica	ANLU
* <i>Aquilegia formosa</i>	western columbine	AQFO
<i>Arctagrostis latifolia</i>	wideleaf polargrass	ARLAL6
* <i>Arnica angustifolia</i>	narrowleaf arnica	ARAN5
<i>Arnica frigida</i> ssp. <i>frigida</i>	snow arnica	ARFRF3
<i>Arnica</i> sp.	arnica	ARNIC
* <i>Artemisia tilesii</i>	Tilesius' wormwood	ARTI
* <i>Aruncus dioicus</i>	goatsbeard	ARDI8
<i>Beckmannia syzigachne</i>	American sloughgrass	BESY
* <i>Betula papyrifera</i> var. <i>kenaica</i>	Kenai birch	BEPAK
* <i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	bluejoint	CACA4
<i>Caltha palustris</i>	yellow marsh marigold	CAPA5
* <i>Carex aquatilis</i>	water sedge	CAAQ
* <i>Carex canescens</i>	silvery sedge	CACA11
<i>Carex lenticularis</i>	lakeshore sedge	CALE8
<i>Carex lyngbyei</i>	Lyngbye's sedge	CALY3
* <i>Carex mertensii</i>	Mertens' sedge	CAME6
* <i>Carex pachystachya</i>	starry broomsedge	CAPA14
* <i>Chamerion angustifolium</i>	fireweed	CHAN9
<i>Chamerion latifolium</i>	dwarf fireweed, river beauty	CHLA13
<i>Cornus canadensis</i>	bunchberry dogwood	COCA13
<i>Cornus suecica</i>	Lapland cornel	COSU4
<i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i>	tufted hairgrass	DECE
* <i>Dryas drummondii</i>	Drummond's mountain-avens	DRDR
<i>Elaeagnus commutata</i>	silverberry	ELCO
<i>Erigeron peregrinus</i>	subalpine fleabane	ERPE3
<i>Erigeron</i> sp.	fleabane composites	ERIGE2
* <i>Eriophorum angustifolium</i>	common cottongrass	ERAN6
<i>Eriophorum</i> sp.	cottongrass	ERiop
* <i>Erythranthe guttata</i>	seep monkeyflower	MIGU

Scientific Name	Common Name	USDA Code
* <i>Eurybia sibirica</i>	Siberian aster	EUSI13
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	red fescue	FERU2
<i>Fritillaria camschatcensis</i>	chocolate lily	FRCA5
<i>Galium</i> sp.	bedstraw	GALIU
<i>Galium triflorum</i>	fragrant bed-straw	GATR3
<i>Geranium erianthum</i>	woolly cranebill	GEER2
* <i>Geum macrophyllum</i>	largeleaf avens	GEMA4
* <i>Hedysarum alpinum</i>	alpine sweet vetch	HEAL
* <i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	meadow barley	HOBR2
<i>Iris setosa</i>	beachhead iris	IRSE
<i>Juncus castaneus</i>	chestnut rush	JUCA6
* <i>Juncus mertensianus</i>	Merten's rush	JUME3
* <i>Lathyrus japonicus</i>	beach pea	LAJA
* <i>Leymus mollis</i>	beach rye	LEMO8
* <i>Lupinus arcticus</i>	arctic lupine	LUAR2
* <i>Lupinus nootkatensis</i>	Nootka lupine	LUNO
* <i>Luzula parviflora</i>	smallflowered woodrush	LUPA4
<i>Mertensia paniculata</i>	tall bluebells	MEPA
<i>Oxytropis campestris</i>	field locoweed	OXCA4
<i>Papaver alboroseum</i>	pale poppy	PAAL5
* <i>Papaver radicum</i>	rooted poppy	PARA11
<i>Parnassia palustris</i>	grass of Parnassus	PAPA8
* <i>Picea glauca</i>	white spruce	PIGL
<i>Picea xlutzii</i>	lutz spruce	PILU
<i>Poa alpina</i>	alpine bluegrass	POAL2
* <i>Polemonium acutiflorum</i>	tall Jacob's-ladder	POAC
* <i>Polemonium pulcherrimum</i>	showy Jacob's-ladder	POPU3
* <i>Pyrola asarifolia</i>	liverleaf wintergreen	PYAS
* <i>Rhinanthus minor</i>	little yellow rattle	RHMI13
<i>Rhodiola integrifolia</i>	stonecrop	RHIN11
<i>Rosa acicularis</i>	prickly rose	ROAC
* <i>Salix</i> sp.	willows	SALIX
* <i>Sanguisorba canadensis</i>	Canadian burnet	SACA14
<i>Sanguisorba stipulate</i>	Sitka burnet	SACA14
<i>Senecio triangularis</i>	arrowleaf senecio	SETR
<i>Solidago multiradiata</i>	Rocky Mountain goldenrod	SOMU
<i>Solidago</i> sp.	goldenrod	SOLID
* <i>Spiraea stevenii</i>	Alaska spirea	SPST3
<i>Thalictrum sparsiflorum</i>	fewflower meadow-rue	THSP
* <i>Tripleurospermum maritimum</i>	arctic chamomile	TRMA17
<i>Trisetum spicatum</i>	spike trisetum	TRSP2

Scientific Name	Common Name	USDA Code
<i>Viola</i> sp.	violets	VIOLA

Table 4. Species with completed Propagation Profile, showing nearest available existing propagation protocols.

Scientific Name	Alaska-Based Protocol	Alaska PMC Germplasm Flyer	Next Nearest Protocol
<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	-	Yes	British Columbia
<i>Achillea sibirica</i>	-	-	-
<i>Agrostis scabra</i>	-	-	Montana
<i>Alnus incana</i> ssp. <i>tenuifolia</i>	-	-	Montana
<i>Alnus viridis</i> ssp. <i>crispa</i>	Yes	-	-
<i>Alnus viridis</i> ssp. <i>sinuata</i>	-	-	Montana
<i>Artemisia tilesii</i>	Yes	Yes	-
<i>Aquilegia formosa</i>	-	-	Oregon
<i>Arnica angustifolia</i>	-	-	-
<i>Aruncus dioicus</i>	Yes	-	-
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> var. <i>kenaica</i>	Yes	-	Montana
<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	-	Yes	British Columbia
<i>Carex aquatilis</i>	Yes	-	Idaho
<i>Carex canescens</i>	-	-	Kentucky
<i>Carex mertensii</i>	Yes	-	British Columbia
<i>Carex pachystachya</i>	-	-	Washington
<i>Chamerion angustifolium</i>	-	-	Montana
<i>Dryas drummondii</i>	-	-	British Columbia
<i>Eriophorum angustifolium</i>	-	-	-
<i>Erythranthe guttata</i>	-	-	Oregon
<i>Eurybia sibirica</i>	-	-	Montana
<i>Geum macrophyllum</i>	-	-	British Columbia
<i>Hedysarum alpinum</i>	Yes	Yes	-
<i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	-	Yes	California
<i>Juncus mertensianus</i>	-	-	Oregon
<i>Lathyrus japonicus</i>	-	-	Oregon
<i>Leymus mollis</i>	Yes	Yes	-
<i>Lupinus arcticus</i>	-	-	British Columbia
<i>Lupinus nootkatensis</i>	Yes	-	-
<i>Luzula parviflora</i>	-	-	British Columbia
<i>Papaver radicum</i>	-	-	Kentucky
<i>Picea glauca</i>	Yes	-	-
<i>Polemonium acutiflorum</i>	-	-	-
<i>Polemonium pulcherrimum</i>	Yes	Yes	-
<i>Pyrola asarifolia</i>	-	-	-
<i>Rhinanthus minor</i>	-	-	Kentucky
<i>Salix alaxensis</i> , <i>S. barclayi</i> , <i>S. lasiandra</i>	Yes	-	Idaho

Scientific Name	Alaska-Based Protocol	Alaska PMC Germplasm Flyer	Next Nearest Protocol
<i>Sanguisorba canadensis</i>	Yes	-	Idaho/Washington
<i>Spiraea stevenii</i>	Yes	-	-
<i>Tripleurospermum maritimum</i>	-	Yes	-

3.3 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Propagation Profiles provide consolidated native plant information, with the goal of building a quick reference guide for growers. To further facilitate this, we provide the following recommendations to make progress towards a data clearinghouse for Alaska native plant propagation information.

3.3.1 Data Gaps

Overall, we found that formalized, detailed propagation techniques were lacking for many Alaska native plant species. We also observed many inconsistencies within the NPN database submissions. Often protocols contained sparse or missing information, or propagation details were reported to differing levels of detail, which could influence reproducibility. Common data gaps included protocols for plant establishment, active growth, and hardening phases; considerations for harvest, storage, and transport; as well as vegetative propagation techniques. We occasionally found inconsistencies in how germination techniques were reported, e.g., whether a light regime was used. In the primary research of scientific articles, seed source location was commonly reported and differentiated from propagation location; however, in NPN protocols this was not always the case.

To guide any future compilation efforts of the best and most practicable information, emerging discussions with Alaskan organizations has brought to light the importance of two key factors. First, defining the audience using propagation summaries will help determine and guide the scope, scale, and tone of the information that is curated, compiled and/or presented. For example, agency staff may be more interested in information related to propagation for restoration projects, whereas commercial seed growers may be more interested in how to produce stands for seed harvest, and backyard gardeners may be looking for information on small scale landscape use. Second, determining which specific data gaps are most important to address can help guide the collection of information in the future. For example, although hardening and shipping information was sparsely reported in the literature reviewed, this may be data that can be generalized across taxonomic groups and may not need to be a species-specific priority.

It's unclear whether the NPN submissions are tied to an organization's reporting requirements, or if submissions are purely voluntary. It's also unclear what drives the level of detail reported. If reporting is voluntary, it might be important to consider incentivizing submission of protocols to encourage information sharing of in-depth protocols. Data gaps may also be intentional or

proprietary; although native plant propagation information seems largely circulated among government agencies and non-profits, commercial growers may be purposefully limiting what growing techniques they are willing to share. This is an important aspect to keep in mind if soliciting information.

3.3.2 Information Accessibility

While the internet is a tremendous tool for gathering information, searching for (and vetting) information takes time, which land managers, growers, and nursery entrepreneurs are undoubtedly short on. We found that, especially for Alaska native plant species, limited published resources exist. One of the most time-intensive tasks we performed was vetting information, differentiating among research and nursery-specific trials, and differentiating between ecological versus horticultural studies. Occasionally vetting and verification was not possible. Propagation resources include academic journals behind paywalls, making it difficult for the average grower to stay apprised of the latest techniques or germination trials. Information presented in books can be an expensive investment and it's not always possible to confirm that the desired information (or plant species) is included prior to purchase; the resource may contain only a fraction of information or species relevant to Alaska.

To help with this, in addition to the Propagation Profiles (presented in Appendix A), we provide a general bibliography of resources with confirmed relevance to northern region propagation (Appendix B – Recommended Reading). This bibliography presents resources commonly referred to throughout this literature review, resources with specific advice and techniques geared towards Alaska/northern regions, and general guidance on considerations for harvesting, storing, and growing native plant materials.

Of note, it is unknown how much unpublished experience and species-specific techniques already exists just below the surface among Alaskan practitioners, professionals, amateurs, horticulturists, and backyard gardeners, which could potentially be a tremendous resource. This information may be available with a phone call or email, but at this point the who's-who of plant propagation throughout the state is not well defined. Information could be compiled through a formalized effort, such as targeted interview-based data collection, or by soliciting input with an online platform like iNaturalist or other crowd-sourced initiatives.

3.3.3 Considerations for a Data Clearinghouse

Developing a platform to host user-supplied propagation techniques has been proposed as one component of an Alaska-specific data clearinghouse. Currently, propagators may register with the NPN to enter protocol information on their platform; registration is free, and it appears that any "professional" grower may do so with no vetting requirements. The advantage of encouraging submissions to a platform like the NPN is that it already exists and would not require the development and maintenance of a separate database. Also, using an existing database limits further fragmentation of propagation information, which creates yet another source for growers to consult. However, it's unclear how active this platform is, and how regularly and widely it's used. Of the species protocols reviewed during this initial phase of

literature review, most protocols were submitted in the mid-2000s, with only a handful of protocols uploaded since 2015. Plant propagation is not exactly a rapidly changing science, yet existing information remains sparse for many species, and refinements in existing protocols surely occur.

On the other hand, it's also unclear how many Alaska growers are likely to submit information to a database, whether NPN or a new Alaska-specific platform. Consequently, the demand for – and usefulness of – an Alaska-specific propagation protocol platform is uncertain. One advantage it may pose is as an indicator of propagation activities throughout the state. It will likely depend on the willingness of independent growers to share potentially proprietary knowledge, and the ability of government or non-profit entities to conduct trials and report results.

The major advantages of hosting an independent Alaska-specific database are quality control and tailoring. Data entry forms can be designed to require basic details, which will increase usability, trial repeatability, and database searchability. Current NPN protocols are provided in narrative form, and for some species with multiple entries, it can be time consuming to review each and make comparisons. Moreover, current propagation resources are typically indexed only by species or family. A newly designed propagation platform could have additional advantageous features, such as the ability to index a variety of traits, track seed lots and propagation batches, report protocols in real time, and categorize and summarize information to enhance searchability.

For example, rather than be limited to a species search, a grower or land manager could search by seed transfer zones, ecological characteristics of source location, suitability for propagation versus direct seeding, dormancy-breaking requirements, or phenology. Such a resource could simultaneously serve as a reference, planning, and management tool, to maximize the utility of a web platform investment.

4 Vegetated Mats

Vegetation mats are sheets of native plant material commonly used in restoration. We learned from our survey and interview respondents – and prior conversations statewide – that this type of material is little understood and infrequently used in Alaska. However, they can be highly valuable for restoring sites where immediate ground coverage is needed, particularly on sites with poor soil where an instant matrix of established plants is beneficial. Many aspects of vegetated mats were identified as data gaps and are addressed in this section.

4.1 TERMINOLOGY AND DISAMBIGUATION

The term “veg mat” has been used to refer to a few related concepts with important ecological distinctions. In engineering contexts, “veg mat” has been used as a general term for biodegradable erosion control materials that are pre-seeded and/or hydroseeded after installation. It is important to note that many terms within the erosion control industry use the words “mat” or “turf” in a way that differs from the concepts discussed below. In restoration contexts, “veg mat” has been used as shorthand for “vegetation mats,” which is also called “sodding.” This refers to a revegetation technique by which a block of soil and natural vegetation is excavated from a donor site and transplanted to the restoration site. In regions with well-developed native plant production infrastructure, “veg mat” has also been used to refer to horticulturally produced “vegetated mats.” These mats are cultivated like field sod, but they are grown with native plant species rather than with turf grasses. To avoid confusion in the remainder of this report, we attempt to summarize and disambiguate this set of related concepts below (Table 5).

Table 5. Summary of terminology related to erosion control products. Terms and definitions are adapted from Sprague and Sprague (2016).

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECPs) are designed to reduce soil erosion and assist in the growth, establishment, and protection of vegetation; they may be made of temporary (degradable) or permanent (non-degradable) materials and are generally sold in rolls.	
Temporary RECPs are biodegradable erosion control materials used in applications where the natural vegetation, once established, will provide adequate soil stability.	
	Open Weave Mesh (OWM) are woven materials composed of natural fibers or degradable polymer yarns. Burlap (woven jute) and coir netting are most common.
	Erosion Control Blanket (ECB) are non-woven materials, composed of natural or degradable polymer fibers that are formed into a continuous matrix; these may or may not be reinforced with netting.
Permanent RECPs are non-degradable erosion control materials used when natural vegetation alone will be insufficient to provide long-term soil stabilization.	
	Turf reinforcement mats (TRM) are composed of non-degradable, synthetic materials, processed into a three-dimensional matrix. These are permanent systems that provide long-term soil stabilization and vegetation support.

4.1.1 Erosion Control Materials

Vegetated mats used for erosion control can reduce soil loss and facilitate the establishment of desired vegetation. There are many more precise ways to refer to these materials, and as such, this is a less common usage of “veg mat.” However, we address erosion control mats here because they are sometimes used in the production of horticulturally grown vegetated mats, and because of the significant overlap between words used to describe these different concepts. For a more thorough review of related concepts and terminology, please refer to Sprague and Sprague (2016) and to the International Erosion Control Association's Erosion & Sediment Control Glossary (*Erosion & Sediment Control Standard Terminology for the Stormwater Industry*, 2023).

4.1.2 Transplanted Mats or “Sodding”

In Alaska, some restoration resources have used the term “vegetative mat” to refer to a technique in which a block of soil and natural vegetation is harvested from a donor site and transplanted to a restoration site (Walter et al., 2005). Other texts refer to this same concept as “sod,” “sod transplant,” or “turf transplant.” Despite the wording similarity to “turfgrass sod,” this process exclusively involves wild-harvested vegetation and does not involve commercially produced mats. Once harvested, these mats may be stored and irrigated until planted out, but they are not cultivated or altered in any meaningful way before installation. The use of transplanted mats is limited by the significant labor costs of harvest and installation and by the

availability of suitable donor sites. In the remainder of this document, we refer to these as transplanted mats.

More recently, the term “tundra sodding” has been coined to describe a regionally specific version of the transplanted mat technique used to revegetate permafrost tundra. Tundra sodding was developed with input from Iñupiaq traditional ecological knowledge – specifically, under the guidance of Utqiagvik elder Charles Hopson, who himself learned the practice from his elders (Cater et al., 2015). At time of writing, the Salcha-Delta SWCD is beginning a multi-year effort to develop “tundra sod analogs”– cultivated vegetated mats that provide insulative and ecological benefits like those of transplanted tundra sod, without the need for destructive harvest from donor sites.

4.1.3 Cultivated Mats

Other revegetation resources have used the terms “vegetation mats” to describe a mat produced by growing plants in a controlled environment, often using some kind of erosion control fabric to provide structural integrity (Wright and Czapla, 2013). These materials are the primary focus of this report section, and we refer to them herein as “cultivated mats.” These sometimes use erosion control products as a substrate but provide the added benefit of immediate installation of established, functional vegetation. In Europe, urban greening projects generate significant demand for cultivated mats grown with low maintenance plants, such as stonecrops (*Sedum* spp.). Some nurseries in the United States are producing cultivated mats with native plant species for use in revegetation. In both cases, species assemblages are selected based on site conditions and goals, and the mats are grown out under nursery conditions before installation (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Visual disambiguation of “transplanted” veg mats and “cultivated” veg mats. Transplanted vegetation mats (a) are large plugs of soil (a1) and natural vegetation (a2) that are harvested from a donor site (a3) and transplanted to another location. Cultivated mats (b) are pre-grown vegetated mats of plant species chosen to provide site-specific ecosystem services. In their most general form, cultivated mats are composed of various plant species (b1); a carrier material (b2) that serves to hold the plant roots in a sod-like matrix and provide the mat with structural integrity; and some kind of growth medium (b3) that may or may not remain with the mat when it is harvested. Figure credit: B. Bernard, 2024.

4.2 TRANSPLANTED MATS

4.2.1 Use Cases in Alaska

Though labor-intensive and often expensive, revegetation with transplanted mats provides several ecological benefits substantially faster and/or more reliably than direct seeding or planting plugs. It provides immediate installation of soil and associated mycorrhizal associations, intact plant communities, and seed banks – ecological assets that often require years or decades to develop using other revegetation techniques. In Alaska, where growing seasons are often cool and always short, restoration practices that facilitate succession can positively impact ecosystems’ trajectories and rates of recovery (Billings, 1987; Cargill and Chapin, 1987). However, the suitability of this technique is influenced by both its labor-intensive nature and by the availability of appropriate donor sites from which to harvest mats.

The expense of harvesting and transplanting mats may be justified when rapid revegetation is required. For example, in permafrost-dominated ecosystems vegetation provides thermal insulation, and its disturbance can result in permafrost thaw, land subsidence, and/or permanent hydrological changes (Lawson, 1986; Williams et al., 2013). In arctic Alaska, Cater et al. (2015) were able to salvage mats from a site scheduled for vegetation removal, but they concluded that “the total cost per unit area for sodding is at least ten times higher than those associated with other methods.” A similar approach has been used to revegetate decommissioned trail

segments near Slana, Alaska – as trail crews removed vegetation from the new trail footprint, they transplanted the harvested mats onto the eroded sections of decommissioned trail. This technique has also been used to revegetate sections of decommissioned road and gravel pit within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge; mats for this project were salvaged from the expansion of a different, nearby gravel pit.

These examples illustrate how the use of transplanted mats is contingent upon the availability of ecologically appropriate donor sites – preferably ones that are nearby and already slated for ground disturbance. Transplanted mat techniques require substantial equipment, logistics, and labor, the costs of which may or may not be justified depending on several factors. For example, the timeline for revegetation, the remoteness of the project, the distance between donor and revegetation sites, and/or the ecology of the sites. In the latter two examples described above, revegetation was less time-sensitive than for arctic permafrost sites, but the logistical context made transplant mats feasible. Specifically, the availability of suitable vegetation already scheduled for destruction and the presence of crews already on site provided the materials and labor, respectively, that typically limit applications of this technique.

4.2.2 Ecological Considerations

Depending upon the context, harvesting mats from an area that would otherwise remain undisturbed may only be appropriate when revegetation of the project site provides an environmental benefit great enough to offset the degradation of the donor site. One contractor in Southcentral Alaska described an innovative approach by which they maintain land specifically for the purpose of harvesting mats, and after harvest, intentionally facilitate native plant recolonization of the area. The contractor's plots function as a renewable source of mats for projects with no nearby donor sites (Figure 3). However, two potential limitations of this approach emerge: 1) a producer must be able to dedicate enough acreage and time to allow for adequate natural recolonization of a plot before re-harvesting, and 2) the composition of plant communities produced via this technique will be limited by conditions of the growing site, the composition of nearby plant communities (propagule pressure), and post-harvest management techniques. Mats harvested from such sites may not be suitable for all restoration projects due to ecological differences, but this practice nonetheless provides a valuable resource for the revegetation of many upland sites.




Figure 3. Illustration of the regenerative donor mat system used by some contractors to cultivate a renewable source of vegetation mats for harvest. Figure credit: B. Bernard, 2024.

4.2.3 Logistical Considerations

Practitioners experienced with this technique emphasized the importance of skilled skid steer operators and attentive field crews to successful mat transplant projects. During mat installation, crews must work to maximize contact between the soil surface and the roots of the mat. Because roots subjected to prolonged air exposure are susceptible to desiccation and dieback, the operator must lay the mat down as smoothly and evenly as possible, and ground crew must actively eliminate any air pockets as the mat is placed. Desiccation along mat edges is also a concern, and mats should be placed closely against one another to minimize perimeter dieback. Whenever personnel capacity allows, crews should cut along the mat's edges as it is being scooped into the skid steer bucket to prevent mat deformation. A dedicated cutting crew can also cut out large root balls left behind by trees, which will help prevent air pocket formation during installation. However, even with a dedicated cutting crew any soil not supported by the bucket is likely to fall away during transport, distorting the shape of the harvested mat. Consequently, attentive installation is paramount to transplant success. See Cater et al. (2015) for additional discussion of these logistics and examples of innovative field techniques.

Timing of mat harvest presents another set of logistical concerns. From a plant physiological perspective, a transplanted mat will be most successful if harvested when plants are dormant. Harvesting mats when plants are dormant and the ground is partially frozen can help facilitate cleaner cuts, offsetting damage incurred by the skid steer. However, harvesting mats in spring may require pre-harvest surveys to ensure no nesting birds are present on the donor site. One interviewee reported success with a proactive approach: to discourage birds from nesting within

the donor site, they visited well ahead of harvest and fastened crinkled mylar strips throughout the area.

Another important logistical consideration is watering and irrigation. Transplanted mats should be watered thoroughly upon installation to eliminate small-scale air pockets, promote soil contact, and minimize root desiccation. For best results, transplanted mats should be watered thoroughly and evenly for at least two years; many sites will require three years. Irrigation schedules and modes of delivery will vary by site ecology and access logistics. Some sites may require seasonal installation of tanks and irrigation systems. Large watering trucks may provide adequate irrigation if nozzle pressure and angles are monitored and adjusted to ensure even watering. If not carefully calibrated, watering trucks can provide patchy irrigation, leading to localized desiccation and/or dieback.

4.3 CULTIVATED MATS

4.3.1 Use Cases in Alaska

Vegetated mats grown with ecologically appropriate plant species offer a potential alternative for sites where the transplanted mat approach is not practical. Like transplanted mats, cultivated mats provide the revegetation site with an established plant community. In Alaska, vegetated mats have seen very limited use, and they are absent from the local horticultural market. Anecdotal reports indicate that vegetated mats were produced and installed in interior Alaska, but no documentation of these efforts has been found. Similarly, the Alaska Plant Materials Center reports having grown “sod-forming vegetation mats” in the past, which were designed for streambank restoration. These mats could either be installed by skid steer or cut into smaller sections and laid by hand. However, this approach has largely been abandoned in favor of hydroseeding. The *Alaska Coastal Revegetation and Erosion Control Guide* briefly describes this process for 10' x 3' mats but cautions that production incurs “greater cost in time, materials, and labor” than the use of transplanted mats, which they call “sod clumps” (Wright and Czapla, 2013). However, no comparison of costs or performance is reported, and the production process depicted represents only one known method of producing vegetated mats.

In the past decade, horticultural producers in the Lower 48 have developed new techniques that claim to significantly reduce the cost of vegetated mat production (Yuristy et al., 2015; Toh & Toh, 2019). While these methods are patented, proprietary knowledge, it is encouraging to know that horticulturalists have succeeded in their production. In Alaska, the Palmer and Salcha-Delta SWCDs are experimenting with small mats in standard 10" x 20" trays. The Homer SWCD has experiments planned to research and report on basic questions related to material and species performances.

4.3.2 Production of Cultivated Vegetation Mats

Much of the academic literature on cultivated vegetated mats comes from Europe, where they are used in large-scale roof greening projects. Standards for green roof infrastructure are developed by Germany's Research Society for Landscape Development and Landscaping

(Forschungsgesellschaft Landschaftsentwicklung Landschaftsbau, FLL), which provides technical guidance regarding material selection and trade-offs. These include product weight, durability, and water-holding capacity, among other characteristics. These robust regulations reflect a substantial body of research into the production and performance of vegetated mats in urban environments and provide a foundation for the extension of these principles. For example, to refine production methods for colder climates (Emilsson, 2008) or to investigate novel uses of more sustainable materials (Herfort et al., 2023). Similar urban greening products have gained traction elsewhere, spurring innovation in production techniques – namely, the development of “soilless” vegetated mats that claim to cut down on labor and transport costs by reducing product weights (Yuristy et al., 2015; Toh & Toh, 2019). Mats claimed to be “soilless” include both those produced with sterile media and those produced hydroponically, such that there is no growing media in the final harvested product. For those interested in the details of production, a bibliography of relevant patents and papers is provided in Appendix B – Recommended Reading.

Of the carrier materials commonly used to produce vegetated mats, each has distinct strengths and weaknesses, and different carrier materials may be used depending on their intended applications. For example:

Coir (coconut fiber): Commonly used because of its water holding capacity (Schindler et al., 2017), and its ability to provide erosion control and slope stabilization (Agrecol LLC, 2023).

Woven materials (e.g. burlap): Superior in tensile strength and similar to other erosion control materials in their soil stabilization and water absorption capacities. However, woven materials are notably thinner and heavier than non-woven materials and may be less effective at enhancing germination (Sprague and Sprague, 2016).

Straw mats: Used in early iterations of veg mats, but found to lack sufficient mechanical stability, making them difficult to handle (Baldwin et al., 2002). Straw is sometimes discouraged in restoration work because of the potential for contamination with invasive plant material.

Mixed coir-straw mats: Much cheaper than pure coir, and according to some performance tests, may absorb more water than coir alone (Sprague and Sprague, 2016). Straw is sometimes discouraged in restoration work because of the potential for contamination with invasive plant material.

Poly-based (plastic): Designed to withstand hydraulic forces (Agrecol LLC, 2023). However, some restoration standards discourage the use of plastic materials – even ultraviolet-degradable formulations – because of potential harm to wildlife and microplastics contamination (Harman et al., 2023).

At time of writing, no US patents or applications for veg mats made with specific carrier material were found. However, based on product specification sheets and case studies, their production processes seem to parallel techniques described in Yuristy et al. (2015).

As demand for native plant materials has increased, a few nurseries in the United States have begun producing vegetated mats composed exclusively of native plant species (Agrecol LLC, 2023; Formecology LLC, 2023). These vegetated mats can be integrated into larger restoration installations (e.g., Envirolok LLC, 2021a) or as standalone revegetation solutions (Agrecol LLC, n.d.). For example, the Agrecol LLC native plant nursery produces cultivated mats with a mix of grasses, sedges, and forbs at a density of 25-30 plants per square foot.

Though revegetating with native pre-cultivated mats would eliminate the need for destructive harvest at donor sites, there are still significant costs associated with the production and installation of cultivated mats. In 2012, production costs for vegetated mats grown for green roof installations was estimated at \$60,000 per acre, with retail values varying from \$4-7 per square foot, depending on the materials used. The geotextile base materials accounted for roughly 50% (\$30,000 per acre) of those production costs. More recent innovations in production techniques report reducing costs to \$10,000-20,000 per acre through a combination of material selection, reduced production times, and/or reduced water and nutrient demands. Depending on the nature of plant propagation materials chosen, lead times for hydroponic soilless vegetated mats can be as low as 1-2 months, and lead times for outdoor systems as low as 3-4 months (Yuristy et al., 2015).

4.4 CULTIVATED VS. TRANSPLANTED MATS

Although both transplanted and cultivated vegetated mat types are similar in concept, they differ in important ways that impact their ecologies, economics, and applications. Transplanted vegetation mats are appropriate when suitable donor sites are available for harvest, and when the added expenses are justified by the project's ecological context. If made commercially available, cultivated mats are a potential alternative where no donor sites are accessible/appropriate. Either can be used as a supplement to seeding and planting.

4.4.1 Ecological Considerations

Transplanted mats immediately provide the restoration site with soil and organic matter, intact plant communities, a seed bank, and potentially associated beneficial fungi and bacteria. This makes them especially well-suited to time sensitive revegetation sites, such as those underlain by permafrost. Multi-year seed banks facilitate reproductive redundancy – that is, even if the mat vegetation does not spread laterally or set seed due to transplant stress, the seed bank can still facilitate new plant recruitment.

However, both the vegetated mat and seed bank may also include nonnative species. Care should be taken when choosing a donor site. A few dandelions may have little ecological impact, but introducing undetected aggressive invasive species typically contradicts restoration goals.

Cultivated mats immediately provide a restoration site with a native plant community, but do not have the same soil and organic matter content or seed bank as transplanted mats. They may provide some beneficial fungi and bacteria if substrates are inoculated. Naturally derived carrier materials may approximate some of the bio-physical functions of organic matter, such as improving water storage capacity and reducing runoff. If installed and maintained properly, cultivated mats can facilitate both vegetative spread and seed set within the first field season; however, the absence of a multi-year seed bank means that this success is contingent upon minimizing transplant stress.

Unlike transplanted mats, cultivated mats have little to no risk of introducing nonnative species to a restoration site.

4.4.2 Logistical Considerations

Both transplanted and cultivated mats entail many logistical considerations. The heavy and irregular nature of transplanted mats means that practitioners must have access to a skid steer, a skilled operator, and an attentive field crew. Air pockets created during installation, whether by root balls or operator error, can cause root die off and compromise mat success. Mat survival is also contingent upon multiple years of thorough, even watering. This means that irrigation or watering trucks must be available for at least two field seasons.

Cultivated mats may provide several logistical advantages, but until they are subjected to research trials and/or more widely used in Alaska, many of these advantages remain hypothetical. Because cultivated mats contain significantly less soil mass and can be cut to smaller sizes, they can be transported much further and more easily than transplanted mats. However, their storage and transport may require refrigeration, which would add to their overall cost. As a horticultural product, cultivated mats are also much more uniform in size and shape, which is expected to make the formation of air pockets during installation less likely. However, cultivated mats may need to be staked in place to prevent shifting, floating, or downslope movement. Both staking and irrigation encourage good soil contact. Long-term maintenance demands may be reduced relative to transplanted mats; the installation guidelines for Agrecol LLC (2023) mats recommend watering intensively during the first year but make no mention of irrigation in subsequent years. It's unclear whether this watering schedule may be appropriate in Alaska.

A thorough understanding of these logistical strengths and weaknesses will take years of trial and error. Early adopters of cultivated mats will be critical in expanding this knowledge, and those willing to keep and share careful records will be of tremendous help to the industry. To best serve both growers and consumers, future research should address how the cost of producing these specialty mats compares to the labor costs associated with harvesting vegetation mats. An accurate cost comparison will have significant impacts on both the recommended use cases for vegetated mats and on the viability of their commercial production.

4.5 POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF CULTIVATED MATS

Cultivated vegetation mats have considerable potential for restoration, remediation, and environmentally conscious landscaping applications. They could easily be used in conjunction with direct seeding or planting, especially where erosion or slope stability is a concern. Cultivated mats are also well-suited for small streambank and lakeshore restoration projects, stormwater runoff installations, or native ornamental plantings. In the lower 48 cultivated mats are already being used in slope stabilization projects, “living shoreline” installations, and rain gardens. Native plant nurseries have successfully produced mats with different seed mixes for different site conditions, and some even offer customized mat mixes (Agrecol LLC, 2023; Envirolok LLC, 2021b).

4.5.1 Small-Scale Restoration

In Southcentral Alaska, one major source of demand for native plant materials is the Cost-Share Program, administered cooperatively by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. This program helps private landowners restore streambank and shoreline habitat on their property. Since its inception in 1995, the Cost-Share Program has facilitated rehabilitation of over 12 miles of riparian and littoral habitat (Cost Share Program Overview, n.d.). Because streambank habitat is a high priority for protection, if transplanted mats are used, they typically come from upland donor sites and include species assemblages from those sites, rather than the restoration target community of lacustrine or riparian species. More often revegetation of streambanks and shoreline involves other methods, such as seeding, planting, and live staking. Cultivated mats have potential to enhance these existing revegetation practices.

Wisconsin-based native plant nursery Agrecol LLC (2023) already produces cultivated mats for use in similar applications. Their products include both coir- and polypropylene-based mats, and they offer different species mixes formulated according to site moisture. Coir-based mats are geared toward soil stabilization projects, while poly-based mats are recommended for sites subject to in-stream flow or wave action. However, many biodegradable erosion control products are rated for some level of shear stress (Sprague and Sprague, 2016), so the development of a fully biodegradable vegetated mat for in-stream application remains feasible. Using live stakes to anchor a native vegetated mat could serve to dissipate hydraulic energy and would be an ideal starting point for development of this technique.

4.5.2 Stormwater and Ornamental Plantings

Stormwater management is another valuable use for vegetated mats. For example, the Ontario-based producer NVK Nurseries (2023) grows *Sedum* species mats on coir blankets for use in urban runoff mitigation projects, as do many European nurseries. Agrecol LLC (2023) specifically markets their cultivated mats for use in swales and biofiltration installations. In both cases, the absorbent carrier materials and purpose-chosen plant species function to buffer and filter intercepted rainwater. Resources related to stormwater mitigation applications include Schindler et al. (2017), which summarizes the hydraulic properties of many commonly used horticultural substrates; and the Guidelines for the Planning, Construction and Maintenance of

Green Roofs (FLL, 2018), which provides extensive technical guidance regarding the development of vegetated mats for installation on non-permeable surfaces.

Mat-based growing techniques have also been used in the ornamental landscaping industry for decades, both in the production of ground covers (Sterrett and Sydnor, 1977; Decker, 1982) and wildflowers (Airhart et al., 1983; Milstein, 1990). There is growing interest in landscaping with native plants, and numerous resources are available for interested gardeners in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska (Currin and Merritt, 2023; Holloway and Gauss, 2021; Kruckeberg and Chalker-Scott, 2019). While some native ornamental species require attentive care, others flourish with little intervention and may prove well-suited to mat production. The species mixes offered by Agrecol LLC already include several showy, pollinator-friendly forbs with ornamental value. Nurseries with limited capacity but interest in the vegetated mat concept may consider experimenting with capillary beds or 1020 tray production of generalist native plant species. Smaller mats involve less investment and risk but may have more limited applications. However, they may still prove marketable for use in border gardens, small ornamental beds, or restoration projects. Ideal species for such experimentation include those with high disturbance tolerances and/or wide ranges of tolerance to soil characteristics, moisture regime, and shade. For example, Airhart et al. (1983) report that yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*) exhibits very good sodding properties under cultivation and high survivorship in field trials. Holloway and Gauss (2021) summarize plants suitable for different garden types on pages 58-61, which may provide a fruitful starting point for species selection.

4.5.3 General Production Notes

Small or private growers interested in experimenting with this technique will likely find mat production easiest in either 1020 trays (with drainage holes) or in simple capillary beds. The Society for Ecological Restoration at the University of Washington provides an excellent introduction to the theory and construction of capillary beds (Crandell et al., 2003). Of the biodegradable materials used to stabilize cultivated mats, burlap is the cheapest and most readily available; for growers interested in experimenting with non-degradable materials, row cover fabric (e.g., Reemay®) has also been used with some success. Finally, the Alaska Plant Materials Center's "Guidelines for Planting Seeds of Alaska Native Plants" (2004) contains guidance on soil mixes for plants of different soil moisture tolerances.

5 Partnerships, Coordination, and Collaboration

There are always more opportunities for partnership, coordination, and collaboration. Whether or not these relationships form is largely a question of project scale/scope and personalities. Happily, plant nerds by and large are agreeable nerds and a joy to work with. Below we summarize the networking Homer SWCD has been directly involved with. Of course, there are many more activities and partnerships in action that are not captured here. For a diagram illustrating agencies active in native plant work throughout the state, see Appendix E.

At the Southcentral level, the Homer and Anchorage SWCDs have been sharing funding from the BLM and Chugach National Forest. These funds, in turn, have been used to expand partnerships with the Palmer SWCD, Knik and Eklutna Tribal Conservation Districts, and the Kenai Watershed Forum. These collaborations have led to seed collections, nursery operation, plant propagation, and outreach. In future years, we hope to expand partnerships to include other SWCDs, additional tribal entities, and other individuals and organizations both in Southcentral Alaska and statewide.

Interviews described within this report have helped build relationships between Homer SWCD and numerous entities. This leaves our office well poised to move forward as liaison between government agencies and independent growers, to assist in connecting demand and supply, and connecting salvage opportunities to projects in need of plants. In partnership with Homer SWCD's Agriculture Program, we are also well positioned to assist growers with the technical aspects of plant production and soil health. At the time of publication, the Homer SWCD has conducted plant salvage from private and state land, and provided plant materials to the City of Homer, the Kenai Watershed Forum, and private landowners.

At the state level, Amy Tippery and Lisa Dlugolecki at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Fairbanks office, spearheaded establishment of the Alaska Native Plant Collaborative (AKNPC). They also secured funding to start multiple native plants nurseries, through a project called Partnership for Landscape Action with Native Terrestrials, aptly acronymized PLANT.

The AKNPC has subgroups focused on the following topics:

- Outreach and education
- Brokerage services
- Website development
- Production, planting, and collecting
- Plant species lists by region
- Data repository clearinghouse

The latter AKNPC focus area – developing an online data clearinghouse – is in development and will be hosted by the University of Alaska Fairbanks Institute of Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Extension (IANRE).



At the national level, the Seeds of Success (SOS) program continues to grow. Its mission is “to collect wildland native seed for research, development, germplasm conservation, and ecosystem restoration... support the native plant materials development process, by which the BLM increases the quality and quantity of native plant materials available for restoring and supporting resilient ecosystems.” While initially led by the BLM, the SOS program has expanded to include the USFWS and National Park Service. In Alaska, this program provides funding to the Alaska Plant Materials Center to clean and test seeds. A portion of these seeds are saved at a facility in Pullman, Washington. Remaining seeds are available, at the discretion of the BLM, for use in restoration, grow out for seed multiplication, nursery plantings, and research. A similar seed collection program is run through the USFS. As of 2024 – and to the best of our knowledge – there are at least five teams collecting seed for these national programs.

6 Discussion and Recommendations

The National Academies of Science (2023) report on seed needs nationwide indicates that the biggest barriers to implementation are lack of starter seed from target ecotypes, the relative difficulty of growing native species compared to agronomic crops, and the unpredictable demand (dictated by variable environmental disasters and inconsistent financial markets). Moreover, it requires a significant amount of specialized knowledge and equipment and years of lead time to produce target species and ecotypes in adequate quantities. The consequence of these barriers is that there is still a relatively small commercial market for seed.

Solutions offered to these challenges include improved communication between buyers and producers, advance planning and longer lead times, and increased technical assistance (National Academy of Sciences, 2003). Additional considerations for Alaska are discussed below.

6.1 PROGRESS TOWARD IDENTIFIED GOALS

Happily, a number of high-demand topics that have emerged through our research are already being addressed by members of the AKNPC:

- **Species-specific information on propagation.** Homer SWCD has completed 40 Propagation Profiles, and our repeatable methodologies are reported in this document to encourage others to create additional Propagation Profiles.
- **Data gaps surrounding vegetation mats.** Homer SWCD has provided in this report a detailed literature review of vegetation mats. An experimental design has been written and will be implemented as funding allows. Other SWCDs are also experimenting with production.
- **Improve communication, partnerships, and networking.** Survey and interview results connect the views of 43 participants. Ongoing efforts with the AKNPC continue to build relationships and share knowledge.
- **Develop a website to house and disseminate knowledge.** The AKNPC has subgroups working on this from a few angles: data clearinghouse content, connecting buyers and sellers, and education and outreach. The data clearinghouse is under development and will be hosted by IANRE. To the best of our knowledge, the Palmer SWCD is pursuing funding for additional website content, and potentially a domain and web developer contractor.
- **Increase native plant production.** Several organizations throughout the state have been producing plant materials for grants, contracts, and direct sales.

6.2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES MOVING FORWARD

Based on the research included in this report, and ongoing discussions statewide, we suggest the following roles and responsibilities for the future:

- Suppliers to set prices based on actual costs of production.
- Buyers specify materials and quantities needed, at least two years in advance, while remaining flexible.

- Federal agencies continue advocating for funding native plant projects and passing the money down to organizations that can implement the work.
- SWCDs function as networking hubs and producers.
- Contractors increase participation in plant salvage from areas scheduled for disturbance and help direct property owners to native plant resources.

6.3 REMAINING DATA GAPS AND NEXT STEPS

6.3.1 Scope and Scale

This work was primarily focused on Southcentral Alaska, and other regions were not as well represented. Future research could include taking a similar approach as used in this report, and applying it to different regions of Alaska, or statewide. Additionally, there is still more we could learn from Canada and the Lower 48; future work may examine how they have established viable native plant networks and economies.

We would have preferred to include additional participants in our surveys and interviews but were limited by time constraints. Future surveys could include additional tribal entities, commercial seed growers, and contractors. Additional survey and interview topics could include a more in-depth examination of species-specific and project-specific native plant needs.

6.3.2 Advance Planning – Projects and Climate Change

A common theme throughout our literature reviews, surveys, and interviews, is that native plants require a long lead time to grow species in useful quantities. How can we improve advance planning of plant material needs to support growers and give them adequate lead time to produce materials? How has this been done outside of Alaska?

While it may be possible to plan ahead for specific projects, advanced planning and funding for wildfires, floods, or other natural disasters presents unique challenges. There's an opportunity to investigate financial mechanisms that may allow us to meet future needs with our emerging capacity. For example, this may be an opportunity to pursue a Preparedness and Resilience grant with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

6.3.3 Ecological and Biological Appropriateness

In developing this report, several research projects needs have been identified:

- Develop provisional seed transfer zones for Alaska based on newly updated USDA planting zones and hi-resolution climatic data. See available resources:
 - [USFS Western Wildland Environmental Threat Assessment Center](#)
 - [Alden, 1991](#)
 - [Bower et al., 2014](#)
 - [Duffy, 2012 \(pp. 12-14\)](#)
- Investigate local climate models and plan for species selection as it applies to temperature and moisture regime changes.

- Refine and standardize a tool for assigning species' habitat suitability; create a tool for site-specific species prescriptions.
- Literature review and modelling of assisted migration, particularly for lodgepole pine, balsam fir, larch, and hemlock.
- Controlled experiments with priority species that need improvement in germination rates, propagation success, or outplanting success.
- Literature review of impacts of native seed artificial selection on suitability for restoration. Cultivars developed from native species may have the benefit of increased production and more uniform growth. However, because they have been genetically selected for these traits, they may be less suitable for revegetation where high genetic diversity is preferred.

6.3.4 Monitoring and Adaptive Management

At present, the Alaska native plant community is largely focused on plant production, but once that is underway, we need to focus on outplanting success and long-term plant survival. One next step is to develop Best Management Practices for plant installation, long-term monitoring, and adaptive management. This will also include developing quality control standards for plant materials; for example, weed-free and pest-free certifications.

6.3.5 Technology Standardization, Development, and Analysis

An initial investment in data standardization will save funds down the road regarding data collection, management, and analysis; and will also allow partners to avoid duplicating efforts. For example, mapping collection locations, outplanting locations, associated ecological descriptors, and associated success rates can inform the relative need for sourcing native materials from geographically proximal and ecologically similar areas. Having a robust statewide dataset will also allow analysis of climate change impacts on species ranges, inform future restoration efforts, and facilitate long-term monitoring and adaptive management. Ideally, we would have a GIS professional/analyst/data manager that would support partners statewide to manage data and provide quality control, as well as streamline workflows.

6.3.6 Education and Outreach

Education and outreach are always ongoing. Our surveys and interviews indicate strong communication both within and among federal, state, and NGOs; however, we were lacking communication with contractors. We can provide more outreach to contractors, to promote plant salvage and native plant revegetation.

We also need to provide outreach and support to local growers who may be interested in producing native plant materials, especially if we want native plant production to be a viable business outside the boom-and-bust cycle of federal funding. We can encourage participation of private entities by providing start-up funding support, technical expertise, and networking opportunities for native plant entrepreneurs, e.g. by connecting independent growers with federal and state agencies in need of materials.



There will also be opportunities to involve volunteers and cooperators in plant material collection and propagation, and to educate them in the value of native plants over imported nursery stock.

7 Works Cited

- Agrecol LLC. (2023). *Agrecol's Native Vegetated Mat*. Agrecol Native Seed & Plant Nursery. Retrieved December 12, 2023, from <https://www.agrecol.com/nativevegetatedmat>
- Agrecol LLC. (n.d.). *NVM Case Study*. Agrecol Native Seed & Plant Nursery. Retrieved December 12, 2023, from <https://www.agrecol.com/assets/images/NVM%20Case%20Study.pdf>
- Airhart, D. L., Falls, K. M., & Hosmer, T. (1983). Developing Wildflower Sods. *HortScience*, 18(1), 89-91. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.18.1.89>
- Alaska Department of Fish and Game. *Cost Share Program Overview*. Retrieved January 2, 2024, from <https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=habitatrestoration.costshare>
- Alaska Department of Fish and Game. (2005). *Streambank Revegetation and Protection: A Guide for Alaska*. https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/static/home/library/pdfs/habitat/g8_03.pdf
- Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture. *Certified Weed-Free Gravel Program*. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/WeedFreeGravel.pdf>
- [Alaska Department of Natural Resources](https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/invasives/noxious-weeds.htm). Prohibited & Restricted Noxious Weeds list. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/invasives/noxious-weeds.htm>
- Alaska Flora Quick Map. Online Resource. <https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>
- Alaska Plant Materials Center. Plant Flyers Page. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/Pubsplantflyers.htm>
- Alaska Plant Materials Center. (2004). *Guidelines for Planting Seeds of Alaska Native Plants from the Native Plant Nursery*. State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture. Retrieved February 28, 2024, from <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/GuidelinesShortProtocolsfor2004Seed.pdf>
- [Alaska Plant Materials Center](https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/PMCPubsIndex.html). Publications Page. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/PMCPubsIndex.html>
- Alden, J. N. (1991.) *Provisional Tree Seed Zones and Transfer Guidelines for Alaska*. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Stations. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-270. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GOVPUB-A13-PURL-gpo45260/pdf/GOVPUB-A13-PURL-gpo45260.pdf>
- Baldwin, B. S., Jr, J. M. G., Fuller, M. J., Reichert, N. A., & Hensler, K. L. (2002). *Soilless sod* (United States Patent US6357176B2). <https://patents.google.com/patent/US6357176B2/>

- Billings, W. D. (1987). Constraints to plant growth, reproduction, and establishment in Arctic environments. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 357–365. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1551400>
- Bower, A. D., St. Clair, J. B., & Erickson, V. (2014.) *Generalized provisional seed zones for native plants*. <https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0285.1>
- Brickley, D. (2010.) *Seed Transfer Zones: Development Recommendations for Alaska*. Unpublished report for the Alaska Natural Heritage Program.
- Cargill, S. M., & Chapin, F. S. (1987). Application of Successional Theory to Tundra Restoration: A Review. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 366–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1987.12002617>
- Cater, T. C., Hopson, C., & Streever, B. (2015). The Use of the Iñupiaq Technique of Tundra Sodding to Rehabilitate Wetlands in Northern Alaska. *Arctic*, 68(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.14430/arctic4518>
- Crandell, C., Elliot, C., Kline, K., Lundstrom, C., & Pond, R. (2003). *Capillary and Wet Beds: Essential Tools for Restoration Preparation*. SER-UW Native Plant Nursery. Retrieved December 2023, from http://depts.washington.edu/propplnt/2003guidelines/group3/CAPILLARY_BEDS_AND_WET_BEDS.htm
- Currin, K., & Merritt, A. (2023). *The Pacific Northwest Native Plant Primer: 225 Plants for an Earth-Friendly Garden*. Timber Press.
- Decker, H. F. (1982). *Method for producing ground cover sods* (United States Patent US4336668A). <https://patents.google.com/patent/US4336668A>
- Duffy, M. (2012.) *Seeds of Success: Summary of Alaska Collections 2002-2012, AK025, AK040, AK930*. The Alaska Natural Heritage Program. Submitted to the BLM Alaska State Office. https://accs.uaa.alaska.edu/wp-content/uploads/Seeds_of_Success_2002-2012_Summary_of_Collections.pdf
- Electronic Atlas of the Flora of British Columbia. Online Resource. <https://linnet.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/index.html>
- Emilsson, T. (2008). Vegetation development on extensive vegetated green roofs: Influence of substrate composition, establishment method and species mix. *Ecological Engineering*, 33(3), 265–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.05.005>
- Envirolok LLC. (2021, May 15). *Native Vegetated Mat Tips*. Envirolok, LLC. Retrieved December 12, 2023, from <https://www.envirolok.com/post/native-vegetated-mat-tips>

- Envirolok, LLC. (2021, May 15). *Project: Case Studies*. Envirolok, LLC. Retrieved December 12, 2023, from <https://www.envirolok.com/case-study>
- International Erosion Control Association. (2023). *Erosion & Sediment Control Standard Terminology for the Stormwater Industry* (Version 4).
<https://dyzz9obiz78pm5.cloudfront.net/app/image/id/6556531c62c4d10cfd3fb485/n/o-esc-glossary-v4.pdf>
- Fire Effects Information System. Online Resource. <https://www.feis-crs.org/feis/>
- FLL. (2018). *Guidelines for the Planning, Construction and Maintenance of Green Roofs* (6th ed.). Landscape Development and Landscaping Research Society.
https://commons.bcit.ca/greenroof/files/2019/01/FLL_greenroofguidelines_2018.pdf
- Formecology LLC. (2023). *Shoreline Management & Slope Stabilization*. Retrieved December 12, 2023, from <https://formecology.com/services/shoreline-management-slope-stabilization/>
- Gallant, A. L., Binnian, E. F., Omernik, J. M., & Shasby, M. B. (2010). *Level III Ecoregions of Alaska*. https://gaftp.epa.gov/EPADDataCommons/ORD/Ecoregions/ak/ak_eco.pdf
- Gann, G. D., McDonald, T., Walder, B., Aronson, J., Nelson, C. R., Jonson, J., Hallett, J. G., Eisenberg, C., Guariguata, M. R., Liu, J., Hua, F., Echeverría, C., Gonzales, E., Shaw, N., Decler, K., & Dixon, K.W. (2019). International principles and standards for the practice of ecological restoration. Second edition. *Restoration Ecology*
<https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13035>
- Georgeson Botanical Garden. Resources Page.
<https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/research/>
- Greenstein, C. (2024.) *Starting a Native Plant Business in Southcentral Alaska, Draft*. Homer Soil and Water Conservation District.
- Harman, W. A., Varner, M. S., Lamb, E. K., & McLeod, D. B. (2023). *Stream Design and Reclamation Guide for Interior Alaska* (Technical Report #65; p. 190). US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, US Fish and Wildlife Service.
https://www.blm.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2023-03/BLM_AK_TR65_508.pdf
- Herfort, S., Pflanz, K., Larsen, M.-S., Mertschun, T., & Grüneberg, H. (2023). Influence of Sheep's Wool Vegetation Mats on the Plant Growth of Perennials. *Horticulturae*, 9(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9030384>
- Holloway, P., & Gauss, V. (2021). *Wildflowers for Northern Gardens* (1st ed.). A.F. Farmer LLC.

- Hultén. 1968. *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskafloora.org/hulten/do?method=search&q=>
- Kruckeberg, A. R., & Chalker-Scott, L. (2019). *Gardening with Native Plants of the Pacific Northwest*. University of Washington Press.
- Lady Bird Johnson Native Plants of North America Database. Online Resource. <https://www.wildflower.org/plants-main>
- Lawson, D. E. (1986). Response of Permafrost Terrain to Disturbance: A Synthesis of Observations from Northern Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 18(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1986.12004058>
- Milstein, G. (1990). *Wildflower sod mat and method of propagation* (United States Patent US4941282A). <https://patents.google.com/patent/US4941282A/>
- National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2023). *An Assessment of Native Seed Needs and the Capacity for Their Supply: Final Report*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/26618>
- Native Plant Network Plant Propagation Protocol Database. Online Resource. <https://npn.rngr.net/propagation/protocols>
- Natural Resource Conservation Service. Conservation Stewardship Program. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs-initiatives/csp-conservation-stewardship-program>
- Natural Resource Conservation Service. Environmental Quality Incentives Program. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs-initiatives/eqip-environmental-quality-incentives>
- NVK Nurseries. (2023). *Sempergreen® Vegetation Mats*. Retrieved December 12, 2023, from <https://www.nvknurseries.com/page/Sempergreen-Vegetation-Mats>
- Ontario Rock Garden and Hardy Plant Society Germination Guide. Online Resource. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>
- Schindler, U., Lischeid, G., & Müller, L. (2017). Hydraulic Performance of Horticultural Substrates—3. Impact of Substrate Composition and Ingredients. *Horticulturae*, 3(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae3010007>
- Seed Information Database. Online Resource. <https://ser-sid.org/>
- Sprague, C. J., & Sprague, J. E. (2016). *Testing and Specifying Erosion Control Products*. 10. <http://erosiontest.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/IECA16-TestingSpecgRECPs-Sprague.pdf>

- Sterrett, R. B., & Sydnor, T. D. (1977). The Production of Ground Covers in a Sod-like Manner. *HortScience*, 12(5), 492–494. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.12.5.492>
- Toh, H. L., & Toh, H. Y. Z. (2019). *Pre-grown vegetated mat*. World Intellectual Property Organization Patent WO2019088923A1. <https://patents.google.com/patent/WO2019088923A1/>
- University of Alaska Fairbanks, Cooperative Extension Service. (2021). *Don't Plant a Problem*. FGV-00146. <https://www.uaf.edu/ces/publications/database/gardening/files/pdfs/FGV-00146-Dont-Plant-Problem.pdf>
- USDA National Agricultural Library. Online Resource. https://search.nal.usda.gov/discovery/search?vid=01NAL_INST:MAIN&search_scope=pubag&tab=pubag
- USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map. Online Resource. <https://planthardiness.ars.usda.gov/>
- USDA Plant Materials and Technical Documents. Online Resource. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/plant-materials/publications/search>
- USDA Plants Database. Online resource. <https://plants.usda.gov/home>
- USFS Western Wildland Environmental Threat Assessment Center. Online Resource. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/wwetac/Seedzonemapping.php>
- Viereck, L. A., Dyrness, C. T., Batten, A. R., & Wenzlick, K. J. (1992). *The Alaska Vegetation Classification*. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-286. https://www.geobotany.uaf.edu/library/pubs/ViereckLA1992_USFS_PNWGTR286.pdf
- Walter, J., Hughes, D., Moore, N., & Muhlberg, G. (2005). *Streambank Revegetation and Protection: A Guide for Alaska*. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. <https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=streambankprotection.main>
- Williams, T. J., Quinton, W. L., & Baltzer, J. L. (2013). Linear disturbances on discontinuous permafrost: Implications for thaw-induced changes to land cover and drainage patterns. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(2), 025006. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/025006>
- Wright, S. J., & Czapla, P. K. (2013). *Alaska Coastal Revegetation & Erosion Control Guide* (3rd ed.). Alaska Plant Materials Center. https://www.naturebob.com/sites/default/files/2013%20Wright%20%26%20Czapla%20Coastal-Reveg_web_v2.pdf

Wright, S. J. (2008). *A Revegetation Manual for Alaska*. Alaska Plant Materials Center.
<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/RevegManual.pdf>

Yuristy, G., Zheng, Y., & Dixon, M. (2015). *Soilless pre-vegetated mat and process for production thereof* (United States Patent US20150359181A1).
<https://patents.google.com/patent/US20150359181A1/>



Huzzah for lupines! (*Lupinus nootkatensis*, photo credit: B. Bernard)

Appendix A – Propagation Profiles

Written by Kelly Sivy, Homer Soil and Water Conservation District

Scientific Name	Common Name
<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	common yarrow
<i>Achillea sibirica</i>	Siberian yarrow
<i>Agrostis scabra</i>	rough bentgrass
<i>Agrostis</i> sp.	bentgrass
<i>Alnus incana</i> ssp. <i>tenuifolia</i>	thinleaf alder
<i>Alnus viridis</i> ssp. <i>crispa</i>	mountain alder
<i>Alnus viridis</i> ssp. <i>sinuata</i>	Sitka alder
<i>Aquilegia formosa</i>	western columbine
<i>Arnica angustifolia</i>	narrowleaf arnica
<i>Artemisia tilesii</i>	Tilesius' wormwood
<i>Aruncus dioicus</i>	goatsbeard
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> var. <i>kenaica</i>	Kenai birch
<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	bluejoint
<i>Carex aquatilis</i>	water sedge
<i>Carex canescens</i>	silvery sedge
<i>Carex mertensii</i>	Mertens' sedge
<i>Carex pachystachya</i>	starry broomsedge
<i>Chamerion angustifolium</i>	fireweed
<i>Dryas drummondii</i>	Drummond's mountain-avens
<i>Eriophorum angustifolium</i>	common cottongrass
<i>Erythranthe guttata</i>	seep monkeyflower
<i>Eurybia sibirica</i>	Siberian aster
<i>Geum macrophyllum</i>	largeleaf avens
<i>Hedysarum alpinum</i>	alpine sweet vetch
<i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	meadow barley
<i>Juncus mertensianus</i>	Merten's rush
<i>Lathyrus japonicus</i>	beach pea
<i>Leymus mollis</i>	beach rye
<i>Lupinus arcticus</i>	arctic lupine
<i>Lupinus nootkatensis</i>	Nootka lupine
<i>Luzula parviflora</i>	smallflowered woodrush
<i>Papaver radicatum</i>	rooted poppy
<i>Picea glauca</i>	white spruce

<i>Polemonium acutiflorum</i>	tall Jacob's-ladder
<i>Polemonium pulcherrimum</i>	showy Jacob's-ladder
<i>Pyrola asarifolia</i>	liverleaf wintergreen
<i>Rhinanthus minor</i>	little yellow rattle
<i>Salix</i> sp.	willows
<i>Sanguisorba canadensis</i>	Canadian burnet
<i>Spiraea stevenii</i>	Alaska spirea
<i>Tripleurospermum maritimum</i>	arctic chamomile

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Achillea millefolium*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): common yarrow

Family: Asteraceae


USDA PLANTS code: ACML2

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Achillea millefolium



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Bulkley Valley, Northwestern British Columbia (Burton & Burton, 2003) - USDA Zone equivalent 4b
<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Pullman, Washington (Skinner, 2003) - USDA Zone 6a
<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=asteraceae-achillea-2148>

Seed collected in Glacier National Park, propagated in Bridger, MT (Winslow, 2002) - USDA Zone 5a
<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=asteraceae-achillea-1362>

Habitat description:

Dry and moist meadows, pastures, edges of streams and wooded areas (Mann et al., 2022; Rose et al., 1998).

Elevation range:

1200-3350 meters (Rose et al., 1998).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Medium textured soils (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Tolerates a wide range of soil types in addition to being adapted to poor soils and acidic or alkaline conditions (Mann et al., 2022). Dry to moist or mesic sites, provided they are well-drained (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

Variable and likely dependent on population distribution. The USDA lists common yarrow as having intermediate drought tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023); Mann et al. (2022) describes that it thrives in open sun but can tolerate shade, which may affect flowering and seed production; Burton and Burton's (2003) review indicates shade intolerance.

Drought tolerance:

Medium to high drought tolerance (Rose et al., 1998; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

High. Hardy and thrives in disturbed areas, resilient to grazing, and recovers from light fire (Alekssoff, 1999; Burton & Burton, 2003; Mann et al., 2022).

Community interactions:

Tolerant of competition, can become weedy if not regularly managed (Mann et al., 2022).

Wildlife associations:

Beneficial to insects, and to some extent provides forage for snowshoe hares and deer (Mann et al., 2022).

Restoration value:

Used for revegetation of disturbed sites and erosion control, as rhizomes can bind the soil (Downing, 1996; Mann et al., 2022). Greenstein (2023) observed yarrow maintaining a population within a site heavily infested with the extremely invasive species, orange hawkweed (*Hieracium aurantiacum*), indicating it may provide competition to unwanted species.

Primary reproductive mode:

Sexual and asexual. Regenerates from rhizome fragments, and colonizes within 1-2 meter range through wind dispersed seed (Alekssoff, 1999).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Excellent germination from seed (Burton & Burton, 2003). Mann et al. (2022) warns that once established, *A. millefolium* should be closely monitored to keep from becoming weedy or invasive, as it forms colonies with extensive rhizomes that can form monocultures. However, Burton and Burton (2003) write that where *A. millefolium* is newly established it is not typically an aggressive plant, despite its rhizome growth.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Yarrow flowers early in the season at low elevations, and later in the season at high elevations (Rose et al., 1998). Holloway (2024) writes "high degree of self-incompatibility, so insect pollination improves seed set. *A. alpina* has up to 25 heads per flower cluster, and the plant

Propagation Profiles

usually consists of a single upright flowering stem. *A. millefolium* is much more robust, consisting of one or multiple stems from a large crown and as many as 100+ heads in a flower cluster."

Entire heads change from greenish to tan to brown; seeds are ready for harvest when flower heads turn brown and hard. Rub heads between fingers, and harvest when individual heads begin to break apart. Harvest entire seed heads, by hand or with a combine. By hand, cut heads from the plant. Seed loss can be minimized by clipping over a bin or inside a bag (Burton & Burton, 2003). Store in paper bags or on screens at room temperature for a minimum of 1 week, until ready for cleaning (Mann et al., 2022; Skinner, 2003). Winslow (2002) notes average seed collection by hand of 138.3 grams (4.9 oz) of clean seed per person-hour.

- **Seeds per/lb**

8,105 seeds per gram from British Columbia (Burton & Burton, 2003); 2.8 million seeds per pound reported from the Pullman, WA ecotype (Skinner, 2003); 6.6 million seed per kilogram reported from Glacier National Park (Winslow, 2002).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) advises: "Separate achenes from chaff by rubbing against screens with an air/screen cleaner or seed blower. Some that don't break apart easily may require processing with a hammermill." Rose et al. (1998) advises that once dried, seed heads can be crushed with a rolling pin or similar to remove nutlets, then run through a sieve to separate chaff from seed. Skinner (2003) rubbed seed heads to separate and cleaned with air column separator.

Winslow (2002) describes their seed processing as follows:

"Seed is spread out on a tarp in a dry, sheltered environment and turned daily for approximately 3-5 days, until no moisture or warmth is detected. After drying, material is processed with a Wintersteiger plot combine at concave closed, speed 700 rpm, and no wind. Seed is threshed with a hammermill through a 4/64" round hole screen, air-screen processed on a Clipper M2B or Eclipse cleaner over a 1-21" round hole screen with low wind, and then over a 6x30 wire mesh screen (0.0203" x 0.1471") to remove very fine debris. Due to tiny seed, abundance of floral chaff, and moderate seed flow, this species is moderately easy to clean. Larger seed lots are processed most efficiently with mechanized cleaning equipment and smaller seed lots usually require more hand labor."

Burton and Burton (2003) describes their cleaning process (dried seed):

"Fanning mill (no air flow), followed by vacuum separator. Fanning mill screen sizes: prescreen 1.8 x 12.5 mm slot; top screen 1.2 x 7.1 mm slot; bottom screen blank or 1.40 mm square. Then use vacuum separator with speed and suction set low to remove dust and <5% of seeds. Hand sieve using a #14 (1.40 mm) screen for small quantities or for finishing."

- **Storage**

Seed germination declines gradually over time, but > 50% of seeds usually remain viable for at least 5 years when frozen (Holloway, 2024). Store seeds in cold, dry storage in a sealed container (Rose et al., 1998). Seed can remain viable for 5 to 7 years when stored in cool (40-50° F) dry conditions (Mann et al., 2022). Burton and Burton (2003) report storing at 0.6-7.2°C (33-45°F). Winslow (2002) stored seed in Ziploc bags punctured for aeration, then placed punctured Ziplocs in cloth or plastic seed sacks and left them in cool, dry storage. The Pullman Plant

Propagation Profiles

Materials Center reports maintaining stored seed at 40°F and 40% relative humidity (Skinner, 2003).

- **Scarification requirements**

Lab and greenhouse trials indicate seed priming with gibberellic acid (400 ppm), potassium nitrate (either 2 or 4%), or polyethylene glycol (either 12 or 24 hour exposure) yielded significant increases in germination rate and seedling emergence in soil (Kanas et al., 2020).

- **Stratification requirements**

The USDA reports in its plant guide that seeds require one month of cold stratification and direct sunlight for germination (Mann et al., 2022). However, Burton and Burton (2003), working with seed collected from northwestern British Columbia, found little difference during incubator trials between germination rates of stratified (86-95%) and unstratified (86-96%) seed. Winslow (2002), germinating seed collected from Glacier National Park, reported implementing a 10-day cold stratification at 0-1°C, with subsequent exposure to 22-25°C.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Burton and Burton (2003) report mean germination of untreated seed at 81.4%, under a 30/20°C thermocycle. Germination improved under warmer temperatures. Under 25/15°C thermocycle germination was 91.5% for untreated seed and 90.5% for stratified seed. These results were from germination tests under an incubator. Seed began germinating after 5 days and reached 50% in 6 days (Burton & Burton, 2003). For outdoor planting, they note that *A. millefolium* germinated best on cooler soils, on a weed free site consisting of loamy, well-prepared soil.

The Seed Information Database reports 94-98% germination by trials conducted in Canada, achieved under an 8/16 photoperiod at 15 -25°C, and required 35 days to germinate. This rate was reduced to 60% germination under the same 8/16 photoperiod when grown at 10°C, and time to germinate increased to 168 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Seeds are easy to germinate outdoors or indoors. Direct sow in autumn or spring by scattering seeds on the soil surface. Germination is best and fastest in light and alternating temperatures. Indoors press seeds into the surface of a sterile seed starting mix, and do not cover. Keep moist. Germination begins in 7-10 days 25/10°C with 16 hr light at the high temperature or 10- 14 days at 21°C (70F) 16/8 light/dark. Germination percentages are higher outdoors in cultivated seed nurseries with ample soil fertility and moisture as opposed to wild harvested seeds."

- **Establishment phase**

Following cold stratification, Winslow (2002) direct seeded into a firm, weed-free soil bed with field moisture to 4" depth. For each row, 25-30 seeds/ft were planted to a 0.25" deep using a 2-row double disk planter. They recommend keeping soil moist and prevent soil from crusting with 3-4 light water applications per week.

The USDA recommends planting seed in a sunny location, in soil that has been loosened to a depth of 12-15". Seed can be sown at 1-2 pounds per acre at ¼" depth and 3 feet apart; for broadcast seeding, they recommend doubling the seeding rate. Germination occurs in 1-2 weeks (Mann et al., 2022).

Propagation Profiles

Skinner (2003) reports:

"In January, seeds are sown directly into 10 cu. in. Ray Leach Super Cell containers filled with Sunshine #4 planting media and covered lightly. A thin layer of pea gravel is used to prevent seed and media from floating during initial watering. Media is kept moist during germination. Germination is usually 6-7 days and is complete in 2 weeks."

For bareroot production, Mann et al., (2022) recommend keeping yarrow plots weeded until the plant is well established, and/or seeding yarrow in a contained area or with other competitive plants to minimize weeds. They also note that, though yarrow does not require fertilizer, plants may benefit from a 5N:4P:2K fertilizer when transplanted. For seed crops, flower production can be facilitated by cutting dead, brown flower heads (Mann et al., 2022). Winslow (2002) does not recommend applying fertilizer during the first year as it can favor weeds and consequently enhance competition.

- **Active growth phase**

Skinner (2003) reports an active growth phase of 60 days. Plants grew quickly after germination, when watered every other day and fertilized weekly using a complete, water soluble fertilizer containing micronutrients.

Winslow (2002) advised:

"Plateau herbicide is recommended for control of broadleaf weeds and application must occur pre-emergence or post-emergence prior to bolting, budding, or bloomset of wildflower crop; soil moisture is critical during budding stage, after anthesis, and post harvest to pre-freezeup -no irrigation is applied during flowering (pollination); fertilizer is broadcast at 100 lbs actual N/40 lbs actual P/acre in mid-September."

- **Hardening phase**

Skinner (2003) moved plants to a cold frame, and the hardening phase was 3-4 weeks.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Plants at the Bridger Plant Materials Center (Montana) were harvested late summer (mid July-late August). Plants were harvested with a John Deere swather and a diaper (heavy plastic or canvas under belt draper) to minimize seed loss (Winslow, 2002).

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

Heger et al. (2011) provides some generalized guidelines for propagation by basal or stem cuttings from mature individuals of any *Achillea* spp.: "Cut off the tips of the stems in spring before the plant sets flower buds or in summer after flowering. Take cuttings with three sets of leaves in early morning when plants are minimally stressed. Each cutting should have several sets of leaves. Cut about ¼" below leaf node, and remove all but top set of leaves." Plant immediately after collection, in moist rooting medium and high humidity. Stem cuttings should root in 4-6 weeks (Heger et al., 2011). Once young plants have formed an adequate root ball,

Propagation Profiles

transplant into pots or a protected garden location. Water regularly until well established (Heger et al., 2011).

- **Division**

Holloway (2024) writes: "Divide during non-flowering times (spring or autumn), but best to divide in spring just as crown growth begins. *A. millefolium* spreads by rhizomes and forms tight fibrous root clumps that can be pulled apart. Yarrow may also be propagated through division of mature plants featuring clusters of basal rosettes." Lift mature plants in early spring to divide; cut back leaves to minimize moisture loss (Rose et al., 1998). Mann et al. (2022) suggest "patches of common yarrow should be divided every 3 to 4 years to encourage growth."

- **Rhizomes**

Yarrow may be propagated from strong white rhizomes collected and planted in spring or early fall (Downing, 1996). Rhizome fragments should produce shoots in 2-3 weeks. In one study, fragments 4 cm long (with 1-6 nodes) were planted 5 cm deep (Rose et al., 1998). In another study, rhizome cuttings were planted 6-12" apart and 0.5" deep (Downing, 1996). Planting in rich soil can positively affect leaf production, but may reduce flower production (Downing, 1996).

REFERENCES

Aleksoff, K. (1999). *Achillea millefolium* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/forb/achmil/all.html>

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia* (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Downing, J. (1996). *Native Plant Materials for Economic Development in Southeast Alaska*. School of Agriculture and Land Resources Management, University of Alaska Fairbanks.

Heger, M., Whitman, J., & Lonnee, D. (2011). *Growing Perennials in Cold Climates*. University of Minnesota Press.

Greenstein, C. (2023). *Controlled Trials with Chicken Tractors to Manage Invasive Terrestrial Plants*. Homer Soil and Water Conservation District.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Kanatas, P., Dellaportas, V., Kakabouki, I., & Papastylianou, P. (2020). Seed priming effects on germination and first growth of the medicinal plant *Achillea millefolium* L. *Journal of Phytology*, 12, 20–23. <https://doi.org/10.25081/jp.2020.v12.6324>

Propagation Profiles

Mann, A., Majeski, M., & Pokorny, M. (2022). *Plant Guide for common yarrow (Achillea millefolium L.)*. Bridger Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/plantmaterials/mtpmcp13974.pdf>

Rose, R., Chachulski, C., & Haase, D. (1998). *Propagation of Pacific Northwest Plants*. Oregon State University Press.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Skinner, D. (2003). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Achillea millefolium L. plants USDA NRCS - Pullman Plant Materials Center Pullman, Washington* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npg.nrg.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=asteraceae-achillea-2148>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Winslow, S. (2002). *Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) Achillea millefolium seeds USDA NRCS - Bridger Plant Materials Center Bridger, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npg.nrg.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=asteraceae-achillea-1362>

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Achillea sibirica*

Synonyms: *Achillea multiflora*

Common name (s): Siberian yarrow

Family: Asteraceae

USDA PLANTS code: ACSI (syn. ACMU₃)

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: unavailable

Note: Many data gaps exist regarding propagation and autecology specific to *A. sibirica*. Please see propagation notes for *A. millefolium* for additional information.

Achillea sibirica



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

No protocol specific to *A. sibirica* was found. However see *A. millefolium* for propagation details that may be applicable.

Habitat description:

Meadows and woods (Hultén, 1968). Mesic to moist riverbanks and lakeshores in montane zones, tolerant of maritime exposure (Klinkenberg, 2020; PFAF, 2023).

Elevation range:

Up to 600 meters (Hultén, 1968; Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Sandy, loamy, and clay soils, provided they are well-drained. Tolerant of nutrient poor soil with mention of being longer lived where soil quality is low (PFAF, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Low (PFAF, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

High (Missouri Botanical Garden, 2018).

Disturbance tolerance:

No information available.

Community interactions:

No information available.

Wildlife associations:

Attracts and benefits butterflies and other pollinators (Alaska Native Plant Society, 2023).

Restoration value:

Indicated as having restoration value (Alaska Native Plant Society, 2023); no other information available.

Primary reproductive mode:

Sexual.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Easy to germinate both indoors and outdoors (Holloway, 2024).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes "High degree of self-incompatibility, so insect pollination improves seed set. *A. alpina* has up to 25 heads per flower cluster, and the plant usually consists of a single upright flowering stem. *A. millefolium* is much more robust, consisting of one or multiple stems from a large crown and as many as 100+ heads in a flower cluster."

- **Seeds per/lb**

No information available.

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Entire heads change from greenish to tan to brown. Rub heads between fingers, and harvest when individual heads begin to break apart. Harvest entire heads, and dry in paper bags or on screens. Separate achenes from chaff by rubbing against screens with an air/screen cleaner or seed blower. Some that don't break apart easily may require processing with a hammermill."

- **Storage**

Germination reduces with storage time, but > 50% of seeds usually remain viable for at least 5 years when frozen (Holloway, 2024). Storing seeds at 15% relative humidity and freezing for 74 days at -20°C retained 100% viability of seeds (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

- **Scarification requirements**

No information available.

- **Stratification requirements**

No information available.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Of the germination trials on record with the Society for Ecological Restoration, a 100% germination rate within 7-14 days is reported for *A. sibirica* seeds germinated in 1% agar under an 8/16 photoperiod at 20°C or an alternating thermoperiod of 25/10°C; 95% germination occurred in 28 days at temperature of 15°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Direct sow in autumn or spring by scattering seeds on the soil surface. Germination is best and fastest in light and alternating temperatures. Indoors press seeds into the surface of a sterile seed starting mix, and do not cover. Keep moist. Germination begins in 7-10 days 25/10°C with 16 hr light at the high temperature or 10-14 days at 21°C (70°F), 16/8 light/dark. Germination percentages are higher outdoors in cultivated seed nurseries with ample soil fertility and moisture as opposed to wild harvested seeds."

- **Establishment phase**

No information available.

- **Active growth phase**

No information available.

- **Hardening phase**

No information available.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

No information available.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

Heger et al. (2011) provides some generalized guidelines for propagation by basal or stem cuttings from mature individuals of any *Achillea* spp.: "Cut off the tips of the stems in spring before the plant sets flower buds or in summer after flowering. Take cuttings with three sets of leaves in early morning when plants are minimally stressed. Each cutting should have several sets of leaves. Cut about ¼" below leaf node, and remove all but the top set of leaves."

Collected cuttings should be planted immediately in a moist rooting medium at high humidity; stem cuttings should root in 4-6 weeks (Heger et al., 2011). Once young plants have formed an adequate root ball, transplant into pots or a protected garden location and water regularly until well established (Heger et al., 2011).

- **Division**

Propagation Profiles

Achillea spp. plants can be propagated by division, in spring or autumn and then planted directly into final permanent locations (PFAF, 2023). Holloway (2024) advises: "Division during non-flowering times (spring or autumn). Best in spring just as crown growth begins. *A. millefolium* spreads by rhizomes and forms tight clumps that can be pulled apart, *A. sibirica* has a smaller crown and is a bit harder to cut apart. Both have fibrous root clumps."

REFERENCES

- Alaska Native Plant Society. (2023). *Alaska Native Plants in Your Garden*. https://aknps.org/wp-content/uploads/AlaskaNativePlantsInYourGarden_trifold.pdf
- Heger, M., Whitman, J., & Lonnee, D. (2011). *Growing Perennials in Cold Climates*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.
- Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>
- Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>
- Missouri Botanical Garden. (2018, 2022). *Achillea sibirica—Plant Finder*. Missouri Botanical Garden Plant Finder. <https://www.missouribotanicalgarden.org/PlantFinder/PlantFinderDetails.aspx?taxonid=277130>
- PFAF. (2023). *Achillea sibirica Siberian Yarrow PFAF Plant Database*. <https://pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Achillea+sibirica>
- SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>
- Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Agrostis scabra*

Synonyms: Numerous, see

[USDA Plants Database](#)

Common name (s): rough bentgrass,
winter bent

USDA PLANTS code: AGSC5


Family: Poaceae

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Agrostis scabra



Data usage licenses: Arcos ALA collections, [Hultén's Flora](#), iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds collected in Yosemite National Park - USDA Zone 3b-5a; propagated in Bridger, Montana - USDA Zone 5a (Winslow, 2002).

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=poaceae-agrostis-1372>

Habitat description:

Dry, open slopes and alluvial flats (Hultén, 1968); also occurs in disturbed areas (e.g., roadsides, clear cuts), and occasionally occurs in open forests or dry tundra (Skinner et al., 2012).

Elevation range:

Sea level to alpine elevations (Matthews, 1992).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Fine to medium soils, sandy loam, loam, and clay; tolerant of nutrient poor soils and/or soils with low pH (Matthews, 1992; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Mildly fire intolerant (USDA & NRCS, 2023), and increases in response to grazing pressure (Matthews, 1992).

Community interactions:

No information available.

Wildlife associations:

Provides forage and cover for small mammals and waterfowl, and forage to deer and to some extent moose (Matthews, 1992).

Restoration value:

Shows highly successful results for both long- and short-term revegetation programs, especially for alpine and disturbed sites, and in northern regions. In particular, *A. scabra* has been effective for stabilizing soil due to its fibrous root system, and when used in restoration of mining sites with high sulfur, copper, and/or nickel concentration (Matthews, 1992).

Primary reproductive mode:

Sexual, but may spread laterally via stolons (Matthews, 1992).

Seed type:

Orthodox. The Society for Ecological Restoration notes that although *A. scabra* seeds are known to form a short-term, persistent soil seed bank viable for 1-5 years, it also remains possible that seeds surviving less than 2 years in the soil exhibit intermediate or recalcitrant behavior (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Readily sprouts from seed and is noted to be highly effective at seed dispersal by wind, with little planting necessary where there is already a nearby population (Matthews, 1992). Revegetation trials in the Yukon documented seedling emergence on 100% of plots in the first growing season, with 86% of plants producing seed in the second season (Matthews, 1992).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Flowers are an open panicle with single-flowered spikelets at the tips of thin, wiry stems. Fruit is a caryopsis, seed is fused to pericarp and harvested together. Harvesting can be difficult since the entire open, airy panicle can break off and blow away at maturity. Individual caryopses within a spikelet are enclosed by brown or purple glumes that expand when dried. The caryopsis falls to the ground at maturity leaving the glumes behind persisting on the stalk. Harvesting must be done before caryopsis release. Seeds are extremely small and easily shatter. Cut entire panicle of seed heads with knives or clippers and collect in paper or cloth bags. Spread onto a tarp (covered with newspaper or another tarp in breezy areas) to dry for one week."

Propagation Profiles

Winslow (2002) hand harvested seeds in fall when caryopses were a tan-brown color, and the inflorescence was nearly mature (i.e., hard dough stage) but fruits were not freely falling from the open panicle. This collection method is reported to yield an average of 77g (range: 23-168g) of clean seed, per hour, per person, but varies with stand density and collector experience. Matthews (1992) reports an average of 3.3oz (93.6 g) per hour.

- **Seeds per/lb**

Seeds per pound reported between 4.3-5 million (USDA & NRCS, 2023; Winslow, 2002).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Some growers follow with a debearding machine to remove the awn which helps with handling and sowing. If the spikelet is intact, sometimes seeds are run through a hammermill to break up the spikelet and remove the glumes. Clean seeds with an air/screen cleaner. In some seasons, there will be a lot of unfilled seeds. Because of their tiny size, it is hard to separate filled from unfilled seeds. They can be examined microscopically or by germination test to obtain percent filled/unfilled seeds."

Winslow (2002) describes the seed processing workflow at the Bridger PMC as follows:

"Spread seed on tarp in a dry sheltered environment, turn daily for 3-5 days until seeds are completely dry and no warmth is detected. *A. scabra* seeds are small with presence of fluff and other debris and therefore difficult to clean in small batches which require more hand labor. For larger batches, use mechanized cleaning to increase efficiency. Process dried seed on Wintersteiger plot at concave closed, 700 rpm, and no wind. Thresh seed with hammermill through 4/64" round hole screen, and air-screened processed on a Clipper M2B or Eclipse cleaner over a 1-24" round hole screen."

- **Storage**

Store seeds in plastic seed bags in a cool, dry environment. Winslow (2002) reports 5-7 years viability.

- **Scarification requirements**

None.

- **Stratification requirements**

Seeds may benefit from cold stratification (Holloway, 2024). Winslow (2002) exposed seeds to 0-1°C for a 10-day cold stratification period, followed by exposure to 22-25°C.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Outdoors, seeds may be sown in autumn or spring. Press seeds into the soil, but do not cover. Well drained, loamy soils are best. Indoors, surface-sow the seeds onto a well drained, sterile seed starting mix, a combination of sand/peat. Do not cover. Keep barely moist. Seeds are susceptible to fungal attacks especially if seeds are germinated on filter paper. Experiment with different seed lots. Some seeds benefit from a prechilling cold stratification treatment, 2-4°C for 10 days, before sowing at 22-25°C (72-77°F). Germination begins in 7-10 days."

Propagation Profiles

Winslow (2002) reports 98% germination. For field production at the Bridger PMC, seeds are direct-sown at a depth of 0.6cm (0.25") using a two-row double-disk planter with depth bands, at a seeding rate of 25-30 seeds per foot. Irrigated rows are spaced at 91 cm (36"). Seeding occurs in a firm seedbed with good field moisture to 4" depth and may take place during spring or fall. Matthews (1992) recommends fall planting in alpine environments to avoid breaking dormancy and to optimize spring growth.

Trials in US and Canada indicate 89-90% germination in 49-70 days in 1% agar under an 8h/16h photoperiod in conjunction with a 25°C/10°C (8h/16h) thermoperiod (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Establishment phase**

Winslow (2002) recommends keeping soil surface moist throughout the 14-16 day germination and emergence period; this also helps prevent soil crusting. Low (but unspecified) rates of Buctril M™ (bromoxynil; a selective postemergence herbicide) were applied during the 3-5 leaf stage to control broadleaf weeds. Fertilizer application may stimulate weed growth (i.e., competition) and is therefore not recommended during the first year.

- **Active growth phase**

The active growth phase lasts from spring to fall, for 2-3 growing seasons. Winslow (2002) advises using broadleaf-specific herbicides for weed control during stem extension phase (i.e., before boot stage), and reports that soil moisture is critical during seed head development (i.e., boot, heading, and ripening stages).

- **Hardening phase**

At the Bridger (Montana) Plant Materials Center, irrigation was suspended during flowering and resumed between harvest and freeze-up; broadcast fertilizers were applied in mid-September at 100 lbs N/acre and 40 lbs of P/acre (Winslow, 2002).

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

At the Bridger, Montana, propagation site (USDA Zone 5a), cultivated harvest occurs late July to late August. They use a John Deere swather to cut stems into windrows for direct combining, and it's fitted with a temporary diaper (heavy piece of plastic or canvas clipped under belt draper) for direct catchment to minimize seed loss. Yields vary based on stand age and local weather, but averages 142 kg/ha (127 lbs/ac) annually (Winslow, 2002).

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Division is not recommended, as bentgrasses are tufted, non-rhizomatous grasses that are difficult to divide (Holloway, 2024).

Propagation Profiles

REFERENCES

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Matthews, R. (1992). *Agrostis scabra* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/graminoid/agrsca/all.html>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Winslow, S. (2002). *Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) Agrostis scabra seeds USDA NRCS - Bridger Plant Materials Center Bridger, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npg.nmgr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=poaceae-agrostis-1372>

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Alnus incana* ssp. *tenuifolia*

Synonyms: *A. tenuifolia*

Common name(s): thinleaf alder

Family: Betulaceae

USDA PLANTS code: ALINT


Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Shrub, tree

Wetland indicator status: Unavailable

Some taxonomic ambiguity exists for this species. The parent species *Alnus incana* is divided into sub-species *A. incana* ssp. *rugosa* (or synonym *A. rugosa*, speckled alder), primarily occurring in eastern North America, and *Alnus incana* ssp. *tenuifolia* (or synonym *A. tenuifolia*, thinleaf alder), primarily occurring in western North America, including Alaska. The information presented here represents findings specific to *Alnus incana* ssp. *tenuifolia* or western distributed *A. incana* when sub-species was not identified.

Alnus incana subsp. tenuifolia



WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds collected in Glacier National Park and propagated in West Glacier, MT (Luna et al., 2008) - USDA Zone 5a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-40>

Stem-cuttings from parent species *A. incana* harvested in Yellowstone National Park, propagated in Bridger, MT (Scianna, 2003) - USDA Zone 5a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-2795>

Habitat description:

Occurs in moist forests, streamsides, and bogs in montane zones (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Elevation range:

Sea level to high elevation sites throughout Alaska (Fryer, 2011); observations in British Columbia indicate range of 7-2,060 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Propagation Profiles

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coarse and medium soils (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Grows in a wide range of soil conditions and pH levels, including poor soils, but does best in heavy moist soils (Favorite, 2002). Also known to grow in sand and along sandbars (Fryer, 2011).

Shade tolerance:

Intermediate/moderately shade tolerant; sprouts possibly having higher shade tolerance than seedlings (Fryer, 2011).

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Adapted to almost all disturbance types, including fire and flood (Favorite, 2002; Fryer, 2011; Rose et al., 1998).

Community interactions:

Alnus spp. are nitrogen fixers capable of affecting soil nutrient dynamics throughout a successional gradient, especially during the first 5 years of stand establishment (Fryer, 2011). Although *A. incana* ssp. *tenuifolia* may facilitate establishment of other early species such as balsam poplar and felleaf willow, facilitative effects may dissipate as a result of root crowding and root competition from *Alnus* (Fryer, 2011).

Wildlife associations:

Generally considered unpalatable to wildlife (Fryer, 2011). However, leaves and twigs do provide forage for moose and snowshoe hares, while catkins and buds are used by a variety of songbirds (Favorite, 2002). Beavers use bark for forage, and stems for building dams and lodges (Rose et al., 1998).

Restoration value:

Excellent candidate for revegetation of disturbed riparian areas and erosion control; also well suited for for natural screening or windbreaks (Favorite, 2002). Luna et al. (2008) reports rapid growth following outplanting which quickly stabilizes streambanks.

Primary reproductive mode:

Primarily reproduces from seed, and will also regenerate after damage from disturbances or wildlife (i.e., beavers) by sprouting from the stump, root collar, or root crown (Favorite, 2002). Asexual reproduction may be more characteristic of established populations (Fryer, 2011).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Easy to grow. Luna et al. (2008) report container trees in 3L pots reaching 40 cm height by the end of the second growth season; container trees in 9L pots reached 1.1 meters height from seed in 3 years.

Inoculation can improve establishment, early growth and outplanting of *Alnus* spp. in general (Harrington et al., 2008). As a nitrogen fixing plant, root inoculations are an important component of plant

Propagation Profiles

propagation. Darris (2011) summarizes details for inoculation options of similar *Alnus* sp., using isolated cultures (commercially sourced), fresh nodules harvested from donor plants, or from soil beneath existing stands or inoculated seedlings, advising that the latter may yield the best results. Quoreshi et al. (2007) describes the implementation of a successful inoculation protocol for propagating *A. viridis* ssp. *crispa* using a culture of *Frankia* sp. collected from root nodules of wild *A. viridis* ssp. *crispa* stands. Overall, inoculation improved seedling growth and biomass, nodule lobes quantity and weight, nitrogen content, and overall seedling production, without compromising other aspects of nursery operations (Quoreshi et al., 2007).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Thinleaf alder produces abundant, but low viability seed every 1-4 years (Rose et al., 1998). Seeds are small nuts, present in pairs on the bracts of the strobiles (Harrington et al., 2008). Once seed matures, dry weather is considered to contribute to opening of the scales to release seeds; wet weather after a dry spell may cause strobiles to close and end seed dispersal (Harrington et al., 2008). Seeds can continue to be dispersed on snow (Densmore, 1979).

Luna et al. (2008) describes collection of seed during late fall in Glacier National Park:

"Seeds are collected in late fall when catkins have turned woody and scales begin to open. Branches are flailed over a canvas tarp and seeds are swept into collection bags and kept in a well-ventilated drying shed prior to cleaning."

Regarding collection of wild inoculate, Densmore (2000) writes in their protocol for propagation of similar species *A. viridis* ssp. *crispa* in Denali National Park, Alaska:

"Every seed lot should be accompanied by 1-4 L of alder root nodules and soil from the collection site. The alder root nodules and soil surrounding the roots are used to inoculate the alder seedlings in the nursery."

- **Seeds per/lb**

675,000 seeds per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Mid-elevation collections in Oregon report 589,090-720,000 seeds per pound (Barner, 2008, 2009). Mean annual seed rain in interior Alaska was 745 seeds/m² (Zasada, 1986).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Seed processing guidelines generalized across *Alnus* spp. are described by Harrington et al. (2008). Dry alder cones on screens or in fine mesh bags in a well-ventilated area for several weeks to encourage cones to open, or use a kiln set to 16-27°C to expedite the drying process to 2-7 days (Harrington et al., 2008). Excessive heat can cause strobiles to dry too quickly, so use caution. The majority of seeds generally fall from strobiles during drying, any stubborn seed can be loosened with gentle shaking or the tumbling of catkins (Harrington et al., 2008; Luna et al., 2008). Luna et al. (2008) report difficulty separating empty seeds. For stubborn seed, re-wet cones, place in cooler for 24 hours, and repeat drying process (Harrington et al., 2008). Clean seeds with screening for trash removal, followed by air column separator for smaller material.

Propagation Profiles

Barner (2009) advises that cleaning of small seed lots can be challenging due to the need for specialized small-load equipment. He describes cleaning methods used on 2 separate occasions for small seed lots collected by hand into a paper bag:

"Seed is first processed using a hand tumbler to remove the seed from the woody cone. Lot was then air-screened using an office Clipper, with a top screen: 9 round and a bottom screen: 1/14 round, medium speed, low to medium air" (Barner, 2009). "Seeds are sized using Laboratory Test Sieves, mesh size 6. Lot was then air-screened using an office Clipper, with a top screen, 8 round and a bottom screen, 1/15 round, medium speed, low to medium air" (Barner, 2008).

- **Storage**

Longevity of seed in the field for parent species *A. incana* is short, with viability unlikely after 2 years (Harrington et al., 2008). Long term storage may require drying to moisture contents below 10% by exposing seeds to 27°C with relative humidity below 25%, as is recommended for red alder *Alnus rubra* (Harrington et al., 2008). Barner (2008) reports storing seed at 33-38°F. Luna et. al (2008) stored seeds for 1 year in sealed containers under 3-5°C. Rose et al. (1998) reports that storing seed in sealed containers at 1-3°C can retain viability for up to 10 years. Hermetic air-dry storage is recommended at 2-5°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

Luna et al. (2008) report exposing seed to a 24-hour running water soak prior to a 60-day cold moist stratification.

- **Stratification requirements**

Stratification requirements vary, with successful germination occurring after no stratification (Baskin & Baskin, 2002). Stratification may not be necessary if seeds are sown in the fall, otherwise a 3-month cold stratification is needed (Favorite, 2002). Luna et al. (2008) exposed Montana-collected seed to a 60-day cold moist stratification. Seeds collected near Fairbanks, Alaska showed adequate germination without stratification at 25°C; seeds stratified for 72 days appeared to require lower temperatures (10-15°C) to germinate well (Densmore, 1979).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Seeds need light to germinate. The Society for Ecological Restoration reports 94% germination within 49 days under a 8/16 hour light cycle at 25°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). For thinleaf alder seeds collected near Fairbanks, germination averaged 90% for seeds cold-stratified in light and 5% for seeds cold-stratified in dark. Unstratified seed showed 100% germination in light and ≤13% germination in dark (Densmore, 1979; Fryer, 2011).

To germinate, Holloway (1985) writes:

"Sow in fall or spring. Seeds germinate readily in light when fresh at 25°C (77°F), but dry seeds may need stratification for 180 days at 4°C (40°F). Germination may be enhanced by following the scarification period with 3 days at -4°C. Alternating temperatures may increase germination (20/30°C; 68/86°F).

Luna et al. (2008) used a direct seeding method in greenhouse and outdoor nursery grounds:

"Seeds are surface sown for the light requirement. Growing medium used is 6:1:1 milled sphagnum peat, perlite, and vermiculite with Osmocote controlled release fertilizer (13N:13P₂O₅:13K₂O; 8 to 9 month release rate at 21C) and Micromax fertilizer (12%S, 0.1%B,

Propagation Profiles

0.5%Cu, 12%Fe, 2.5%Mn, 0.05%Mo, 1%Zn) at the rate of 1 gram of Osmocote and 0.20 gram of Micromax per 172 ml container. Plants are grown in the greenhouse with temperatures maintained at 21 -25°C day and 18°C at night for 12 weeks and are moved to outdoor nursery. Germination is uniform and is usually complete in 3 weeks. True leaves appear 2 weeks after germination and seedlings are thinned at this stage.”

- **Establishment phase**

Luna et al. (2008) reports a 4-week plant establishment period. Slow-release fertilizer is recommended (Favorite, 2002).

- **Active growth phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report rapid growth over 16-week active growth period, and fertilization with 13-13-13 liquid NPK at 100 ppm. Plants were reportedly repotted into larger 3L containers 16 weeks post germination, and were “root tight” in 1 year (Luna et al., 2008).

- **Hardening phase**

In the fall, Luna et al. (2008) report a 4 week hardening phase, and fertilizing with 10-20-20 liquid NPK at a concentration of 200 ppm, after which pots were leached with clear water; one final irrigation was done prior to overwintering period.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Stems are sensitive and brittle, handle carefully to avoid breaking stems, branches, or buds (Harrington et al., 2008). Store seedlings at low (-2 - +2°C) temperatures to prevent early budbreak and/or in plastic bags to prevent desiccation (Harrington et al., 2008). Luna et al. (2008) report total time to harvest as 16 weeks for 172 mL containers, and 1 year for 3L pots. Plants were harvested in September and overwintered in the outdoor nursery facility using foam insulation to cover and protect from snow (Luna et al., 2008). They further report formation of root nodules on nursery stock held for 1 year or more.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

In general, *Alnus* spp. hardwood cuttings are notoriously unsuccessful at rooting (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Holloway & Zasada, 1979; Java & Everett, 1992). Green stem cuttings of the similar species, *A. viridis sinuata* harvested from British Columbia and Oregon, were successfully rooted by Carpenter et. al (1984) with the following protocol: treat with 2000 ppm indole-3-butyric acid and dust with Rootone rooting powder and fungicide. Root into 1:1 medium of sterile perlite and vermiculite, mist intermittently for 10 weeks, for the last month fertilize weekly with liquid fertilizer, apply bottom heat (21°C) (Carpenter et al., 1984; Darris, 2011). Java and Everett (1992) similarly advise a 2000 ppm indole-3-butyric acid and 1000 ppm naphthalene acetic acid followed by 1 month cold (1-3°C) storage to promote rooting (Java & Everett, 1992; Rose et al., 1998).

Scianna (2003), also reports successful propagation from dormant hardwood stem-cuttings of parent species, *A. incana*, collected in late October from Sylvan Lake in Yellowstone National Park (USDA Zone 5a). Cuttings were taken from plants with evidence of repeated browsing, with

Propagation Profiles

bases promptly submerged in water inside a cooler, for 48 hours or less. He hypothesizes that the level of browsing on parent plants (similar to stimulation of root growth akin to “hedging”) and submerging stems in water (stimulating root formation) prior to greenhouse transfer were important steps contributing to successful stem propagation. Once harvested, cuttings were transferred to greenhouse and trimmed to 6-10 inches in length, before recutting stem ends at an angle and wounding. Scianna (2003) further details the propagation procedure:

“[Cut] Stems were treated with 8,000 ppm IBA; 20,000 ppm IBA; or a combination of 1,000 ppm IBA + 2,000 ppm NAA + 40,000 ppm Thiram. Prepared cuttings were stuck individually into a 1:1 sand:perlite mix in 10-cubic-inch containers and watered. The cuttings were then placed in a greenhouse under 16-hour photoperiods at 75 to 80°F days and 65 to 70°F nights. The cuttings were hand-watered twice per day (AM and PM) for the first 4 weeks and once per day thereafter.”

During establishment Scianna (2003) reports:

“After approximately 4 weeks in the greenhouse, bud break began to occur. By week 8, leaf growth advanced so a fertigation program was initiated. All cuttings were irrigated with a 350 ppm solution of 9-45-15, two to three times per week. By late January, the cuttings were rooted well enough to be transplanted into 40-cubic-inch Deepots^T in a 3:1, Fison's #3^T:sand mix. The potted cuttings were returned to the greenhouse and grown on. Percent rooting ranged from 78 to 86% with a mean rooting of 82%. Based on the relatively uniform rooting, there does not appear to be any advantage to using high concentrations of IBA.”

Scianna (2003) reports moving rooted stem cuttings to a ventilated, un-cooled outdoor hoophouse in late spring/early summer and exposing to full sun for 2-4 weeks early in growing season. During mid-summer, the hoophouse was covered with a 50% shade cloth; cloth was replaced with clear plastic once temperatures started to cool in late summer/early fall, during which plants were left to naturally harden for 30-60 days in a hoophouse (Scianna, 2003). Hoophouse was warmed with a small propane heater when necessary (e.g., early season frost). Cuttings in 40-cubic inch containers were reported to successfully overwinter in the unheated hoophouse (Scianna, 2003).

REFERENCES

Barner, J. (2008). *Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) Alnus incana (L.) Moench seeds USDA FS - R6 Bend Seed Extractory Bend, Oregon* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnpn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-3337>

Barner, J. (2009). *Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) Alnus incana (L.) Moench seeds USDA FS - R6 Bend Seed Extractory Bend, Oregon* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnpn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-3751>

Baskin, J. M., & Baskin, C. (2002). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Alnus incana (L.) Moench plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnpn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-1407>

Propagation Profiles

Carpenter, C., Robertson, L., Gordon, J. C., & Perry, D. A. (1984). The effect of four new Frankia isolates on growth and nitrogenase activity in clones of *Alnus rubra* and *Alnus sinuata*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. <https://cdnsiencepub.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x84-125>

Darris, D. (2011). *Plant guide for Sitka alder (Alnus viridis ssp. Sinuata)*. . USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Plant Materials Center. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/plantguide/pdf/pg_alvis.pdf

Darris, D., & Gonzales, P. (2009). *Plant Fact Sheet Sitka Alder Alnus viridis (Chaix) DC. ssp. Sinuata (Regel)* A. Löve & D. Löve. USDA NRCS Plant Materials Center. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/factsheet/pdf/fs_alvis.pdf

Densmore, R. V. (1979). *Aspects of the Seed Ecology of Woody Plants of the Alaska Taiga and Tundra*. Department of Botany, Duke University.

Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). *Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve* (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>

Favorite, J. (2002). *Plant Guide Thinleaf Alder Alnus incana (L.) Moench*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service and Plant Data Center. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/plantguide/pdf/pg_alin2.pdf

Fryer, J. (2011). *Alnus incana* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/alninc/all.html>

Harrington, C., Brodie, L. C., DeBell, D. S., & Schopmeyer, C. (2008). *Alnus* P. Mill.: Alder. In F. T. Bonner & R. P. Karrfalt (Eds.), *The Woody Plant Seed Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Holloway, P. (1985). Propagation of Alaska Native Plants. *Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference*, 52–63.

Holloway, P., & Zasada, J. (1979). *Vegetative Propagation of 11 Common Alaska Woody Plants*. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1979.Holloway.Zasada.cuttings.pdf>

Java, B., & Everett, R. L. (1992). Rooting of Hardwood Cuttings of Sitka and Thinleaf Alder. In *Proceedings-Symposium on Ecology and Management of Riparian Shrub Communities: Sun Valley, ID, May 29-31, 1991* (p. 240). Intermountain Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Luna, T., Evans, J., Wick, D., & Hosokawa, J. (2008). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Alnus incana (L.) Moench plants 172 ml conetainers; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier*,

Propagation Profiles

Montana. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-40>

Quoreshi, A. M., Roy, S., Greer, C. W., Beaudin, J., McCurdy, D., & Khasa, D. P. (2007). Inoculation of green alder ([*Alnus crispa*]) with Frankia-Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Inoculant Under Commercial Nursery Production Conditions. *Native Plants Journal*, 8(3), 271–281. <https://doi.org/10.2979/NPJ.2007.8.3.271>

Rose, R., Chachulski, C., & Haase, D. (1998). *Propagation of Pacific Northwest Plants*. Oregon State University Press.

Scianna. (2003). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Alnus incana (L.) Moench plants 40 cubic inch Dee Pots; USDA NRCS - Bridger Plant Materials Center Bridger, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-2795>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Zasada, J. (1986). Natural Regeneration of Trees and Tall Shrubs on Forest Sites in Interior Alaska. In K. Van Cleve, F. S. Chapin, P. W. Flanagan, L. A. Viereck, & C. T. Dyrness (Eds.), *Forest Ecosystems in the Alaskan Taiga: A Synthesis of Structure and Function* (pp. 44–73). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4902-3_4

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Alnus viridis* ssp. *crispa*

Synonyms: *Alnus crispa*

Common name (s): mountain alder

Family: Betulaceae


USDA PLANTS code: ALVIC

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Tree, shrub

Wetland indicator status: unavailable

Alnus crispa



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Denali National Park, Alaska (Densmore et al., 2000) - USDA Zone 3b

<https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>

Habitat description:

Alnus viridis ssp. *crispa* occurs in open forest, shrub tundra, and riparian areas, provided there is no dense overstory (Densmore, 1979; Matthews, 1992). Found on slopes, sand hills, and edges of wetlands and streams (Johnson et al., 1995). Within Alaska, it is further described to "Occupy a somewhat indistinct subalpine region, diminishing in height with increasing altitude, becoming, at its altitudinal limit, a prostrate dwarf shrub" (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Sea level to 2,000 meters (Matthews, 1992).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coarse to medium, sandy to gravelly to rocky soils (Matthews, 1992; USDA & NRCS, 2023). Prefers well-drained, coarse-textured soils; indicates seepage; widespread and common across boreal forest (Johnson et al., 1995). In interior Alaska, *Alnus* spp. are three times as likely to occur on bare mineral soils compared to organic surfaces (Zasada, 1986).

Shade tolerance:

Intermediate shade tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Alnus viridis ssp. *crispa* is listed as drought intolerant by the USDA; however, review by Matthews (1992) suggests that this taxon is better adapted to drier sites compared to other *Alnus* spp., as the increased moisture favors nitrogen fixation.

Disturbance tolerance:

As an early-seral/pioneer species, this species likely has a high disturbance tolerance. It is characterized in the Fire Effects Database as a “survivor species” due to its adaptability and rapid post-fire regenerative ability (Matthews, 1992).

Community interactions:

As a nitrogen fixer, *A. viridis* ssp. *crispa* affects the plant community by enhancing soil fertility, and in some instances may act as a nurse plant for *Picea* and *Pinus* spp.; however, this interaction may turn competitive as thicket forming *Alnus* spp. can shade out establishing conifers (Matthews, 1992). Nitrogen fixing capabilities can also facilitate willow growth, when planted in proximity (Densmore et al., 2000).

Wildlife associations:

Provides cover for a number of wildlife species, as well as forage for moose, caribou, small mammals, and birds (Matthews, 1992).

Restoration value:

Alnus viridis ssp. *crispa* is capable of fixing 18-55 pounds of nitrogen per year, and holds excellent restoration value through its ability to establish in sterile soils and improve organic matter and soil fertility (Matthews, 1992). This species can also stabilize soils to minimize erosion and has successfully colonized tailings at Alberta mine sites; it has also recovered following oil spills (Watson et al., 1980). Studies in Denali National Park suggest that this species may also hold value for revegetation programs seeking to accelerate the rate of succession, by facilitating the establishment of dominant woody species (Densmore, 2005).

Primary reproductive mode:

Primarily reproduces from seed on disturbed sites, and can also reproduce asexually by sprouting from the root crown following damage from natural disturbances or mechanical cutting (Matthews, 1992). On the Seward Peninsula, stands of *Alnus viridis* ssp. *crispa* appeared to be reproducing by seed on 8 out of 11 sites (Wilson et al., 1985).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Will vigorously grow on harsh sites; however, direct seeding on disturbed or poor soil sites provides challenging conditions and plants may require 3-6 years to establish. Propagating container grown seedlings for transplant is therefore recommended (Densmore et al., 2000).

Propagation Profiles

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Seeds are small nutlets found within conelike strobili, which open from mid-September to early October; the winged nutlets are dispersed by wind (Densmore, 1979). Collection of seed cones in Alaska is recommended from the last week of August through to the first week of September; cones should be placed in a warm, dry place to dry for 1 week (Densmore et al., 2000). Inoculation of soil is an important step for seedling establishment; Densmore (2000) writes:

"Every seed lot should be accompanied by 1-4 L of alder root nodules and soil from the collection site. The alder root nodules and soil surrounding the roots are used to inoculate the alder seedlings in the nursery. Label the inoculant and store it in a cool place."

- **Seeds per/lb**

1,280,000 seeds per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

To remove seeds from cones, shake in an enclosed container (e.g., coffee can); separate seeds from cones using a screen or sieve/colander (Densmore et al., 2000).

- **Storage**

Seeds should be stored in plastic bags in the freezer (Densmore et al., 2000). Alternatively, hermetic air-dry storage at 2-5°C is recommended (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

Does not appear to benefit from scarification to break dormancy (Densmore, 1979).

- **Stratification requirements**

Does not appear to benefit from stratification to break dormancy (Densmore, 1979). Similarly, no benefit of cold moist stratification (4°C) was found in more recent studies; however, stratification of anywhere from 2-12 weeks significantly reduced time to achieve 90% germination (7.9-11.5 days), compared to unstratified seeds (22.2 days) (Kaur et al., 2016). Stratification for 2 or 6 weeks is suggested for rapid, uniform germination in nursery settings, as these durations had the most pronounced effect compared to 12-week stratification or no stratification at all (Kaur et al., 2016).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germination trials by Densmore (1979) of seeds collected outside of Fairbanks and sown in the Bonanza Creek floodplain indicate that seeds are conditionally dormant in response to temperature and require light for germination. Densmore monitored seed germination under temperatures from 5-25°C of a) unstratified seeds, b) seeds cold stratified at 2-5°C for 70 days under a 13-hour light cycle, and c) seeds cold stratified at 2-5°C for 107 days in the dark. One hundred percent germination was achieved by the 70-day light/cold stratified seeds at temperatures between 10-25°C. Unstratified seeds achieved 98% germination under light conditions at 25°C. Germination of seeds stratified and germinated in dark performed poorly (<12%). Overall, germination of stratified seeds in light was consistently high, provided temperatures of 10°C and above.

Propagation Profiles

In general, P. Holloway (1985) of University of Alaska Fairbanks, advises:

"Sow in fall or spring. Seeds germinate readily in light when fresh at 25°C (77°F), but dry seeds may need stratification for 60 days at 4°C (40°F). Alternating temperatures may increase germination (20/30°C; 68/86°F)."

Densmore (2000) provides a detailed propagation protocol based on successful greenhouse propagation of over 10,000 seedlings, with ≥95% survival rate after 5 years. Plants typically reached 1 meter after 3 years: Begin propagation in early March to allocate 3 months needed to achieve optimum seedling size of 15-25 cm tall, for outplanting. Plant seeds in a growing medium of 1:1 peat to vermiculite. For each m³ of growing medium, mix in at least 2 L soil and nodule inoculant. Plant into 2.5 cm diameter tube containers 10 cm long. Because it is common for alder to produce 5-40% of nonviable seeds, it is advisable to plant 10-20 seeds per container/tube to ensure at least 1 seedling (Densmore et al., 2000).

As a nitrogen fixing plant, root inoculations are an important component of plant propagation. Darris (2011) summarizes details for inoculation options of similar *Alnus* spp., using isolated cultures (commercially sourced), fresh nodules harvested from donor plants, or from soil beneath existing stands or inoculated seedlings, advising that the latter may yield the best results. Quoreshi et al. (2007) describes the implementation of a successful inoculation protocol using a culture of *Frankia* sp. collected from root nodules of wild *A. viridis* ssp. *crispa* stands. Overall, inoculation improved seedling growth and biomass, nodule lobe quantity and weight, nitrogen content, and seedling production (Quoreshi et al., 2007).

- **Establishment phase**

Densmore et al. (2000) describes that for onsite planting, install 0.5 meter apart, or in clumps where other species can colonize the open areas naturally. Alder may also be planted in bands along a floodplain, or along slope contours for stabilization. The planting hole should be deep enough to accommodate roots and a watering moat, and stems should be planted vertically (not angled into the hole). All parts of the roots should be covered. The preferred fertilizers are slow-release, low-nitrogen, mixed with backfill. Water with 1L fertilizer transplant solution at half strength of manufacturer recommendation to reduce transplant shock and stimulate growth. Seedlings can be watered with low nitrogen fertilizer, such as 7-40-11 NPK + micronutrient solution (Densmore et al., 2000).

- **Active growth phase**

At approximately 6 weeks post sow, thin seedlings to 1 per container. Seedlings will take 3 months to reach plantable size (Densmore et al., 2000).

- **Hardening phase**

To harden seedlings, set outdoors 2 weeks prior to outplanting (Densmore et al., 2000).

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

To transport seedlings, use waxed boxes; once destination is reached, remove plants from boxes into partial sun (e.g., under forest canopy). Monitor daily and provide plenty of water. Re-box plants for any additional transport, and do not expose to wind during transportation (Densmore et al., 2000). Stems are sensitive and brittle, handle carefully to avoid breaking stems, branches,

Propagation Profiles

or buds (Harrington et al., 2008). Store seedlings at low (-2 - +2°C) temperatures to prevent early budbreak and/or in plastic bags to prevent desiccation (Harrington et al., 2008).

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

In general, rootings from *Alnus* spp. hardwood cuttings are notoriously unsuccessful (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Java & Everett, 1992), with only 3 out of 1,000 *A. viridis* spp. *crispa* hardwood cuttings collected near Fairbanks taking root (Holloway & Zasada, 1979). However, green stem cuttings of the sister sub-species within *A. viridis*, Sitka alder (*A. viridis* ssp. *sinuata*), were successfully rooted by Carpenter et al. (1984) with the following protocol: treat with 2000 ppm indole-3-butyric acid and dust with Rootone rooting powder and fungicide. Root into 1:1 medium of sterile perlite and vermiculite, mist intermittently for 10 weeks, for the last month fertilize weekly with liquid fertilizer, apply bottom heat (21°C) (Carpenter et al., 1984; Darris, 2011).

REFERENCES

- Carpenter, C., Robertson, L., Gordon, J. C., & Perry, D. A. (1984). The effect of four new Frankia isolates on growth and nitrogenase activity in clones of *Alnus rubra* and *Alnus sinuata*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. <https://cdnsiencepub.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x84-125>
- Darris, D. (2011). *Plant guide for Sitka alder (Alnus viridis ssp. Sinuata)*. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Plant Materials Center. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/plantguide/pdf/pg_alvis.pdf
- Darris, D., & Gonzales, P. (2009). *Plant Fact Sheet Sitka Alder Alnus viridis (Chaix) DC. ssp. Sinuata (Regel)*. A. Löve & D. Löve. USDA NRCS Plant Materials Center. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/factsheet/pdf/fs_alvis.pdf
- Densmore, R. V. (1979). *Aspects of the Seed Ecology of Woody Plants of the Alaska Taiga and Tundra*. Duke University.
- Densmore, R. V. (2005). Succession on Subalpine Placer Mine Spoil: Effects of Revegetation with *Alnus viridis*, Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 37(3), 297–303. [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2005\)037\[0297:SOSPMS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2005)037[0297:SOSPMS]2.0.CO;2)
- Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). *Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve* (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>
- Harrington, C., Brodie, L. C., DeBell, D. S., & Schopmeyer, C. (2008). *Alnus* P. Mill.: Alder. In F. T. Bonner & R. P. Karrfalt (Eds.), *The Woody Plant Seed Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Propagation Profiles

Holloway, P. (1985). Propagation of Alaska Native Plants. *Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference*, 52–63.

Holloway, P., & Zasada, J. (1979). *Vegetative Propagation of 11 Common Alaska Woody Plants* (Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station Research Note PNW-334). Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Java, B., & Everett, R. L. (1992). Rooting of Hardwood Cuttings of Sitka and Thinleaf Alder. In *Proceedings-Symposium on Ecology and Management of Riparian Shrub Communities: Sun Valley, ID, May 29-31, 1991* (p. 240). Intermountain Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Johnson, D., Kershaw, L. J., MacKinnon, A., & Pojar, J. (1995). *Plants of the Western Forest: Alaska to Minnesota Boreal and Aspen Parkland* (2nd ed.). Partners Publishing and Lone Pine Media Productions.

Kaur, J., Schoonmaker, A. L., & Sobze, J.-M. (2016). Length of cold stratification period affects germination in green alder (*Alnus viridis* (Chaix) DC. subsp. *Crispa* (Aiton) Turrill) seed collected from northwestern Alberta. *Native Plants Journal*, 17(2), 95–102. <https://doi.org/10.3368/npj.17.2.95>

Matthews, R. F. (1992). *Alnus viridis subsp. Crispa* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/shrub/alnvinc/all.html>

Quoreshi, A. M., Roy, S., Greer, C. W., Beaudin, J., McCurdy, D., & Khasa, D. P. (2007). Inoculation of green alder (*Alnus crispa*) with Frankia-Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Inoculant Under Commercial Nursery Production Conditions. *Native Plants Journal*, 8(3), 271–281. <https://doi.org/10.2979/NPJ.2007.8.3.271>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Watson, L., Parker, R., & Polster, D. (1980). *Manual of plant species suitability for reclamation in Alberta*. Vol. 2. *Forbs, shrubs and trees*. Land Conservation and Reclamation Council.

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Wilson, B. F., Patterson, W. A., & O'Keefe, J. F. (1985). Longevity and persistence of alder west of the tree line on the Seward Peninsula, Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 63(10), 1870–1875. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b85-262>

Zasada, J. (1986). Natural Regeneration of Trees and Tall Shrubs on Forest Sites in Interior Alaska. In K. Van Cleve, F. S. Chapin, P. W. Flanagan, L. A. Viereck, & C. T. Dyrness (Eds.), *Forest Ecosystems in the*

Propagation Profiles

Alaskan Taiga: A Synthesis of Structure and Function (pp. 44–73). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4902-3_4

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata*

Synonyms: *A. sitchensis*, *A. sinuata*, *A. crispa*
ssp. sinuata

Common name (s): Sitka alder

Family: Betulaceae

USDA PLANTS code: ALVIS

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Tree, shrub

Wetland indicator status: unavailable

Alnus viridis subsp. sinuata



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Lake Macdonald, Glacier National Park, MT (Luna et al., 2008) - USDA Hardiness Zone 5a

<https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-39>

Habitat description:

Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata is a seral shrub common to mountain slopes; avalanche chutes; stream banks; shores; deglaciated areas; north facing cool, moist sites or montane woods; rocky/sandy coastlines; anywhere with high surface moisture (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Uchytel, 1989). Dominates avalanche chutes; snow slides over them without breaking (Pojar & MacKinnon, 1994; Uchytel, 1989).

Elevation range:

From sea level, or mid to high elevation up to 9000 feet (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Uchytel, 1989).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Occurs on mineral to rich soils, of coarse to medium texture, including silt and sand, loam, rock, gravel, and humus covered substrates. It has a tolerance for acidic (3.3-7.5 pH) soil and can also have an acidifying effect on surrounding soil (Darris, 2011). Sitka alder can also persist in heavy clay loam soil, provided there is adequate moisture (Darris, 2011). The ability to fix nitrogen allows this plant to establish on sterile mineral soils (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Uchytel, 1989).

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

Moderately shade tolerant; takes full sun to partial shade but does not tolerate dense overstory (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Uchytil, 1989).

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

High – readily establishes in avalanche chutes and streamsides (Uchytil, 1989).

Community interactions:

Alnus spp. are nitrogen fixers; Sitka alder is capable of fixing up to 18-55 pounds of nitrogen per acre per year, improving soil productivity (Uchytil, 1989). Sitka alder may facilitate succession through the establishment of conifers, and in particular seedlings (Chapin et al., 1994). However, this interaction may turn competitive due to shading and root competition. The overall effect of *Alnus* spp. on the plant community likely depends on overall nitrogen availability on a given site (Anderson, 2011; Chapin et al., 1994).

Wildlife associations:

Sitka alder provides cover and forage for bears, beavers, hares, birds, and moose (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Uchytil, 1989).

Restoration value:

Sitka alder has a fibrous root system that makes it a good candidate for erosion control on disturbed slopes. It is well adapted to mineral soils and can improve soil productivity through nitrogen fixation, lending to its utility in mine reclamation (Darris, 2011; Densmore, 2005; Elliott et al., 1987). Seeds can be sown directly at disturbed sites with successful results (Uchytil, 1989).

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagation is primarily by seed (male and female flowers occur on the same plant). It can also revegetate from damaged root collars or stumps, typically where plants have been damaged by avalanche or fire (Uchytil, 1989). Can be propagated by seed, cuttings, suckers, and grafting (Downing, 1996).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Reliable propagation from seed (Darris & Gonzales, 2009). Benowicz et. al (2000) evaluated germination, growth, and frost hardiness among 28 populations of *A. viridis* spp. *sinuata* throughout British Columbia to determine genetic and environmental-based differences. They found strong geographic variations in fall frost hardiness, shoot dry weight, summer (July) growth rate, and ratio of root dry weight to total dry weight, with latitude and distance from coast accounting for most of the variation (Benowicz et al., 2000). These findings underscore the importance of developing propagation techniques to match conditions present where plant material is collected from.

Propagation Profiles

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Plants begin producing seed at 4-7 years old; however, cultivated stands may produce as early as 3 years old (Darris, 2011). Although plants produce seed annually, “bumper crops” may occur every 3-5 years (Darris, 2011). Seeds are mature and ready for collection when catkins turn woody and scales begin to open (Luna et al., 2008). Maturity can also be tested if scales easily separate when the cone is twisted from top and bottom (Darris, 2011; Hibbs & Ager, 1989). To harvest seed, “flail” branches over a tarp (Darris, 2011; Luna et al., 2008). Sweep seeds into paper bags and store in a well-ventilated drying shed before cleaning.

- **Seeds per/lb**

Variable. 666,000 seeds per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023); 1,700,000 seeds per pound (Darris, 2011); 14,700,000 seeds per kg (32,400,000 per pound) (Luna et al., 2008).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Dry cones at ambient temperatures on elevated screens, or hung in fine mesh bags. Once dry, any seed not fallen out can be removed by gently shaking or tumbling cones in a closed container (Hibbs & Ager, 1989; Luna et al., 2008). Cones may also be kiln dried at 16-27°C for 2-7 days. Clean seed with an air screen machine and process through an air column to remove particulates. (Darris, 2011; Hibbs & Ager, 1989). Luna et. al (2008) notes that empty seeds are difficult to separate from sound seeds.

- **Storage**

Store seed in cool, dry storage to overwinter. Hermetic, air dry storage from 2-5°C is recommended (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Store airtight at 1-3°C (Uchtyl, 1989). Luna et. al (2008) report storing seeds for 1 year at 1-3°C inside sealed containers. Darris (2011) reports seeds stored in paper envelopes at 3-5°C for 3 years retained a 50% or greater germination rate.

- **Scarification requirements**

Unknown. Some trials suggest soaking (see techniques, below); however, scarification has not been mentioned as a necessity.

- **Stratification requirements**

Cold moist stratification for 1-3 months at 3°C is a general recommendation (Rose et al., 1998). Otherwise, stratification times are highly dependent on seed origin, seed moisture content, and planting season (Darris & Gonzales, 2009). For storage moisture below 10%, cold stratify for 60 days at 5°C for seeds to be sown in spring (Uchtyl, 1989). Anywhere from 14 days to 3 months of cold moist stratification, at 34-38°F, has yielded success for spring sown seeds (Baskin & Baskin, 2002; Darris & Gonzales, 2009). Luna et. al (2008) reports germination to be most uniform with a 60-day cold moist stratification: soak seeds for 24 hours, after which place in fine mesh bags and bury in moist milled peat moss in a well-ventilated container in a 3°C refrigerator. If planting in fall, or using fresh seed, stratification may not be necessary (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Uchtyl, 1989).

Propagation Profiles

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Darris (2011) writes that for spring planting, cold moist stratify seeds directly before planting. Pathogens present on seed coat may be eliminated by fungicide or peroxide treatment, but at the expense of the germination rate (Darris, 2011; Rose et al., 1998). Seed may also be soaked for 24 hours immediately prior to planting to bypass stratification requirements. Seeds require moist mineral soil to germinate (Uchytel, 1989). Seeds should germinate in 3 weeks. Repot into 1 gallon or larger pots within 12-18 weeks (Darris, 2011).

For fall planting, plant dry, untreated seeds approximately 2-5 mm deep in moist mineral seed bed (Baskin & Baskin, 2002; Darris, 2011). As seeds require light to germinate, cover with only a thin layer of silica sand, mulch, soil, or peat. Surface sow or cover with thin layer of growing medium and inoculate roots with *Frankia* bacteria (Darris & Gonzales, 2009).

Baskin and Baskin (2002) report germinating under an alternating temperature cycle of 20D/10N [sic] following a 14-day cold moist stratification (Propagation Profile authors interpret this as 20°C daytime/10°C nighttime).

In their greenhouse propagation, Luna et. al (2008) reports 50-70% germination from fresh seed. Seeds were surface sown directly into a 6:1:1 milled sphagnum peat, perlite, and vermiculite growing medium with a controlled release fertilizer (Osmocote 13N:13P:13K with an 8-9 month release at 21°C) and fertilizer (Micromax 12%S, 0.1%B, 0.5%Cu, 12%Fe, 2.5%Mn, 0.05%Mo, 1%Zn). For each 172 mL container, they applied 1 gram of Osmocote and 0.20 gram of Micromax. They used 75-100% peat growing medium that was well drained and amended with these micronutrients and slow-release fertilizer (Luna et al., 2008).

As a nitrogen fixing plant, root inoculations are an important component of plant propagation. Darris (2011) summarizes details for inoculation options using isolated cultures (commercially-sourced), fresh nodules harvested from donor plants, or from soil beneath existing stands. For the latter, collect a thin band of soil from beneath the existing stand, dry and sift soil, and incorporate it into the growing container before seed is sowed. Apply balanced liquid fertilizer periodically, and low to moderate application of nitrogen fertilizer to promote nodule growth.

Assess seedling quality based on high root to shoot ratio, root nodule presence, and/or stem caliper as opposed to seedling height (Darris, 2011). It can take 4-7 years for plants to mature to produce seed (Lawrence, 1958; Uchytel, 1989). To cultivate seed producing stands, Darris (2011) suggests the following: "Seed orchards are best established in full sun on moist, well drained sites with coarse to medium textured soils that are mildly acidic." Keep isolated from other *Alnus* species, e.g., minimum distance 275 m. Stands should not need irrigation in subsequent years after establishment.

- **Establishment phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report germination was completed in 3 weeks, with true leaves appearing 2 weeks post germination. Seedlings were thinned one true leaves appeared; they were hand watered inside the greenhouse until mid-May and moved outdoors afterwards.

Propagation Profiles

- **Active growth phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report seedlings grow rapidly over a period of 16-20 weeks, once established. A 13-13-13 liquid fertilizer was applied at 100 ppm throughout the growing season. In four months, seedlings averaged 5 mm caliper and 4 cm in height. They recommend plants are potted up to 3L (1 gallon) containers 16 weeks after germination; in one year their roots will fill out the container.

Darris (2011) recommends plants are stored in a shade house, with periodic fertilizer and irrigation. A balanced soluble fertilizer may be applied in spring and early summer.

- **Hardening phase**

Darris (2011) advises cease fertilization and irrigation as early as August, to harden. During a hardening phase of 8 weeks, Luna et al. (2008) report: "Plants are fertilized with 10-20-20 liquid NPK at 200 ppm in the fall, pots are leached with clear water, one final irrigation is applied before overwintering."

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Luna et al. (2008) report total time until harvest as 9 months, with plants overwintered for 5 months in an outdoor nursery (Montana) under insulated foam coverings. Stems are sensitive and brittle, handle carefully to avoid breaking stems, branches, or buds (Harrington et al., 2008). Store seedlings at low (-2 - +2°C) temperatures to prevent early budbreak and/or in plastic bags to prevent desiccation (Harrington et al., 2008).

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

Rootings from hardwood cuttings are notoriously unsuccessful (Darris & Gonzales, 2009; Holloway & Zasada, 1979; Java & Everett, 1992); however, green stem cuttings of Sitka alder were successfully rooted by Carpenter et al. (1984) with the following protocol: treat with 2000 ppm indole-3-butyric acid and dust with Rootone rooting powder and fungicide. Root into 1:1 medium of sterile perlite and vermiculite, mist intermittently for 10 weeks, for the last month fertilize weekly with liquid fertilizer, apply bottom heat (21°C) (Carpenter et al., 1984; Darris, 2011).

REFERENCES

Anderson, M. D. (2011). Sources of Variation in the Symbiotic Association between *Alnus* and *Frankia* in Interior Alaska. University of Alaska Fairbanks.

Baskin, J. M., & Baskin, C. C. (2002). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Alnus viridis* (Chaix) DC plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-1430>

Propagation Profiles

Benowicz, A., El-Kassaby, Y., Guy, R., & Ying, CH. C. (2000). Sitka Alder (*Alnus sinuata* RYDB.) Genetic Diversity in Germination, Frost Hardiness, and Growth Attributes. *Silvae Genetica*, 49(4–5), 206–212.

Carpenter, C., Robertson, L., Gordon, J. C., & Perry, D. A. (1984). The effect of four new Frankia isolates on growth and nitrogenase activity in clones of *Alnus rubra* and *Alnus sinuata*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. <https://cdnsiencepub.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x84-125>

Chapin, F. S., Walker, L. R., Fastie, C. L., & Sharman, L. C. (1994). Mechanisms of Primary Succession Following Deglaciation at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Ecological Monographs*, 64(2), 149–175. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2937039>

Darris, D. (2011). Plant guide for Sitka alder (*Alnus viridis* ssp. *Sinuata*). . USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Plant Materials Center. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/plantguide/pdf/pg_alvis.pdf

Darris, D., & Gonzales, P. (2009). Plant Fact Sheet Sitka Alder *Alnus viridis* (Chaix) DC. ssp. *Sinuata* (Regel) A. Löve & D. Löve. USDA NRCS Plant Materials Center. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/factsheet/pdf/fs_alvis.pdf

Densmore, R. V. (2005). Succession on Subalpine Placer Mine Spoil: Effects of Revegetation with *Alnus viridis*, Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 37(3), 297–303. [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2005\)037\[0297:SOSPMS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2005)037[0297:SOSPMS]2.0.CO;2)

Downing, J. (1996). Native Plant Materials for Economic Development in Southeast Alaska [Thesis, School of Agriculture and Land Resources Management, University of Alaska Fairbanks]. <https://scholarworks.alaska.edu/handle/11122/2812>

Elliott, C. L., McKendrick, J. D., & Helm, D. (1987). Plant Biomass, Cover, and Survival of Species Used for Stripmine Reclamation in South-Central Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 572. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1551427>

Harrington, C., Brodie, L. C., DeBell, D. S., & Schopmeyer, C. (2008). *Alnus* P. Mill.: Alder. In F. T. Bonner & R. P. Karrfalt (Eds.), *The Woody Plant Seed Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Hibbs, D. E., & Ager, A. A. (1989). Red alder: Guidelines for seed collection, handling, and storage. Forest Research Laboratory. <https://ir.library.oregonstate.edu/downloads/zg64tm8ow>

Holloway, P., & Zasada, J. (1979). Vegetative Propagation of 11 Common Alaska Woody Plants. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1979.Holloway.Zasada.cuttings.pdf>

Java, B., & Everett, R. L. (1992). Rooting of Hardwood Cuttings of Sitka and Thinleaf Alder. In *Proceedings-Symposium on Ecology and Management of Riparian Shrub Communities: Sun Valley, ID, May 29-31, 1991* (p. 240). Intermountain Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Propagation Profiles

Lawrence, D. B. (1958). Glaciers and vegetation in south-eastern Alaska. *American Scientist*, 46(2), 138A – 122.

Luna, T., Wick, D., & Hosokawa, J. (2008). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Alnus viridis* (Chaix.) DC. plants 172 ml containers; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-alnus-39>

Pojar, J., & MacKinnon, A. (1994). *Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast*. British Columbia Ministry of Forest and Lone Pine Publishing.

Rose, R., Chachulski, C., & Haase, D. (1998). *Propagation of Pacific Northwest Plants*. Oregon State University Press.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Uchytel, R. J. (1989). *Alnus viridis* subsp. *Sinuata* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/shrub/alnvirs/all.html>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Aquilegia formosa*

Synonyms: Seven varieties are considered synonyms; see full list at [USDA Plants Database](#)

Common name (s): western columbine

Family: Ranunculaceae


USDA PLANTS code: AQFO

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Aquilegia formosa



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds collected in Crater Lake National Park - USDA Zone 6a-b; propagated in Corvallis, OR - USDA Zone 8b (Flessner & Trindle, 2003).

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=ranunculaceae-aquilegia-2362>

Habitat description:

Moist woods, mountain slopes, and streamsides (Hultén, 1968; Rose et al., 1998); rocky slopes, thickets, clearings, roadsides, and open forests (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Elevation range:

Near sea level to 3100 meters.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coarse and medium soils.

Shade tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Medium (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Disturbance tolerance:

High fire tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

An attractor for pollinating species such as butterflies, moths, and hummingbirds (Holloway, 2024).

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Seed.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Easy to grow from seed, and will self-maintain stands from seed (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Considered a short-lived perennial with abundant regeneration from seed in the wild. Lasts longer in cultivated fields with ample nutrition. Will also easily hybridize with related species, especially commercially available cultivars, so plan to isolate plants when grown in nurseries. Fruit is an upright follicle that splits from the top. Black seeds drop to the bottom of the follicle and are shaken out when moved by wind, animals, human shaking."

Flessner & Trindle (2003) note that seeds can be hand harvested and are easily identified from their uniquely shaped seed pod. In Oregon, follicles become dry and open in July through August (Rose et al., 1998).

- **Seeds per/lb**

248,000 seeds per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Entire heads change from green to tan to light brown. Harvest entire follicle into paper bags just as the follicle begins to turn brown and crack open. Wait too long and a lot of seeds will be lost if the follicle is jostled. For small quantities, roll follicle between thumb and fingers after harvest to dislodge seeds, but be careful that you don't create a lot of broken trash that is hard to clean. For larger quantities, dry follicles in paper bags or on screens with a lower tray that will allow seeds

Propagation Profiles

to drop through the screen while follicles remain on the screen. An air/screen cleaner or similar vibrating seed cleaner works well.”

Follicles are inherently dry and will split open when mature. Remaining seeds can be separated with gentle crushing of dried seed heads and cleaned and scalped with an "office clipper" air-screen. Chaff is papery and light and will easily separate from seed. Alternatively, cut stalk into a bag before follicles open, and leave in the bag to dry for several days. Once dry, shake bag to release and separate seed from fruiting stalk (Flessner & Trindle, 2003; Rose et al., 1998).

Treatment with gibberellic acid can shorten or replace the period of after ripening of fresh seed (Holloway, 2011).

- **Storage**

Seeds retained 80% viability after freezing for 116 days at -20°C and 15 % relative humidity (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Seeds may be stored for 2 years at low temperature and humidity. Holloway (2024) advises refrigerating (4°C/40°F), or freeze; seed germination is good for at least 3 years when frozen. Seeds may remain viable longer if stored in sealed containers at low moisture (Rose et al., 1998). Seeds stored at the Corvallis Plant Materials Center remained viable for 3+ years when stored at low humidity and 34-38°F (Flessner & Trindle, 2003).

- **Scarification requirements**

Krock et al. (2016) report a small but significant increase in germination rate following treatment with a 1:100 smoke-water imbibition. As plant-derived smoke-water is a known germination cue in fire-adapted ecosystems, heat and/or fire scarification may further improve germination rates for this species. No other scarification information was available.

- **Stratification requirements**

Aquilegia formosa requires cold stratification or long moist pre-chill to improve germination (Flessner & Trindle, 2003; Russell, 2011).

Krock et al. (2016) tested 6 cold stratification durations and of these, recommended a 60-day cold stratification to yield the highest germination percent (74%). Percent germination was further increased to 89% with a 1:100 smoke-water imbibition of 60 day cold-stratified seeds.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germination trials from Canada report 96% germination in 1% agar under a 12/12 photoperiod and 12/12 thermoperiod of 26/16°C; seeds treated with 250 mg/liter of Gibberellic acid and exposed to an 8/16 light cycle and 8/16 thermoperiod of 25/10°C returned 80% germination over 84 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Holloway (2024) advises:

“Direct surface sow in autumn but protect from bird predation in open fields. Allow seeds to drop naturally from the follicles, then check for seedlings next spring. Can be quite prolific depending on the soil/moisture conditions. Indoors, cold stratify at least 30 days at 4°C. Some collections take longer, so experiment. Seeds respond well to a treatment with Gibberellic acid when seeds are soaked immediately after cold stratification. Do not allow seeds to dry between stratification and soaking. Soak 24 hours in 1000 ppm Gibberellic acid, then sow, again without drying. Press

Propagation Profiles

seeds onto surface of propagation medium, and barely cover with fine vermiculite. Seeds germinate best in light. Seedlings will appear in 10-14 days at 70 - 75°F (21- 24°C)."

- **Establishment phase**

Flessner & Trindle (2003) report moving cold stratified plugs outside to a shade house for mid-spring germination. "Initial germination was spotty, and initial growth is quite slow." Seedlings were subjected to light, frequent waterings to keep soil moist.

- **Active growth phase**

At the Corvallis Plant Materials Center, Flessner & Trindle (2003) report the active growth phase as June through August, with slow and steady crown and root development throughout the season. They fertilized plants in July with half-strength Peters 9-45-15. Roots reached the bottoms of containers after about 3 months. Foliage development was robust, and watering was changed from overhead sprinklers to hand watering to reach the soil surface in plugs. Plants did not tolerate drying out.

- **Hardening phase**

Time between waterings was lengthened upon adequate root development, fertilizer was not applied past August (Flessner & Trindle, 2003).

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Flessner & Trindle (2003) shipped well-watered, year-old plugs in their containers via refrigerated van in August, from the Corvallis Plant Materials Center to Crater Lake National Park. Plugs were maintained in a park shade house for an additional 2 weeks, then outplanted in September.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Plants of *A. formosa* may be divided in spring just as crown growth begins.

REFERENCES

Flessner, T., & Trindle, J. (2003). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Aquilegia formosa* Fisch. Ex DC. plants 1-yr plugs 10; USDA NRCS - Corvallis Plant Materials Center Corvallis, Oregon (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://NativePlantNetwork.org>

Holloway, P. S. (2011). Seed Germination and Gibberellic Acid (No. 43; Georgeson Botanical Garden Notes). University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Natural Resources and Agricultural Sciences, Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). Personal communication. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Propagation Profiles

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023). Native Plants Database. <https://www.wildflower.org/plants/>

Rose, R., Chachulski, C., & Haase, D. (1998). *Propagation of Pacific Northwest Plants*. Oregon State University Press.

Russell, M. (2011). Dormancy and Germination Pre-Treatments in Willamette Valley Native Plants. *Northwest Science*, 85(2), 389–402. <https://doi.org/10.3955/046.085.0222>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Arnica angustifolia*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): narrowleaf arnica

Family: Asteraceae

USDA PLANTS code: ARAN5

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: unavailable

Arnica angustifolia



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Unavailable.

Habitat description:

Rocky slopes, mesic to dry sites in montane to alpine zones (Klinkenberg, 2020; Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023a).

Elevation range:

900-2400 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020). Flora of North America describes it ranging from 0-1500 meters.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Dry, sandy, or rocky soils (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023a).

Subspecies *angustifolia* is tolerant of alkaline soils (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023b).

Shade tolerance:

The Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center (2023) reports that *A. angustifolia* thrives in full sun.

Drought tolerance:

Unavailable.

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Attracts and benefits pollinator species (Alaska Native Plant Society, 2023).

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagates by seed, division, or through rhizomatous growth (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023a).

Seed type:

Unavailable.

Ease of growing:

Arnica angustifolia is sensitive to temperatures: high temperatures during previous years' growing season adversely affected rosette size and ramet survival (Jäkäläniemi, 2011).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

From Pat Holloway (2024):

"Entire heads change from yellow/green to tan to brown. The seeds are held tightly in the heads, but as they mature, the tufted hairs spread apart like dandelion fluff, and individual achenes can be pulled apart. It is easy to tell when seeds are mature because tugging on individual heads does nothing when seeds are immature. There is a short window when achenes and fluff can be pulled from the stem by hand or a vacuum harvester. Repeated harvest is required."

- **Seeds per/lb**

Unavailable.

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Although it is not necessary to remove hairs, seeds are more difficult to sow with the hairs attached. To remove, rub heads against a screen to dislodge hairs. A vacuum cleaner with nylon netting over the wand opening can be used to remove the hairs from small quantities of seed, or separate with a seed blower to bounce fluff off the seeds. Air/screen cleaners or air/gravity separators also work well to separate seeds from fluffy chaff (Holloway, 2024).

- **Storage**

Seeds remain viable for at least 5 years when frozen (Holloway, 2024).

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

- **Stratification requirements**

Germination is reportedly improved through stratification by Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center (2023), but no details were provided and no other mention of stratification was found.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

For outdoor propagation by seed, direct sow seeds in autumn or spring. For greenhouse propagation, press seeds gently into the propagation medium and cover lightly. Seedlings appear in 7-14 days at 70°F/21°C (Holloway, 2024).

Another source suggested sowing seeds at 4°C for 3 weeks, then increase to 20°C (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Plants often appear in clumps along a rhizome. They are easily divided, but growth and recovery is dependent on soil and moisture conditions and can be slow (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Alaska Native Plant Society. (2023). *Alaska Native Plants in Your Garden*. https://aknps.org/wp-content/uploads/AlaskaNativePlantsInYourGarden_trifold.pdf

Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). *Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve* (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Holloway, P., & Wagner, P. (1996). Plants that Weren't Tough Enough. *Georgeson Botanical Garden Review*, 5(3).

Propagation Profiles

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Jäkäläniemi, A. (2011). Narrow climate and habitat envelope affect the survival of relict populations of a northern *Arnica angustifolia*. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 72(3), 425–421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.03.013>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023a). *Arnica angustifolia (Narrowleaf arnica) | Native Plants of North America*. Plants Database. https://www.wildflower.org/plants/result.php?id_plant=ARAN5

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023b). *Arnica angustifolia ssp. Angustifolia (Narrowleaf arnica) | Native Plants of North America*. Plant Database. https://www.wildflower.org/plants/result.php?id_plant=ARANA

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Artemisia tilesii*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): Tilesius' wormwood

Family: Asteraceae


USDA PLANTS code: ARTI

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Artemisia tilesii



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds collected from – and greenhouse propagated in – Denali National Park and Preserve (Densmore and Holmes, 1987) - USDA Zone 3b

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00040851.1987.12002639>

Information for the cultivar 'Caiggluk' Tilesius' wormwood developed by the Alaska Plant Materials Center (Hunt & Wright, 2007)

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/plant-flyers/CaigglukArtemisia.pdf>

Habitat description:

Sandy areas, lowlands, moist to mesic meadows, streambanks and gravel bars, open forests, and rock slopes (Hultén, 1968; Klinkenberg, 2020).

Elevation range:

Lowland, montane, and alpine zones, reported up to 1845 meters in British Columbia (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Adapted to coarse soil (USDA & NRCS, 2023). *Artemisia* spp. in general tolerate nutrient-poor soils, and prefer loose, aerated soil with good drainage. Persistently damp soils during their dormant season can lead to rotting of roots and crowns (Heger et al., 2011).

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

Prefers sun, but is shade tolerant (Hunt & Wright, 2007; USDA & NRCS, 2023). In shady environments plants will become “lanky and sparse” (Heger et al., 2011).

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023). However, Wright & Czapla (2013) suggest high drought tolerance.

Disturbance tolerance:

Documented as one of the early colonizers of disturbed sites at drilling operations on the North Slope (McKendrick, 1987).

Community interactions:

A strong competitor (Wright & Czapla, 2013); allelopathic (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Wildlife associations:

Provides cover and forage for birds and small mammals (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Restoration value:

The ‘Caiggluk’ germplasm developed by the Alaska Plant Materials Center is noted as an excellent tool for revegetation of sandy, disturbed sites. It tolerates a pH range of 2-9, making it a good candidate for mine site reclamation and bioremediation (Hunt & Wright, 2007). The species is also known to tolerate petroleum hydrocarbon mixtures, atmospheric acidity, polluted areas, and may neutralize acid rain (Adams & Hutchinson, 1984; Freedman, 1990; Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Containerized seedlings of *A. tilesii* planted in alpine and sub-alpine zones showed 93-100% survival rate after one season among all treatments (variable amendments and watering regimes; Densmore & Holmes, 1987).

Primary reproductive mode:

Seed. Can also be propagated by cuttings (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

No information was available for *A. tilesii*, however the subspecies, *A. tilesii* ssp. *elatior*, is orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Easy to grow (Hunt & Wright, 2007). Propagation from ‘Caiggluk’ germplasm seed should result in seed-producing plants in 2 years (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Seed of *A. tilesii* matures late in the season and can be easily shattered by fall weather (Carter, 2014).

Propagation Profiles

- **Seeds per/lb**
Mean seed weight of 0.25 grams; 5,296,666 seeds per pound (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023; USDA & NRCS, 2023).
- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**
Unavailable.
- **Storage**
A. tilesii ssp. *elator* is reported to maintain 100% viability after 159 days at -20°C and drying to moisture contents in equilibrium with 15% relative humidity (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).
- **Scarification requirements**
Not required.
- **Stratification requirements**
In their greenhouse propagation for Denali National Park revegetation study, Densmore and Holmes (1987) cold stratified wild-collected seeds for 60 days and achieved successful germination. However, other sources suggest seeds don't exhibit dormancy and don't need stratification .
- **Germination rates and techniques**
Denali National Park seeds were successfully germinated in petri dishes on lab tissue paper moistened with distilled water, under an alternating cycle of 16 hours light at 25°C/8 hours dark at 10°C (Densmore & Holmes, 1987).

The Society for Ecological Restoration reports germination in 1% agar under an 8/16 photoperiod yielded 100% success in 35 days. Baskin and Baskin (2002) report germination at 28°C. Two other trials report 70-74% germination over 28 days under a 8/16 photoperiod at 15-20°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Plant into a sterile, moist growing medium; germinate at 60-65°F and do not cover as seeds require light for germination. Seedlings should emerge in 30 days (Heger et al., 2011). For field planting, seed at ¼" depth via broadcast seeding or light raking into soil (Carter, 2014)
- **Establishment phase**
Unavailable.
- **Active growth phase**
Unavailable.
- **Hardening phase**
In Densmore and Holmes's (1987) Denali greenhouse propagation, germinated seedlings were transferred to book-style containers filled with a sterilized peat/silt/sand growing medium, and moved outside one month prior to field planting.
- **Harvest, storage & shipping**
Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

REFERENCES

- Adams, C. M., & Hutchinson, T. C. (1984). A Comparison of the Ability of Leaf Surfaces of Three Species to Neutralize Acidic Rain Drops. *New Phytologist*, 97(3), 463–478.
- Baskin, J. M., & Baskin, C. C. (2002). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Artemisia tilesii Ledeb. Plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.
- Carter, R. (2014). *Alaska Plant Materials Center Revegetation Field Guide—Revegetation Plant Identification*. Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture.
- Densmore, R. V., & Holmes, K. W. (1987). Assisted Revegetation in Denali National Park, Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 544–548.
- Freedman, B. (1990). Intense, Natural Pollution Affects Arctic Tundra Vegetation at the Smoking Hills, Canada. *Ecology*, 71(2), 492–503
- Heger, M., Whitman, J., & Lonnee, D. (2011). *Growing Perennials in Cold Climates*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>
- Hunt, P., & Wright, S. (2007). *Caiggluk' Tilesius' Wormwood (Stinkweed) Artemisia tilesii* (Alaska Plant Materials Center Plant Flyer). Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture.
- Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>
- McKendrick, J. D. (1987). Plant Succession on Disturbed Sites, North Slope, Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 554–565.
- SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>
- USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>
- Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].
- Wright, S. J., & Czapla, P. K. (2013). *Alaska Coastal Revegetation & Erosion Control Guide* (3rd ed.). State of Alaska Plant Materials Center.

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Aruncus dioicus*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): bride's feathers

Family: Rosaceae

USDA PLANTS code: ARDI8

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: UPL

Aruncus dioicus



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Susitna Valley, Alaska (Moore & Ross, 2004) - USDA Zone 3b-5a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-aruncus-2870>

Habitat description:

Streamsides, meadows, riparian, white spruce-paper birch woodlands (Hultén, 1968; Moore & Ross, 2004).

Elevation range:

British Columbia populations occur up to 2750 meters, average of plants surveyed occurred 700-800 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020). Flora of North America describes elevation range of 10-1500 meters.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Average to acidic (pH <6.8), nitrogen rich; although wetland status lists this plant as an upland species, it is indicated as growing in mesic to hydric conditions (Klinkenberg, 2020; Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Tolerates shade to full sun depending on latitude and temperature; in northern range *A. dioicus* can thrive in full sun, whereas more southern populations do better with more shade (Charnon, 2024; Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Low.

Disturbance tolerance:

Tolerant of seasonal flooding and commonly found near ephemeral waterways (Klinkenberg, 2020; Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Seeds, but can also be propagated by root divisions (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

May be difficult to sprout from seed (Holloway, 2024). Easily transplanted.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Male and female flowers are on separate plants (Holloway, 2024). Seeds develop only on female plants and ripen approximately one month after blooming (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023). The fruit is a tiny follicle that opens at the top; harvest follicles when seed heads begin to turn brown. Shake the feathery heads onto paper to see if seeds are being released. Take care to harvest before follicles become too dry as seeds are more easily lost (Holloway, 2024).

- **Seeds per/lb**

Mean seed weight per 1000 seed is 0.10 grams (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Dry entire seed heads on screens with a tray placed underneath to collect seeds (Holloway, 2024).

- **Storage**

Seeds retain 100% viability after drying to moisture contents in equilibrium with 15% relative humidity and freezing at -20°C for 115 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Holloway (2024) indicates that seeds may remain viable for 3 years or more when frozen.

Propagation Profiles

- **Scarification requirements**

Tests in European populations found no improvement to germination (see germination rates and techniques below).

- **Stratification requirements**

See germination rates and techniques section.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Moore and Ross (2004) reported germination >80% after 6 weeks, when processed as follows:

"Cold-stored seed is washed in running water for 24 hours. After washing, the seed is fall-sown in 10.5 in³ Spencer-Lemaire roottrainers with a well-drained upland soil mix. These are stored in a cooler exposed to outside winter temperatures. In the spring, the trays are moved to the greenhouse for germination. Total time for cold moist stratification is 5 months."

Holloway (2024) advises:

"Direct sow in autumn, but results are not great – seeds are tiny and get lost easily or washed away. You can sometimes find seedlings beneath female plants that can be dug and re-planted. Cold stratify seeds 30 - 60 days (2-4°C). Sprinkle seeds onto the sterile potting mix. Do not cover. Germinate at 55 - 65°F (13-18°C). Germination begins in 7-10 days at 25/10°C or 21°C (70°F) with 16 hours of light during the warm period, but may take 2-8 weeks. Not always successful."

Germination trials on Italian populations of *A. diocius* were conducted to determine optimum requirements for the purposes of cultivation. Among 6 accessions they determined that 2°C cold stratification returned significant improvements to germination rate and time: a 45-day cold stratification resulted in 90.1% germination in 7.7 days. Neither chemical nor mechanical scarification were found to improve germination. They additionally determined that cold stratified seeds did not germinate in the dark, and an artificial light was necessary to break dormancy (Gianni, 2019).

A recent study of seed priming on seed from Korea populations found that pre-treating seed with polyethylene glycol (PEG) for 24 hours resulted in a 1.9-fold increase in percent germination, compared to untreated seeds. Seeds were air dried after priming and germinated in petri dishes under a 16-hour photoperiod at 25°C and 60% humidity (Kim et al., 2022).

- **Establishment phase**

Known for slow growth and establishment during first several years.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Move trays from greenhouse in June (Moore & Ross, 2004).

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

As a clump-forming plant with rhizomes, plants may be propagated by division. Holloway (2024) recommends dividing in the spring, right as new growth is initiating. "Can be a tangled mess, so prune off top before dividing."

REFERENCES

Charnon, B. (2024). *Goatsbeard (Aruncus dioicus (Walter) Fernald)*. US Forest Service Plant of the Week. https://www.fs.usda.gov/wildflowers/plant-of-the-week/aruncus_dioicus.shtml

Gianni, S. (2019). Seed germination requirements of Buck's beard [*Aruncus dioicus* (Walter) Fernald (Rosaceae)]. *Plant Biosystems*, 153, 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2018.1436611>

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Kim, S.-H., Lee, S.-Y., & Heo, J.-Y. (2022). The effect of seed priming on the germination properties of *Aruncus dioicus*. *Seed Science and Technology*, 50(2), 221–226. <https://doi.org/10.15258/sst.2022.50.2.05>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023). *Aruncus dioicus (Bride's feathers) | Native Plants of North America*. Plants Database. https://www.wildflower.org/plants/result.php?id_plant=ARDI8

Moore, N., & Ross, D. (2004). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Aruncus dioicus (Walt.) Fern. Plants Alaska Plant Materials Center Palmer, Alaska* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-aruncus-2870>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Betula papyrifera* var. *kenaica*

Synonyms: *B. kenaica*, *B. neoalaskana* var. *kenaica*

Common name (s): Kenai birch

Family: Betulaceae

USDA PLANTS code: BEPAK

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Tree

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Information on this variety is lacking. Where noted, information for *B. papyrifera* is provided.

Betula kenaica



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds collected near Tyonek, Alaska - USDA Zone 5a, germinated in Durham, NC - USDA Zone 8a
Densmore (1979)

Glacier National Park, MT - USDA Zone 5a (Luna et al., 2008)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=betulaceae-betula-42>

Habitat description:

Birches are pioneer species in boreal ecosystems. They thrive in open areas of disturbed sites and tend to occur on cool, moist aspects (Uchytíl, 1991). *B. papyrifera* var. *kenaica* occurs in subalpine habitat often among alders or at the edge of treeless tundra (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Sea level to 300 meters (Flora of North America, 1993).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

B. papyrifera will grow on gravel, silt, bog and peat soils, with preference to well-drained sandy loam, or sandy or silty soils characteristic of glacial deposits (Rose et al., 1998; Uchytíl, 1991). The variety *kenaica* prefers moist and well-drained sites but can tolerate heavy clay. Also tolerates nutritionally poor soils (PFAF).

Shade tolerance:

Low (PFAF); shade intolerant (Uchytíl, 1991).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

High (Smreciu et al., 2013).

Disturbance tolerance:

The tendency of *B. papyrifera* to readily regenerate and colonize after clearcutting and fires, wind, drought, avalanche and mild salinity indicates a high tolerance for disturbances (Smreciu et al., 2013; Uchytil, 1991). The high moisture content of birch canopies makes it one of the least flammable trees (Uchytil, 1991). Though regularly used for restoration of disturbed sites, *B. papyrifera* can be rapidly shaded out by shade-tolerant tree species (Uchytil, 1991). Direct seeding may not be advised on mine reclamation sites with compromised soils (heavy metals or low soil pH), or sites with air pollution, as these factors can inhibit germination of some birch species (Brinkman, 1974).

Community interactions:

In other parts of its continental range, leaf litter can inhibit germination of certain pine species (Uchytil, 1991).

Wildlife associations:

B. papyrifera provides important winter forage for moose, it is also regularly consumed by snowshoe hares, porcupines, and other small mammals and birds (Uchytil, 1991).

Restoration value:

B. papyrifera is regularly used in revegetation, soil stabilization and mine reclamation, as well as being a desirable tree for landscaping (Uchytil, 1991).

Primary reproductive mode:

B. papyrifera can be propagated by seed and vegetatively through grafting, air layering, tissue cultures and cuttings (Uchytil, 1991).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

In revegetation programs, transplanting 2 year old stock or use of containerized plants (as opposed to direct seeding) is recommended (Uchytil, 1991).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

In general, *B. papyrifera* produces abundant seed every other year, starting when trees mature to 15 years of age, with peak seed production from 40-70 years old (Uchytil, 1991). Seeds are wind dispersed, with peak viability co-occurring with peak seed crop years. Flowering occurs in May-June, with seed dispersal initiating August-September into November (Bjorkbom, 1971; Viereck & Little, 1972). Early dispersed seeds are considered more likely to have low seed quality (Karrfalt, 2008). Birch species hybridize easily, so keep in mind when identifying stands for seed harvest (Karrfalt, 2008).

Propagation Profiles

Both male and female catkins occur near tips of branchlets, on the same tree, and fruits are drooping strobiles with many scales that enclose a small winged seed (Rose et al., 1998). Ripe strobiles shatter easily, so pick or strip drooping strobiles when they are still green and will hold together, and place directly into bags (Karrfalt, 2008). Luna et al. (2008) report the majority of seed shed to occur from September to November, in Glacier National Park, MT; collection is done Sept-Oct “when catkins have turned papery but are still somewhat green and holding together.” Luna et al. (2008) report spreading a canvas tarp beneath trees and using a pole pruner to cut branches with catkins and let them fall onto tarp; seeds are then swept from tarp into paper bags and stored in a shed to dry before further processing.

- **Seeds per/lb**

610,000-4,120,000 seeds per pound (Brinkman, 1974; Karrfalt, 2008).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Dry strobiles until they begin to disintegrate (may take up to several weeks), then flail and shake to shatter (Rose et al., 1998). Heat can be safely applied to fresh, green strobiles, but keeping humidity low can facilitate seed release at temperatures ranging from -14 to 16°C (Karrfalt, 2008).

Use screens or fanning to separate, (eg, for paper birch 3.2mm round hole screen); an indent cylinder can be used to remove stems; a column blower or specific gravity table can further “upgrade the seedlot” (Karrfalt, 2008).

Luna et al. (2008) report extracting seeds by tumbling catkins and then running through screens, noting that empty seeds were difficult to sort from filled seeds.

- **Storage**

Hermetic storage at -25° to 3°C is recommended with seeds dried to 1-3% moisture content (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). In general birch seeds store best at 2.2-3°C (Karrfalt, 2008). Seeds of paper birch will keep at room temperature 1.5-2 years provided they are dried to 1-5% moisture content, higher moisture contents can reduce germination capacity unless seeds are frozen (Brinkman, 1974). Seeds of paper birch have been kept as long as 15 years at 5-9% at 8°C (Karrfalt, 2008). Luna et al. (2008) stored seed for 1 year in sealed containers at 1-3°C.

- **Scarification requirements**

Not required.

- **Stratification requirements**

Stratification is a known requirement for germination of *Betula* spp. – regardless of ecoregion – to maximize germination speed and rate; photoperiod during germination can be introduced to shorten the stratification requirement, depending on species (Karrfalt, 2008). Cold stratification of 30-60 days is recommended for northern seedlots to achieve germination of 60% or more (Holloway, 1985; Smreciu et al., 2013). Karrfalt (2008) advises stratification at 2-3°C.

For Alaska populations, germination is sensitive to photoperiod and temperature, of which both dependencies can be manipulated through cold stratification (Densmore, 1979). Germination of unstratified seed was highest with long day (22 hours light/2 hours dark) photoperiods, provided temperature was 15-25°C; for shorter days (13 hrs light/11 hours dark), germination rates were

Propagation Profiles

highest only at warmer temperatures (20-25°C) (Densmore, 1979). Stratification (74 days) removed this sensitivity of *B. papyrifera* to photoperiod and temperature, with seeds reaching 88-100% germination, irrespective of photoperiod, over a wide range of temperatures (5-25°C) (Densmore, 1979).

Testing a seed lot can help determine light requirements and prechilling conditions to maximize germination (Karrfalt, 2008). In general, northern seed populations seem to tolerate a wider range of germination temperatures, with longer stratification periods likely to increase light sensitivity during germination (Bevington, 1986).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (1985) advises cold stratification for 60 days at 4°C and germinate at 10-25°C; alternatively, fall sow or germinate on filter paper or sand at 21-24°C and under continuous light. Mineral and mixed mineral organic soils are best for germination; however, humus provides a better medium for seedling survival (Uchytel, 1991). Shade is important for germination as seeds are sensitive to moisture and temperature (Uchytel, 1991).

Sow in late summer or fall, or cold stratify 4-8 weeks and sow in spring (Rose et al., 1998). Cover seeds lightly with 3 mm of soil, or no covering at all provided there is adequate irrigation (Brinkman, 1974; Karrfalt, 2008). Keep seedlings shaded for 2-3 months during the first summer (Karrfalt, 2008). Seedlings are sensitive to use of herbicide and insecticide (Karrfalt, 2008).

Luna et al. (2008) soaked seed in water for 24 hrs, followed by a 60-day cold moist stratification. Seed was then placed in fine mesh bags and buried in moistened milled sphagnum peat moss and stored in a refrigerator at 1-3°C. They report 47-87% germination with this method. Seeds were then directly surface sown into 172 mL cone-tainers filled with a growing medium comprised of 6:1:1 milled sphagnum peat, perlite, and vermiculite. Within each cone-tainer, the growing medium was mixed with 1 gram Osmocote controlled release fertilizer (13N:13P₂O₅:13K₂O; 8 to 9 month release rate at 21°C) and 0.20 gram Micromax fertilizer (12%S, 0.1%B, 0.5%Cu, 12%Fe, 2.5%Mn, 0.05%Mo, 1%Zn).

- **Establishment phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report a 4 week total establishment period. They describe “uniform” germination over a period of 3 weeks, with true leaves appearing at 2 weeks, upon which seedlings were thinned.

- **Active growth phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report a 16 week active growth period, during which seedlings grew rapidly. They fertilized growing plants with 100 ppm of 20-20-20 liquid NPK. After 16 weeks, plants were potted up into 3L containers.

- **Hardening phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report fertilizing plants for a 4 week hardening period in fall with a 10-20-20 liquid NPK at 200 ppm, leaching pots with clear water, and one final irrigation prior to overwintering. Protocols compiled for use in Alberta suggest that plants may be overwintered for use in spring or early fall (Smreciu et al., 2013).

Propagation Profiles

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Tend in greenhouse for 16 weeks prior to outplanting, or harvest after growing period of 120 days (Smreciu et al., 2013). Luna et al. (2008) report total time to harvest as 8 months. For overwintering plants, Luna et al. (2008) left in an outdoor nursery under foam insulation covers and snow.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings** – *B. papyrifera* can be propagated vegetatively through grafting, air layering, tissue cultures and cuttings (Uchytel, 1991). For cuttings, Rose et al. (1998) advises harvesting when shoots are still active, and base of cutting is just starting to be firm; nodal cuttings 15-20 cm long are preferable. Inspect cuttings for terminal buds, if they are visible, then poor rooting is likely (Rose et al., 1998). Dip cutting in 8000 ppm IBA talc rooting hormone, and plant in peat-sand mixture. Transplant cuttings into pots only once rooted; long, warm dry days with good air circulation are best (Rose et al., 1998). Cuttings from older trees are less vigorous in sprouting (Uchytel, 1991). For collections in Montana, Luna et al. (2008) advises harvesting semi-hardwood cuttings in summer, as timing with active growth period is critical to ensure development of a terminal bud. They report high rooting success with harvested cuttings, 10-20 cm in length and with a "long shallow wound at the base," treated with 8000 ppm IBA talc rooting hormone, with bottom heat and mist.

REFERENCES

- Bevington, J. (1986). Geographic Differences in the Seed Germination of Paper Birch (*Betula papyrifera*). *American Journal of Botany*, 73(4), 564–573.
- Bjorkbom, J. C. (1971). *Production and germination of paper birch seed and its dispersal into a forest opening* (Res. Pap. NE-209; p. 14 pp). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station.
- Brinkman, K. (1974). *Betula L. birch*. In C. Schopmeyer (Ed.), *Seeds of woody plants in the United States* (Pages 252-257). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Densmore, R. (1979). *Aspects of the Seed Ecology of Woody Plants of the Alaska Taiga and Tundra*. Duke University.
- Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993+. *Flora of North America North of Mexico* [Online]. 25+ vols. New York and Oxford. <http://beta.floranorthamerica.org>. Accessed 3.31.2024.
- Holloway, P. (1985). Propagation of Alaska Native Plants. *Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference*, 52–63.
- Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Propagation Profiles

Karrfalt, R. P. (2008). *Betula* L. In F. T. Bonner & R. P. Karrfalt (Eds.), *The Woody Plant Seed Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia* [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Luna, T., Evans, J., & Wick, D. (2008). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Betula papyrifera Marsh. Plants 172 ml conetainer; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

Plants for a Future (n.d.). *Betula kenaica*. <https://pfaf.org/User/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Betula+kenaica>.

Rose, R., Chachulski, C., & Haase, D. (1998). *Propagation of Pacific Northwest Plants*. Oregon State University Press.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Smreciu, A., Gould, K., & Wood, S. (2013). *Boreal Plant Species for Reclamation of Athabasca Oil Sands Disturbances – Updated December 2014* (OSRIN Report No. TR-44). Oil Sands Research and Information Network, University of Alberta, School of Energy and the Environment.

Uchytel, R. J. (1991). *Betula papyrifera* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/betpap/all.html>

Viereck, L. A., & Little, E. L. (1972). *Alaska Trees and Shrubs*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset]

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Calamagrostis canadensis*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): bluejoint

Family: Poaceae


USDA PLANTS code: CACA4

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Calamagrostis canadensis



Data usage licenses: ArcGIS ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

'Sourdough' cultivar, developed and described by the Alaska Plant Materials Center in Palmer, Alaska (Hunt and Wright 2007)

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/plant-flyers/SourdoughBluejoint.pdf>

Bulkley Valley, Northwestern British Columbia (Burton & Burton, 2003) - USDA Zone equivalent 4b

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Seed collected from Rocky Mountains, western WY and propagated in Aberdeen, Idaho (Tilley, 2010) - USDA Zone 5b

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=poaceae-calamagrostis-3865>

Habitat description:

Throughout its range, *C. canadensis* occurs in meadows, open woods, and wet areas such as bogs, thickets, swamps, and lake margins (Darris, 2006). Throughout Alaska, occurs in meadows and wet areas (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Sea level to 2,420 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Adapted to fine and medium soils, with high CaCO₃ tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023). It can be found growing in highly organic peat and clay and tolerates a broad range of soil pH (3.5-8). *C. canadensis*

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

tolerates fresh to brackish water and thrives in moist to saturated soils, provided they are not water-logged. Can tolerate standing water associated with spring flooding (Darris, 2006; Wynia, 2006).

Shade tolerance:

Shade tolerant to shade intolerant (Burton & Burton, 2003; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

The USDA Plants Database reports low drought tolerance; however, populations throughout British Columbia are reported to be drought tolerant once established (Burton & Burton, 2003; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

C. canadensis can form dense stands after fire or clear-cut disturbances, and has rebounded after oil spills (Tesky, 1992; Wynia, 2006). As high value forage to livestock, *C. canadensis* is sensitive to being overgrazed, and unfertilized stands do not tolerate heavy grazing (Klebesadel & Laughlin, 1964; Tesky, 1992; Wynia, 2006).

Community interactions:

C. canadensis is a dominant understory species of boreal forest communities, and co-dominant species in early seral riparian and forest communities (Tesky, 1992). It can become weedy and persistent in some areas, including cranberry bogs and agricultural fields in Alaska, and may suppress woody plants and inhibit seedling establishment of conifers, in particular white spruce (*Picea glauca*) (Darris, 2006; Helm, 1995; Wynia, 2006).

Wildlife associations:

Provides forage and cover for small mammals and birds. Early season growth provides palatable forage for livestock and wildlife (Klebesadel & Laughlin, 1964; Quinlan & Cuccarese, 2004).

Restoration value:

C. canadensis has creeping underground rhizomes that facilitate binding of soil, and is commonly used for wetland restoration, and stabilization of streambanks and shorelines (Darris, 2006; Tesky, 1992). *C. canadensis* is an especially good candidate for restoration of high gradient streams subject to seasonal flooding (Burton & Burton, 2003). This cold hardy grass has rhizomes that are tolerant of low soil temperatures, which can be advantageous for revegetation of cold sites (Hardy BBT Limited, 1989; Hunt & Wright, 2007). It will quickly colonize areas that have been disturbed by fire or logging (Darris, 2006), and has been known to colonize oil spill sites (Hardy BBT Limited, 1989; Tesky, 1992).

The 'Soughdough' cultivar developed by Alaska Plant Materials Center in Palmer is a result of combining 30+ collections made throughout the state (Hunt & Wright, 2007). It is not recommended as a monoculture (Hunt & Wright, 2007); a number of case studies featuring its use in seed mixes for revegetation projects is described by (Wright & Czaplá, 2013).

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagated by seed, sprigs and rhizomes (Tesky, 1992; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Unavailable.

Ease of growing:

Propagation Profiles

C. canadensis is an aggressive colonizer and can dominate plant communities (Hunt & Wright, 2007). Monitor carefully, as it can become invasive in some areas, but can be controlled with glyphosate and hexazinone herbicide, especially when applied during late stages (Wynia, 2006). Seed is expensive, so it may be more economical to establish stands by rhizome for large projects, especially in northern ecosystems (Burton & Burton, 2003; Darris, 2006; Wright & Hunt, 2008). Densmore et al. (2000) report mixed/poor results for in-situ revegetation in Denali National Park by direct seeding, and vigorous growth in areas revegetated by transplants (Densmore et al., 2000).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

C. canadensis flowers in late June-July, with seeds maturing by August (Darris, 2006). Flowering is prolific in wetlands and areas of recent disturbances (Tesky, 1992). Seeds are wind dispersed and can remain viable for 5-7 years in the soil (Darris, 2006). Seed heads, 4-10 inches long, show a purplish color when they start emerging; color later transitions to tan (Klebesadel & Laughlin, 1964). Ripe seeds will be hard and brown (Tilley, 2010). Burton and Burton (2003) point out that because of this species' tendency to form dense, pure stands, opportunities for wild seed harvest are good. Collect before seeds shatter. On wet sites, collect panicles by hand cutting with shears or a hand scythe; a combine may be used for drier sites (Tilley, 2010; Wynia, 2006).

Burton and Burton (2003) advise harvesting with a hand sickle or clippers; dry seeds in the sun or inside in a warm, dry environment. For seed harvested from cultivated stands, laying plastic between rows can help mitigate seed loss during harvest. Seed may also be harvested with the use of a seed stripper fitted with a soft-threaded harvesting head or a combine/thresher can be used set to 1548 rpm and 5 mm gap (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Seeds per/lb**

3,837,472 seed per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Seed can be difficult to clean. Tufts of hair, attached to lemma bracts, can be cleaned by threshing panicles with a hammermill, or with use of a debearder to remove the basal hairs; any loss of hulls in the process is not important to germination (Darris, 2006; Wynia, 2006).

Burton and Burton (2003) report cleaning seed with a rotary flail, if seed is harvested with long stalks intact. A fanning mill is then used for subsequent cleaning, set to 4 x 19 mm slot prescreen, 4.98 mm round top, and a blank bottom; manually clear any seed that has balled together (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Tilley (2010) report air drying seed for several weeks in paper bags. Before processing: "Seed is removed from stem using a hammer mill with a 0.6 cm (0.25 in) screen. Seed is cleaned using an airstream cleaner with a 1.55 mm screen and light air. Purities of over 95% are typical...A kerosene heater "pop test" is used for quick estimates of seed fill. Lots with poor viability are re-cleaned with increased air" (Tilley et al 2010).

Propagation Profiles

Barner (2009) describes the seed cleaning process for a 0.85 pound seed lot harvested near Boise, Idaho:

"Seed lot is first processed using a Westrup Model LA-H laboratory brush machine, with a #14 mantel with pins, (to move material along and prevent clogging), at a speed of 3, to remove seed from stems and de-awn. Seeds are then finished by air-screening, to remove chaff and inert material, using an office Clipper, with a top screen: 1st run, 1/18 round, next runs, (1/16, and 1/20 round) and a bottom screen: 50 x 50 wire mesh, low speed, and low to medium air" (Barner, 2009).

- **Storage**

Tilley (2010) reports storing seed at 10°C under relative humidity of 20-30%. Seed may retain 80% or more viability after two years of storage (Wynia, 2006). Burton and Burton (2003) report successful germination of seed that was 4-6 years old, and advise storing at 0.6-7.2°C. Experimental field trials of seed buried near Fairbanks, AK, showed total loss of seed viability by 10 years, with viability declines estimated to be 26-45% per year, depending on depth of burial; within the 10 year period, seed buried 15 cm below ground surface was estimated to have fared better than seed buried 2 cm below ground surface (Conn et al., 2006). The 'Sourdough' cultivar developed by the Alaska Plant Materials Center is reported to have long storage capacity (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

- **Scarification requirements**

Not required.

- **Stratification requirements**

No pre-treatments appear to increase germination (Wynia, 2006). Germination trials of seed collected in northern British Columbia also suggest no advantage to cold stratification, especially for seeds germinating in warmer soil (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germination trials in the US report 72% germination over 35 days when germinated in 1% agar under an 8/16 hour light cycle and thermocycle of 25/10°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Germination rate of seed from Inuvik in the Northwest Territories was 90%, under 20°C temperature (Tesky, 1992). Germination capacity of British Columbia seed was highest (42.5%) when germinated under a 30/20°C thermocycle; seedlings began to emerge after 15.4 days and reached 50% potential after 22 days (Burton & Burton, 2003).

The Alaska Plant Materials Center recommends planting seed of the 'Sourdough' cultivar between May 20-and July 10 in Southcentral Alaska; seed planted outside of this window can have poor germination rates or be subject to fungus or winter kill (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

- **Establishment phase**

Tilley (2010) reports planting seed into an unfertilized growing medium mix of 1:1:1 coconut fiber, compost, and perlite; seed not covered, but is lightly pressed into medium to ensure seed to soil contact. Seeds were watered with overhead mister 2 minutes/hour from 9am – 5pm for 30 days, with grow lights on at night. Greenhouse temperatures ranged from 32-43°C during the day and 30°C at night (Tilley, 2010). Seedling emergence occurred after 3-5 days, with full stands in 12 days.

Propagation Profiles

Burton and Burton (2003) advise that for field planting, plant seeds in spring into a weed-free site, with moist to saturated (but not inundated) soil.

- **Active growth phase**

Tilley (2010) reports a 3 month active growth phase, during which plants were fertilized once/week with Miracle Grow 15-30-15 All Purpose Plant Food, with irrigation increased to 3 minutes/hour, and no nighttime grow lights.

For field plantings, annual fertilization may promote stand establishment, but may not be necessary given this species' tendency for long term persistence (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Hardening phase**

To harden, Tilley (2010) reports removing supplemental greenhouse heat and discontinuing watering for 3 days before plants are shipped.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

C. canadensis may establish best by rhizome, which may be more economical for large revegetation projects in northern ecosystems (Burton & Burton, 2003; Darris, 2006; Wright & Hunt, 2008). It is also mentioned as a good candidate for revegetation in the form of grass rolls (Walter et al., 2005).

REFERENCES

Barner, J. (2009). Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) *Calamagrostis canadensis* (Michx.) P. Beauv. Seeds USDA FS - R6 Bend Seed Extractory Bend, Oregon (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration.

Conn, J. S., Beattie, K.L, and Blanchard, A. (2006). Seed viability and dormancy of 17 weed species after 19.7 years of burial in Alaska. *Weed Science*. 54 (3), 464-470.

Darris, D. (2006). Plant Fact Sheet Bluejoint *Calamagrostis canadensis* (NRCS Plant Fact Sheet). USDA NRCS Plant Materials Center.

Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division.

Hardy BBT Limited. (1989). Manual of plant species suitability for reclamation in Alberta (RRTAC Report No. 89-4; p. 436 pages). Alberta Land Conservation and Reclamation Council,.

Propagation Profiles

Helm, D. J. (1995). Native Grass Cultivars for Multiple Revegetation Goals on a Proposed Mine Site in Southcentral Alaska. *Restoration Ecology*, 3(2), 111–122.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Hunt, P., & Wright, S. (2007). Plant Flyer 'Sourdough' Bluejoint Reedgrass *Calamagrostis canadensis* (Alaska Plant Materials Center Plant Flyer). State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources Division of Agriculture Plant Materials Center.

Klebesadel, L. J., & Laughlin, M. (1964). Utilization of Native Bluejoint Grass in Alaska (Forage Research Report No. 2). University of Alaska Agricultural Experiment Station.

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Quinlan, S., & Cuccarese, S. (2004). Native Alaskan and exotic plants used by wildlife. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Tesky, J. (1992). *Calamagrostis canadensis* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/graminoid/calcan/all.html>

Tilley, D. (2010). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Calamagrostis canadensis* (Michx.) P. Beauv. Plants 10 cubic inch container; USDA NRCS - Aberdeen Plant Materials Center Aberdeen, Idaho (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Walter, J., Hughes, D., Moore, N., & Muhlberg, G. (2005). *Streambank Revegetation and Protection: A Guide for Alaska*. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Wright, S. J., & Czapla, P. K. (2013). *Alaska Coastal Revegetation & Erosion Control Guide* (3rd ed.). State of Alaska Plant Materials Center.

Wright, S. J., & Hunt, P. (2008). *Revegetation Manual for Alaska* (p. 73). Division of Agriculture, Alaska Department of Natural Resources. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/RevegManual.pdf>

Propagation Profiles

Wynia, R. (2006). Plant Guide for Bluejoint Reedgrass *Calamagrostis canadensis* (NRCS Plant Guide).
USDA NRCS Plant Materials Center

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Carex aquatilis*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): water sedge

Family: Cyperaceae


USDA PLANTS code: CAAQ

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: OBL

Carex aquatilis



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seed collected from bogs and marshes throughout Alaska, germinated at Alaska Plant Materials Center (Moore & Hunt, 2003) - USDA Zone 4b

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2753>

Aberdeen, Idaho (Tilley et al., 2011) - USDA Zone 5b

https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/plantguide/pdf/pg_caaq.pdf

Habitat description:

Mountains, arctic and subarctic habitats (Hauser, 2006). Shallow water, marshes, bogs, floodplains, ponds, and lakes (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Sea level to alpine habitats; up to 1,800 feet in Alaska (Hauser, 2006; Tande & Lipkin, 2003).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Cold, moist soils; fine to sandy (Hauser, 2006).

Shade tolerance:

Intolerant (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Disturbance tolerance:

High – thrives on disturbed sites (Hauser, 2006).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

This sedge is an important component of wetland communities, especially in shallow streams and lentic habitats. It's an important source of forage for waterfowl, small mammals, musk ox, and caribou, particularly in arctic Alaska (Tande & Lipkin, 2003).

Restoration value:

Carex aquatilis is documented as a pioneer species in areas of thermokarst and floodplains in Alaska (Cargill & Chapin, 1987). Due to its rhizomatous growth, it's also an excellent candidate for restoration of disturbed sites and stabilization of streambanks (Hauser, 2006). It has been a successful component in tundra restoration efforts using "tundra sodding," a practice based on indigenous traditional ecological knowledge (Cater et al., 2015).

Primary reproductive mode:

Asexual. Sod-forming clonal plant with complex rhizomatous growth patterns comprised of spreading and clumping rhizomes; reproduction by seed is infrequent and only occurs during "favorable years," with a small proportion of plants within a population producing viable seeds (Hauser, 2006).

Seed type:

Orthodox (probable). Known to form long-term persistent soil seed banks, with seeds remaining viable 5 years or more; however, because the upper time limit to longevity has yet to be empirically documented this species has been conservatively classified as "Orthodox (probable)," meaning "better than likely, but not absolutely certain" (SER, INSR, RBGK Seed Information Database, 2023).

Ease of growing:

In the wild, *C. aquatilis* primarily reproduces from rhizomes and rarely reproduces from seeds, which are produced irregularly only during favorable years (Hauser, 2006; Tilley et al., 2011). Germination and pre-treatment success may be highly dependent on location (Holloway et al., 2013).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Plants are monoecious with multiple flower spikes, the terminal spike is staminate; lower spikes are pistillate and seed bearing. Individual spikes may be composed of >50 flowers. Wind pollination is essential. Fruits are achenes with a single seed surrounded by a perigynium (inflated sac). This covering is thought to be involved in the light requirement in seed germination.

Fruiting heads are ripe just before shattering, and seeds are brown and hard (Moore & Hunt, 2003). Hand strip multiple heads or clip multiple heads when they turn from green to tan to

Propagation Profiles

brown; green seeds do not loosen from the head easily and will not germinate (Holloway, 2024). Moore and Hunt (2003) recommend collecting seed with gloved hands, as stems are sharp; collectors should take care when harvesting to avoid perigynia smut (fungal disease). Seeds can also be collected by cutting the fruiting heads from stems with shears or hand scythe (Moore & Hunt, 2003; Tilley et al., 2011). Place heads or stalks into a paper bag then onto screens to dry for a few days and allow seeds to be more easily removed.

Seed maturity can be assessed by crushing a seed sample to test for firmness. Yields from wild-harvested seed heads are less than 50 seeds/per head (Holloway et al., 2013).

- **Seeds per/lb**

The Idaho Plant Materials Center reports 450,000 seeds/lb when the perigynium is left intact, and 900,000 seeds/lb when the perigynium is removed (Tilley et al., 2011).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Use screens or air/screen separators to remove and clean seeds (Holloway, 2024). Air dry seed for several weeks prior to processing. Remove seed from stem using a hammer mill with 0.6 cm (0.25 in) screen. Seed can be pre-cleaned with a small-lot air screen cleaner with a 1.80 mm (0.07 in) screen to remove stems and other debris. The perigynium is then removed from the seed using a corrugated rubbing board or hammer mill and then re-cleaned with a 1.55 mm (0.06 in) screen and light air. Seed can also be cleaned by hand, or with brush cleaner, or sandpaper box; take care not to damage seed when removing perigynia (Hoag et al., 2001; Moore & Hunt, 2003; Tilley et al., 2011).

- **Storage**

Store seeds in freezer until processing (Tilley et al., 2011).

- **Scarification requirements**

There was no effect on germination with either gibberellic acid or potassium nitrate under constant temperatures (Holloway et al., 2013). No other scarification recommendations are available.

- **Stratification requirements**

Both light and temperature are critical for germination (Holloway, 2024). Seeds germinate readily with 30-day cold moist stratification; however, trials from the Idaho PMC suggest that this may not be necessary at high temperatures and high moisture levels (Tilley et al., 2011). Koropchak (2010) determined the best stratification treatment among greenhouse propagation treatments in Northern Alberta was to store seeds moist at 4°C for 30 days (Koropchak, 2010).

Moore and Hunt's (2003) Alaska-specific protocol includes the following cold stratification: "Pack cloth bags with 1 gram of seed/bag and run cold water over them for 24 hours. Sandwich bags between layers of wet peat, or plant directly into standard no-hole flats of 18 3x3" cells with obligate soil mixture. Over winter seeds in a cooler (not turned on) to simulate sheltered cold moist stratification."

Alternatively, wet pre-chilled seed in distilled water and sphagnum moss mixture and leave in a cooler for 30 days at 34-38°F (Hoag et al., 2001).

Propagation Profiles

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germination rates of seeds generally ranges from 20-60% (Hauser, 2006). Controlled experiments of wild seed harvested at Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, suggested the most important factor influencing successful germination of either fresh or stored seed was exposure to light and alternating temperatures; exposure to 25/13°C for 15/9hr cycles were optimal germination conditions (Holloway et al., 2013).

Holloway (2024) further writes:

"Optimum germination in containers involves 25/10°C, 18/6 hrs temperatures with light being provided during the high temperature. The perigynium can be removed with difficulty, and that relieves the requirement for light, but it is easier just to add light. Outdoors, press seeds onto a moist, peaty substrate, and do not cover. Keep moist. Some growers make raised beds with plastic liners to emulate wetland conditions, then spread seeds on the surface. Indoors, seeds may be sown on an acid/peaty substrate (watch out for fungus gnats). Some seed lots benefit from up to 6 months cold stratification (4°C, 40°F) before sowing, but it is not always necessary. Try without cold stratification first."

The Alaska Plant Materials Center advises bringing seeds that have overwintered in peat sandwiches into the greenhouse in spring, planting them into cells filled with obligate soil mixture, and then placing them either in the greenhouse or planting them directly onto outside coir mats topped with obligate soil mix (Moore & Hunt, 2003).

Koropchak's Alberta greenhouse study documented the most effective germination treatment of 4 tested was to plant seeds just below the substrate surface and maintain water levels at 1 cm below the substrate surface; however, only 9% of all sown seeds germinated (Koropchak, 2010).

The Idaho PMC attained germination rates of 90-100% in 12 days with the following germination protocol:

"For 10 in³ containers, place 5-25 seeds on the soil surface and press the seed in or good seed-to-soil contact. Seed should not be covered with any soil or sand but kept moist with an overhead mist irrigation schedule of 2 minutes/hr from 9:00 to 5:00 pm for the first 30 days. Day time greenhouse temperatures range from 32 to 43°C (90 to 110°F). Nighttime temperatures average around 30° C (85° F). Grow lights are kept on during nighttime hours. First emergence occurs around 5 to 7 days after planting" (Tilley et al., 2011).

- **Establishment phase**

During establishment, the Alaska PMC suggests using fishy peat as the peat source in an obligate soil mix and fertilizing with organic compost tea; or fertilizing with non-organic fertilizer if a soilless mixture is used (Moore & Hunt, 2003).

The Idaho PMC advises to keep soil well saturated in up to 1-2 inches of standing water, until plants are established and approximately 12" tall; they suggest it may be possible to manipulate speed of establishment and extent of rhizome spread by fluctuating water levels (Tilley et al., 2011).

Propagation Profiles

- **Active growth phase**

The Alaska PMC suggests moving cells to a lath-house once temperatures outside remain above freezing, and planting *C. aquatilis* plugs before plants get root-bound. If plants become root-bound, it is advised to tease roots apart upon planting (Moore & Hunt, 2003).

When planting in wetlands, plant at 15, 30 or 60 cm (0.5, 1.0, or 2.0 ft) spacing for uniform ground cover in 1, 2, and 3 years, respectively. Seedlings may also be planted by hand or use a dibble to plant into moist soil or standing water (Tilley et al., 2011).

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Holloway (2024) writes: "Water sedge produces thin rhizomes that can spread widely in wet, peaty soils in their native wetlands. Propagation by rhizomes is fast and easy as long as there are vegetative buds or shoots already present. Bare rhizomes don't work."

- **Tundra sodding**

This is an Inupiaq method of effectively revegetating disturbed wetland sites in the Arctic using transplanted sod consisting of *Carex aquatilis* and *Eriophorum angustifolium* (Cater et al., 2015). Sod can be harvested with serrated knives, or a sharpened steel disc (1m diameter) referred to as "nuna ulu" (land knife), mounted to an excavator bucket and rolled through tundra at a depth of 0.5 meter. Sod blocks can then be removed in layers with an excavator bucket or front-end loader. Harvest when soils have thawed to depths of 20-50 cm to remove sod blocks up to 60 cm in depth. Sod blocks can be broken up with serrated knives to facilitate transfer by a single person, keeping in mind that thicker pieces will minimize root shock. Sod can then be placed side by side in transplant area (Cater et al., 2015).

REFERENCES

Cargill, S. M., & Chapin, F. S. (1987). Application of Successional Theory to Tundra Restoration: A Review. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 366–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1987.12002617>

Cater, T. C., Hopson, C., & Streever, B. (2015). The Use of the Inupiaq Technique of Tundra Sodding to Rehabilitate Wetlands in Northern Alaska. *Arctic*, 68(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.14430/arctic4518>

Hauser, A. S. (2006). *Carex aquatilis* (Fire Effects Information System [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/graminoid/caraqu/all.html>

Hoag, J. C., Wyman, S. K., Bentrup, G., Holzworth, L., Ogle, D., Carleton, J., Berg, F., & Leinard, B. (2001). Users Guide to Description, Propagation and Establishment of Wetland Plant Species and Grasses for

Propagation Profiles

Riparian Areas in the Intermountain West (TN Plant Materials 38). <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/plantmaterials/idpmctn38o201.pdf>

Holloway, P. S. (2024). Personal communication. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Holloway, P. S., Sparrow, S. D., & Willison, M. S. (2013). Germination of water sedge, *Carex aquatilis*, and cotton sedge, *Eriophorum angustifolium*, from Arctic coastal wetlands, Prudhoe Bay, Alaska (Final Report MP-2012-02; pp. 317–320). Agricultural & Forestry Experiment Station.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Koropchak, S. C. (2010). *Carex aquatilis* as a pioneer species for boreal wetland reclamation in Northern Alberta [Southern Illinois University Carbondale]. <https://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/theses/267>

Moore, N., & Hunt, P. (2003). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Carex aquatilis* Wahlenberg plants Alaska Plant Materials Center, Palmer, Alaska (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.mngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2753>

SER, INSR, RBGK Seed Information Database. (2023). *Carex aquatilis*—Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Tande, G., & Lipkin, R. (2003). *Wetland Sedges of Alaska*. Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage.

Tilley, D., Ogle, D., & St. John, L. (2011). Plant Guide for water sedge (*Carex aquatilis*). USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.


USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Carex canescens*
Synonyms: n/a
Common name (s): silvery sedge
Family: Cyperaceae
USDA PLANTS code: CACA11

Duration: Perennial
Growth habit: Graminoid
Wetland indicator status: FACW



WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Lexington, KY (Baskin, 2003) - USDA Zone 7a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2502>

Habitat description:

Carex canescens occurs in neutral to acidic conditions, including *Sphagnum* bogs and swamps (Hultén, 1968; Tande & Lipkin, 2003), but also in fens, wet meadows, streambanks, lake shores, and ditches (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Found up to 2200 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coarse to medium soils (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Intermediate (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Intolerant (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Wildlife associations:

No information is available for this species. However, in general, *Carex* spp. are an important source of forage and cover for waterfowl, small mammals, musk ox, and caribou in Alaska (Tande & Lipkin, 2003).

Restoration value:

Carex canescens regularly occurs in disturbed habitats (e.g., ditches, wet clearings), but its restoration/reclamation potential has not been formally investigated (Tande & Lipkin, 2003).

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagated by bare root, seed, and sprigs (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox. Seeds remain 100% viable after drying to equilibrium moisture content at under 15% relative humidity, then storing at -20°C for 1 month (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Unavailable.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) provides these guidelines for sedges, in general:

"Hand strip multiple heads or clip multiple heads when they turn from green to tan to brown. Green seeds do not loosen from the head easily and will not germinate. Place heads or stalks into a paper bag then onto screens to dry for a few days and allow seeds to be more easily removed."

- **Seeds per/lb**

Unavailable.

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Seeds may be processed and cleaned with screens or an air/screen separator (Holloway, 2024).

- **Storage**

No information available for this species. However, protocols for other sedge species advise to store seed in freezer until ready to process (Tilley et al., 2011).

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

Cold stratification is required (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Experiments strongly indicate that *Carex* spp. in general require light and stratification for highest germination. Germination rate and probability of germination of *C. canescens* was found to be significantly higher following a 6-month cold stratification at 4°C, under a 12 hour light cycle, compared to unstratified seeds and unstratified seed germinated in the dark (Schütz & Rave, 1999). Seeds at University of Kentucky,

Propagation Profiles

Lexington, were cold stratified for 30 days (Baskin, 2003). In a germination study among northern European seed populations, there was no relationship between winter severity at seed origin and length of cold stratification required; beyond 30 days, cold stratification had little additional effect on germination regardless of seed source (Schütz et al., 1997).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germinate seeds under exposure to light and alternating temperature, e.g. 20/10°C (Baskin, 2003). Few North American protocols were available for this species, but may be similar to other *Carex* spp.

In Northern European populations, a thermocycle of 22/10°C resulted in the highest germination rate (93-97%) across all seed storage conditions and treatments tested (Schütz et al., 1997). In a subsequent study, average germination under a 12-hour light cycle and across all temperatures tested (10-30°C) yield 81% for cold stratified seed; by contrast, fresh seed had a germination rate of 18% (Schütz & Rave, 1999).

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Unavailable.

REFERENCES

Baskin, C. C. (2003). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Carex canescens L. plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnpn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2502>

Hauser, A. S. (2006). *Carex aquatilis* (Fire Effects Information System [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/graminoid/caraqu/all.html>

Holloway, P. S. (2011). *Seed Germination and Gibberellic Acid* (No. 43; Georgeson Botanical Garden Notes). University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Natural Resources and Agricultural Sciences, Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station.

Propagation Profiles

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Schütz, W., Milberg, P., & Schutz, W. (1997). Seed Dormancy in *Carex canescens*: Regional Differences and Ecological Consequences. *Oikos*, 78(3), 420.

Schütz, W., & Rave, G. (1999). The effect of cold stratification and light on the seed germination of temperate sedges (*Carex*) from various habitats and implications for regenerative strategies. *Plant Ecology*, 144, 215–230.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Tande, G., & Lipkin, R. (2003). *Wetland Sedges of Alaska*. Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage.

Tilley, D., Ogle, D., & St. John, L. (2011). *Plant Guide for water sedge (Carex aquatilis)*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.


USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Carex mertensii*
Synonyms: n/a
Common name (s): Merten's sedge
Family: Cyperaceae
USDA PLANTS code: CAME6

Duration: Perennial
Growth habit: Graminoid
Wetland indicator status: FACW



WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Collected from Hatcher Pass and cultivated at the Palmer PMC – Zones 4b-5a (Moore & Hunt, 2003)
<https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2757>

Bulkley Valley, Northern Interior British Columbia – USDA Zone equivalent 4a-5b (Burton & Burton, 2003)
<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Seeds from Mount Rainier National Park, WA – USDA Zone: 7a-b; propagated at Corvallis, OR - USDA Zone 8b (Flessner & Trindle, 2003)
<https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2369>

Habitat description:

Moist to wet meadows, forest openings, streambanks, and rocky slopes; and in disturbed areas including trails and roadsides (Burton & Burton, 2003; Hultén, 1968; Tande & Lipkin, 2003).

Elevation range:

Lowlands to montane, but not into the alpine (Hultén, 1968; Moore & Hunt, 2003).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Medium to coarse loamy and/or moist soils; coastal populations likely to inhabit moist, nitrogen rich soils (Burton & Burton, 2003; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Medium (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

High fire tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

No information is available for this species. However, in general, *Carex* spp. are an important source of forage and cover for waterfowl, small mammals, musk ox, and caribou in Alaska (Tande & Lipkin, 2003).

Restoration value:

Carex mertensii is widely used in native ornamental gardening, has been used for reclamation in the Pacific Northwest, and may have soil stabilization/revegetation potential for disturbed sites in Alaska, especially at mid-elevations (Tande & Lipkin, 2003). Burton and Burton (2003) report that it is an especially good candidate for high elevation revegetation of seepage sites and moist meadows.

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagated by bare root, seed, and sprigs (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Due to complex seed dormancy requirements, Burton and Burton (2003) advise greenhouse propagation followed by outplanting of plugs as the most effective method; for direct seeding they advise planting in fall.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) provides these guidelines for sedges, in general:

"Hand strip multiple heads or clip multiple heads when they turn from green to tan to brown. Green seeds do not loosen from the head easily and will not germinate. Place heads or stalks into a paper bag then onto screens to dry for a few days and allow seeds to be more easily removed."

Moore and Hunt (2003) report collecting seeds by hand from Hatcher Pass from late August through September, once seeds are brown and have begun to shatter. In interior British Columbia, Burton and Burton (2003) harvested seed with well-sharpened hand clippers or sickles, as seeds are easily dislodged by movement of seed heads on thick rigid stalks. They recommend holding seed heads over bins or bags to reduce seed loss while clipping.

Propagation Profiles

- **Seeds per/lb**

Moore and Hunt (2003) report 1,800 seeds per gram, from their Hatcher Pass collection. Harvests in Northern British Columbia report yields of 1,555 seeds per gram (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Air dry seeds, brush clean, and separate, followed by hand screening (Moore & Hunt, 2003). Flessner and Trindle (2003) report that chaff can irritate skin and eyes, and recommend the use of gloves, goggles, and dust masks when cleaning large quantities of seed.

For processing with combine/thresher, Burton and Burton (2003) suggest the following: "Hold stalks and put seed heads into a rotary flail." For cleaning, run seeds through a fanning mill after threshing. Run the first time with a 4x19 mm slot pre-screen, 2.5 x 19 mm slot top screen, 1.8 x 12.7 mm bottom screen. On the second run, fit with a 1.8 x 12.7 mm slot prescreen 2.5 x 19 mm slot-shaped top screen, and no bottom screen. Separate seed with low speed and low suction to remove final particulates."

Alternatively, Flessner and Trindle (2003) describe processing seed as follows:

"Dried seed heads very chaffy; if whole heads are collected seed can be threshed using a geared-down hammermill with 1/16" screen; run through an oat dehuller one or more times; then through an office clipper with #8 top screen, 1/20" round bottom screen, medium air flow."

- **Storage**

Burton and Burton (2003) report that seeds retain viability following 2 years of cool, dry storage. Flessner and Trindle (2003) report successful storage of seeds for a few years in dry conditions at 40°F. The SER seed information database reports 100% viability of seeds after drying to moisture content equilibrium with 15% relative humidity and freezing at -20°C for 1.2 years (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

Germination experiments strongly indicate that *Carex* spp. in general require light and stratification for highest germination (Holloway, 2024). Although some growers report germination without stratification, Burton and Burton (2003) report that seeds may respond to stratification when planting at low soil temperatures.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Moore and Hunt (2003) washed seeds prior to planting in cold running water for 12 hours. Seeds were planted in the greenhouse in spring, 1-2 seeds per cell in a root trainer. Seeds were covered lightly with sifted soil. Moore and Hunt report germination 15 to 30 days after planting, when the greenhouse was maintained at warm, moist conditions.

Burton and Burton (2003), working with seed harvested from Northern British Columbia, report that in germination trials the highest rates of germination (87.2%) were for stratified seed exposed to 25°C/15°C thermocycle. They report 22.9 days to first germination with 50% of seeds

Propagation Profiles

germinating after 37.5 days. Suggested planting depth is 0.6-1.2 cm; suggested row spacing for direct seeding is 75-120 cm for dryland and 30-90 cm where well irrigated.

Flessner and Trindle (2003) direct-seeded in a fine, weed-free seedbed. Growing area was prepared as follows:

"Our best results were obtained by carbon-banding. In this method, seed was sown in spring with a Hege precision seeder, at 30 " rows, 100 seeds / ft row; overspraying the seed with an activated charcoal slurry (carbon-banding) followed by a field application of Karmex broad spectrum pre-emergent herbicide at 2.2 lbs ai/acre"

- **Establishment phase**

Flessner and Trindle (2003) report irrigating May through July of the first year along with weed control by means of hand hoe, shallow rototilling between rows, and use of Round Up herbicide.

- **Active growth phase**

Flessner and Trindle (2003) observed active growth from April to June; for their outplantings, weed control in early spring was important to reduce competition from other grasses and forbs. They applied ammonium nitrate at a rate of 25 lbs/acre in late winter, along with 3 applications of Tilt fungicide between March and May prior to flowering and seed set, to control rust.

Burton and Burton (2003) also mention the importance of using selective herbicide to control weeds. They used the selective herbicide Banvel™ (dicamba) which minimized impacts to plant growth and did not affect seed yield. They also implemented annual fertilization with low nitrogen formulations to extend the life of the plot, and used Tilt fungicide to control rust.

- **Hardening phase**

To harden, Moore and Hunt (2003) relocated plants to a lath-house after the final spring frost, with minimal fertilization after true leaves emerged.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Flessner and Trindle (2003) report that, for cultivated plants, seeds are ready to harvest by summer of the 2nd year. They harvest by clipping seed heads into bags or bins. Because seed drops easily, the use of vacuums or strippers is not necessary for small plots; however, if mechanical means are employed in cultivated fields, lay plastic between rows to capture scattered seed (Burton & Burton, 2003; Flessner & Trindle, 2003). Spread seed on tarps in a warm, dry poly greenhouse to dry.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

REFERENCES

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia* (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Flessner, T., & Trindle, J. (2003). *Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) Carex mertensii Prescott ex Bong. Seeds seed; USDA NRCS - Corvallis Plant Materials Center Corvallis, Oregon* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2369>

Holloway, P. S. (2011). *Seed Germination and Gibberellic Acid* (No. 43; Georgeson Botanical Garden Notes). University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Natural Resources and Agricultural Sciences, Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Moore, N., & Hunt, P. (2003). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Carex mertensii J.D. Prescott ex Bongard plants Alaska Plant Materials Center Palmer, Alaska* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2757>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Tande, G., & Lipkin, R. (2003). *Wetland Sedges of Alaska*. Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage.

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Carex pachystachya*

Synonyms: *C. festiva*, *C. macloviana*, *C. multimoda*, *C. pyrophila*

Common name (s): chamisso sedge

Family: Cyperaceae

USDA PLANTS code: CAPA14

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Note: This species is listed by Hultén (1968) as *Carex macloviana* d'Urv. subsp. *pachystachya* (Cham.) Hult., and lists as a synonym *Carex pachystachya* Cham. Both [Panarctic flora](#) and the provisional Flora of Alaska (FoA) [checklist](#) recognize the former as a synonym and the latter as the accepted name. Hultén also lists *Carex pyrophila* Gandoger. as a synonym, but neither Panarctic flora, nor the FoA checklist address this nomenclature. Based on recognition by these sources, we include information documented by Canadian researchers Burton and Burton (2003) for the species they recognize as *Carex macloviana* d'Urv. The remaining information herein represents literature searched specific to *C. pachystachya*, unless otherwise noted in text.

Additionally, the [USDA Plants Database](#) acknowledges there are also varieties of *C. pachystachya*, but these are treated as synonyms to their parent species.

Carex pachystachya



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Hultén (1968) reports *Carex macloviana* d'Urv. subsp. *pachystachya* (Cham.) Hult. from southcentral, eastern interior, and southeastern Alaska, and along the Kodiak and Aleutian archipelagos west to Unalaska.

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seed sourced from Mount Rainier and Crater Lake National Park – USDA Zones 6a-7b; protocol developed at the Corvallis, OR, Plant Materials Center – USDA Zone 8b (Flessner & Trindle, 2003)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2394>

Protocol developed at the Corvallis, OR, Plant Materials Center – USDA Zone 8b (Bartow, 2015)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-4019>

British Columbia – USDA Zones equivalent 4a-5b; developed for *C. macloviana* (Burton & Burton, 2003)

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Habitat description:

Meadows and gravelly shores (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

All elevations.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Fine to medium soils; *C. macloviana* also observed to do well in compact or clay rich soil (Burton and Burton 2003).

Shade tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

High fire tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

No information is available for this species. However, in general, *Carex* spp. are an important source of forage and cover for waterfowl, small mammals, musk ox, and caribou in Alaska (Tande & Lipkin, 2003).

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagated by bare root, seed, and sprigs (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Carex macloviana is described as “uncertain” and *Carex pachystachya* is unlisted (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Flessner and Trindle (2003) note that seedlings readily establish in containers for plug production. Burton and Burton (2003) write that *C. macloviana* germinated readily with good emergence in field trials, though plants may take 2-3 years to establish.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) provides these guidelines for sedges, in general:

Propagation Profiles

"Hand strip multiple heads or clip multiple heads when they turn from green to tan to brown. Green seeds do not loosen from the head easily and will not germinate. Place heads or stalks into a paper bag then onto screens to dry for a few days and allow seeds to be more easily removed."

Bartow (2015) recommends collecting seed heads from wild stands individually, as they often grow in large patches among other native sedge species. Seed can be hand-stripped once heads mature to dark brown and begin to shatter. Alternatively, entire seed heads can be clipped beginning at the hard-dough stage and stored in cloth bags out of direct sunlight to air dry (Flessner & Trindle, 2003). If using clippers, ensure they are sharpened to cut through the hard stalk and minimize seed loss, as seeds are easily dislodged when ripe (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Seeds per/lb**

Bartow (2015) reports 750-800,000 seeds per pound for uncleaned seed, and Flessner & Trindle (2003) report up to 1,375,000 seeds per pound for dehulled collections. For *C. macloviana*, Burton and Burton (2003) report 1,991 seeds per gram (~900,000 seeds per pound).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Flessner and Trindle (2003) provide the following notes on seed processing:

"Hulls are easily removed by running through an oat dehuller. Threshing with a geared-down hammermill and 3/16" screen worked well; followed by a rough scalping with office clipper 1/14" screen, low air - then seed run briefly through a lab-scale oat dehuller and rescreened with office clipper using a 1/20" screen and moderately low air flow. Also, any smutted seeds can be scalped off with the proper screen size."

If a seed stripper is used, Burton and Burton (2003) recommend placing plastic between rows to capture scattered seeds. If a combine/thresher is used, they recommend operating at 1548 rpm with a 4 mm gap. They advise that seed stalks are of insufficient length to use rotary flail. After threshing, their seed cleaning process is described as follows:

"Run through a fanning mill twice. For the first run, use the following screen configuration: prescreen 4.89 mm round; top screen 2.83 mm square; bottom screen 0.5 mm square. For the second run, use these screens: prescreen 2.36 mm square; top screen 2.83 mm square; bottom screen 0.5 mm square. Then use a vacuum separator with speed and suction set to medium to remove dust and <5% of seeds."

- **Storage**

Seed should remain viable for at least 5 years. Seed hulls are thought to contain germination inhibitors, which may also facilitate long term storage (Link, 1993). Flessner and Trindle (2003) describe informal germination trials of 2, 4, and 5 year old seed lots; the 4 and 5 year old seed lots germinated at approximately half the rate of 2 year seed lots, but at rates "still satisfactory for seeding containers." Seedling vigor, however, was not observed to vary by seed age. Similarly, Burton and Burton (2003) also observed declining germination for seed lots older than 2 years.

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

- **Stratification requirements**

Germination experiments strongly indicate that *Carex* spp. in general require light and stratification for highest germination (Holloway, 2024). Bartow (2015) reports that *C. pachystachya* seed from Oregon populations benefited from a minimum of 6 weeks cold moist stratification prior to spring germination.

For *C. macloviana* populations in Northwestern British Columbia, Burton and Burton (2003) did not find stratification to be beneficial in germination trials.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Bartow (2015) describes germination techniques for *C. pachystachya* Oregon seed source as follows:

"Sow seed in containers and place in a cooler (45°F or lower) for at least six weeks. Alternately [sic], containers can be placed outside in early September through December for six weeks. After a cold period, move containers to a greenhouse set between 70 and 80°F in late December. Seeds should germinate within 2–4 weeks if they receive heat, light, and water."

Dehulling was found to increase germination from 14% to 84% (Flessner & Trindle, 2003). They achieved best results sowing in fall with carbon-banding, a process they describe as follows:

"Seed was sown into a finely tilled, firm seed bed with a Hege precision seeder, at 30" rows, 100 seeds/ ft row; overspraying the seed with an activated charcoal slurry (carbon-banding) followed by a field application of Karmex broad spectrum pre-emergent herbicide at 2.2 lbs ai/ acre."

Germination trials of *C. macloviana* by Burton and Burton (2003) showed 66-69% germination of untreated seeds when exposed to a 25/15°C alternating temperature. Seedling emergence was observed at 25 days with 50% potential reached after 41.5 days. Stratified seeds germinated at similar or reduced rates.

For direct seeding of *C. macloviana* plots, Burton and Burton (2003) recommend a seeding depth of 0.6-1.2 cm.

- **Establishment phase**

For field plots direct sown in the fall, Flessner and Trindle (2003) report an establishment time of about six months (i.e., over winter). During the establishment phase and prior to seedling emergence, they had success using broad-spectrum herbicide to control weeds. After seedling emergence, they controlled weeds using a combination of cultivation and spot-wicking with Round Up. For rust and disease control during this phase, they made three applications of fungicides Tilt and Bravo at label rates.

- **Active growth phase**

Active growth occurred from March to June at the Corvallis Plant Materials Center. As soil begins to warm in spring, expect more rapid crown development. Monitor stand and control for rust, fungus, and weeds to ensure stand health (Flessner & Trindle, 2003).

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Flessner and Trindle (2003) caution that in cultivated stands grown for seed increase, little seed is produced during the first year while plants are still establishing; seed production does not occur in harvestable quantities until the second year. Their “smaller” plots (size not given) were harvested by clipping seed heads, as is recommended for wild stands; however, they note that larger plots might be harvested mechanically. They began harvesting plots when seed was easily shaken loose from seed heads – May and early June, in Corvallis. Seed heads were clipped into barrels, transferred to a greenhouse, and spread on tarps for drying. Seeds ripened readily and could be easily collected from the tarps.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Unavailable.

REFERENCES

Bartow, A. (2015). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Carex pachystachya* plants USDA NRCS - Corvallis Plant Materials Center Corvallis, Oregon (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnpn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-4019>

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Flessner, T., & Trindle, J. (2003). Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) *Carex pachystachya* Cham. Ex Steud. Seeds seed (Native Plant Network). USDA NRCS - Corvallis Plant Materials Center Corvallis, Oregon. <https://nnpn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=cyperaceae-carex-2394>

Hauser, A. S. (2006). *Carex aquatilis* (Fire Effects Information System [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/graminoid/caraqu/all.html>

Holloway, P. S. (2011). Seed Germination and Gibberellic Acid (No. 43; Georgeson Botanical Garden Notes). University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Natural Resources and Agricultural Sciences, Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). Personal communication. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Link, E. (1993). Native plant propagation techniques for national parks: Interim guide. US Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service.

Propagation Profiles

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Tande, G., & Lipkin, R. (2003). Wetland Sedges of Alaska. Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage.

Tilley, D., Ogle, D., & St. John, L. (2011). Plant Guide for water sedge (*Carex aquatilis*). USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.

USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Chamerion angustifolium*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): fireweed

Family: Onagraceae


USDA PLANTS code: CHANg

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Epilobium angustifolium



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Glacier National Park, MT - USDA Zone 5a (Luna & Dedekam, 2008)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=onagraceae-chamerion-2912>

Habitat description:

Occurs in meadows, forests, river bars, lakes and bogs, roadsides and recently burned or disturbed areas throughout Alaska (Fleenor, 2016; Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Sea level to high alpine (Pavel, 1992).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

C. angustifolium grows in many soil types, including acid to neutral to alkaline soils, clays, clay-loams, and sandy loams, provided there is adequate mineral availability and soils do not become water-logged (Fleenor, 2016; Pavel, 1992). It is also found on frozen soils and thin layers of soil above permafrost (Broderick, 1990; Pavel, 1992).

Shade tolerance:

Some studies have reported shade intolerance, but others have noted that it adapts to persist in shade through reduced cover, productivity, and/or frequency (Fleenor, 2016; Pavel, 1992).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Variable. In the southern portion of its range (lower 48) fireweed is restricted by water availability (Fleenor, 2016).

Disturbance tolerance:

C. angustifolium has high tolerance to fire and can persist and adapt to a wide spectrum of successional stages, due to its rhizomatous growth and wind dispersed seed (Fleenor, 2016). Fireweed has been recorded to naturally colonize oil spill and mine waste sites (Fleenor, 2016).

Community interactions:

An early seral species that can become weedy and displace other species where it proliferates. Abundant stands of fireweed can suppress seedling establishment of conifers (Fleenor, 2016). It commonly occurs in pioneer communities with willows (*Salix* spp.) (Pavel, 1992).

Wildlife associations:

Fireweed is a preferred forage for moose, caribou, hares, and mountain goats, and is an important flower for many pollinators including hummingbirds, butterflies, and insects (Pavel, 1992).

Restoration value:

High value in restoration and reclamation of disturbed sites, as it can germinate easily in these areas and proliferate through rhizomatous growth (Fleenor, 2016; Pavel, 1992). It has successfully colonized sites in Alaska characterized by 30 years of oil/mining disturbance (Ebersole, 1987). Can be used for soil stabilization and has been known to develop mycorrhizal associations amidst coal mine spoils (Broderick, 1990; Pavel, 1992).

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagates sexually through wind-dispersed seed, and asexually through rhizomes. The latter is the predominant reproductive method after disturbance (Pavel, 1992).

Seed type:

Most likely orthodox, as soil seed banks are known to retain viability for 1-5 years, yet maximum longevity of seed banks in soil is unknown (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). There is a possibility that seed surviving only shorter periods (less than 2 years) may exhibit recalcitrant or intermediate characteristics (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Densmore et al. (2000) reports successful seeding in Denali National Park when planted into "seed trap" depressions and fertilized with slow-release fertilizer and compost. Higher establishment success and vigorous growth was observed when revegetating with transplants.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Fireweed seeds are small, grey to black in color, and nestled in white hairs giving a fluffy appearance (Fleenor, 2016). The seed hairs respond to humidity to ensure dispersal into pockets of moist soil to germinate (Pavel, 1992). Each plant can have 15 or more flowers, with each flower

Propagation Profiles

containing a capsule with 300-500 seeds (Pavel, 1992). Hand collect seeds in late summer, just before they begin to disperse; lower capsules will ripen and disperse earlier in the season than capsules towards the top of the plant, which ripen later in the season (Fleenore, 2016).

In Alaska, similar species *C. latifolium* (dwarf fireweed) is hand collected in late July (Moore & Hunt, 2003).

- **Seeds per/lb**
6,800,000 seeds per pound (Fleenor, 2016).
- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**
Silky hairs make it challenging to clean seed. For large seed collections, use a hammermill and air column separator; smaller batches can be manually cleaned by running achenes over a screen until pappus separates from achenes (Fleenor, 2016; Luna & Dedekam, 2008). A household kitchen blender can also be used to successfully clean fireweed seeds (Scianna, 2004). Or, for small seed lots (e.g., 0.1 pound) a laboratory brush machine may be used, fit with a #40 mantel set to medium speed to separate seeds from capsules and hairs (Barner, 2009). A series of laboratory test sieves mesh size 20, 40, and 50 is then used to remove other debris, before using an Office Clipper to air screen seed at low temperature and low air; set Office Clipper with a 40 x 40 wire top screen and 50 x 50 wire bottom screen (Barner, 2009).
- **Storage**
Natural seed banks can lose viability within 18-24 months (Broderick, 1990; Pavel, 1992). Luna and Dedekam (2008) report storing seed in sealed containers at 1-3°C (34-37°F) for "at least a few years." Barner (2009) reports storing seed at 33-38°F.
- **Scarification requirements**
Not required.
- **Stratification requirements**
A 30-60 day period of cold, moist stratification at 2°C is recommended to facilitate uniform germination of Montana seed (Luna and Dedekam, 2008). For northeastern Alberta seed, no form of pre-treatment was required (Smreciu et al. 2013).
- **Germination rates and techniques**
Seeds tolerate a wide range of temperatures during germination (Pavel, 1992). In the field, fireweed needs bare mineral soils and ample light to germinate (Lutz 1953). Seeds naturally disperse in late summer/early fall and overwinter beneath snow as rosettes or with shoot buds formed on lateral roots (Pavel, 1992).

Trials from the United States (exact location unknown) report 100% germination within 21-56 days when germinated in 1% agar under an 8/16 light hour cycle at temperatures of 15-25°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Trials with Central-Western Yukon seed ecotypes germinated seed in petri dishes lined with pre-moistened Whatman #2 filter paper, set in a Ziploc bag with a wet paper towel, and placed seed in a 14 and 24°C growth chamber and 200 µmol light level (Gordon, 2016). Germination rate was 60% (Gordon, 2016).

Propagation Profiles

For highest germination potential, surface sow in warm, humid conditions with ample light (Broderick, 1990; Pavel, 1992); seeds should germinate within 10 days under these conditions (Fleemore, 2016). Broderick (1990) reported 100% germination of Canadian collected seed within 10 days. Smreciu et al. (2013) reports 75% germination rate of Northeastern Alberta seed.

Luna and Dedekam (2008) report germinating seeds in an automated greenhouse under a temperature cycle of 21°C day/15°C night. Seedlings were grown in 172 ml cone-tainers filled with milled sphagnum peat, perlite, and vermiculite mixed with 1 gram Osmocote controlled release fertilizer (13N:13P₂O₅:13K₂O; 8 to 9 month release rate at 21°C) and 0.20 gram Micromax fertilizer (12%S, 0.1%B, 0.5%Cu, 12%Fe, 2.5%Mn, 0.05%Mo, 1%Zn).

For in situ field planting, sow in early to late fall with sufficient time to germinate and grow prior to winter (Fleenor, 2016). They further advise:

“For outdoor plantings, seed should be broadcast or drilled with the drop tubes disconnected at the openers to allow seed to land on the soil surface or be only slightly buried. Seed should be mixed with other seed or a carrier, such as rice hulls, to facilitate flow through a drill.”

Finally, Pinno et al.’s (2017) greenhouse germination study sought to determine which soil types characteristic of reclamation sites (peat-mineral, clay topsoil, and forest-floor mineral) were most conducive to germination. *C. angustifolium* germination was highest with a “forest floor-mineral mix,” and in general required consistently high moisture levels to germinate. Biomass of seedlings was high in all reclamation soil types tested, and biomass increased positively with watering rate (Pinno et al., 2017).

- **Establishment phase**

Luna and Dedekam (2008) report a 1-month establishment phase, during which they note that seedlings required consistently moist conditions during germination and establishment.

- **Active growth phase**

Luna and Dedekam (2008) report a 3 month active growth phase, during which seedlings were trimmed back and watered “as needed” and allowed to dry slightly between waterings.

- **Hardening phase**

Luna and Dedekam (2008) report moving seedlings outdoors for hardening during summer and fall months.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Luna and Dedekam (2008) report that seedlings may be outplanted during fall, or overwintered within a nursery, covered with microfoam sheets.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

Cuttings from spring roots arising from previous years’ growth can be transplanted (Fleenor, 2016). Smreciu et al. (2013) summarizes a micro-propagation method of culturing root explants

Propagation Profiles

excised from sterile seedlings; browning from oxidation can be mitigated with citric or ascorbic acids.

REFERENCES

- Barner, J. (2009). Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) *Chamerion angustifolium* (L.) Holub seeds USDA FS - R6 Bend Seed Extractory Bend, Oregon (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.
- Broderick, D. (1990). The Biology of Canadian Weeds. 93. *Epilobium angustifolium* L. (Onagraceae). *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 70(1), 247–259.
- Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division.
- Ebersole, J. J. (1987). Short-Term Vegetation Recovery at an Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain Site. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 442–450.
- Fleenor, R. (2016). Plant Guide for Fireweed (*Chamerion angustifolium*) (NRCS Plant Guide). USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Gordon, D. (2016). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Chamerion angustifolium* Plants Petri Dish (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.
- Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>
- Luna, T., & Dedekam, S. (2008). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Chamerion angustifolium* (L.) Holub. Plants 116 ml (7 cu in); USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.
- Lutz, H. (1953). The effects of forest fires on the vegetation of interior Alaska. (p. 36 pages). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Moore, N., & Hunt, P. (2003). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Chamerion latifolium* (L.) Holub plants Alaska Plant Materials Center Palmer, Alaska (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.
- Pavel, D. (1992). *Chamerion angustifolium* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/forb/chaang/all.html>

Propagation Profiles

Pinno, B. D., Li, E. H. Y., Khadka, B., & Schoonmaker, A. (2017). Germination and early growth of boreal understory plants on 3 reclamation soil types under simulated drought conditions. *Native Plants Journal*, 18(2), 92–104.

Scianna, J. (2004). Blending Dry Seeds Clean. *Native Plants Journal*, 5(1), 47–48.

Smreciu, A., Gould, K., & Wood, S. (2013). Boreal Plant Species for Reclamation of Athabasca Oil Sands Disturbances – Updated December 2014 (OSRIN Report No. TR-44). Oil Sands Research and Information Network, University of Alberta, School of Energy and the Environment.

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Dryas drummondii*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): Drummond's mountain-avens

Family: Rosaceae

USDA PLANTS code: DRDR

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb, shrub/subshrub

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Dryas drummondii



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Northern Interior British Columbia (Burton & Burton, 2003) - USDA Zone equivalent 4b

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Seeds collected from Flathead River and propagated in West Glacier, Montana (Luna et al., 2008) - USDA Zone 5b

<https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-dryas-180>

Habitat description:

Pioneer species commonly found in gravel bars, mountains, roadside and alpine areas (Burton & Burton, 2003; Hultén, 1968). In Southcentral Alaska, occurs throughout nearly all mountainous regions, including the Wrangell, Talkeetna, Alaska, Chugach, and Kenai ranges.

Elevation range:

Sea level to 1100 m (Hultén, 1968).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coarse textured mesic to dry soils with good drainage. Tolerant of alkaline soils. In nursery settings, this species establishes best on very sandy or gravelly, loose, moist soils, and succumbs to root rot when grown in loamy or poorly drained soils (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

Not formally investigated, but inferred to have a low shade tolerance, given habitat preferences.

Drought tolerance:

The USDA Plants Database reports low drought tolerance, but studies in Alberta suggest it to be tolerant of drought conditions (Burton & Burton, 2003; Gerling et al., 1996).

Disturbance tolerance:

Medium-high. Based on its frequent occurrence in riparian habitats, this species is likely tolerant of occasional flood disturbance, provided the site is well-drained. The USDA Plants Database reports medium fire tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Community interactions:

Forms a symbiotic relationship with the nitrogen fixing bacteria *Frankia* spp.

Wildlife associations:

Unknown, but the USDA reports this plant as having low protein potential to grazing animals (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Restoration value:

Dryas drummondii's role as a nitrogen-fixer and colonizer is widely documented. It's considered a good candidate for restoration of nutrient poor soils, particularly in revegetation of gravel pits and low elevation mine sites, provided there is adequate moisture (Burton & Burton, 2003). Well-developed *Dryas* mats have also been shown to retain organic matter and moisture, and to facilitate establishment of tree species (Blundon et al., 1998). It is hypothesized to serve as a nursery plant in recently deglaciated habitats and to provide early ground cover on poor sites (Chapin et al., 1994; Kohls et al., 1994).

Primary reproductive mode:

Reproduces from seed, and also through rhizomatous growth.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Formalized germination protocols are rare, but Luna et al. (2008) report uniform germination at 50% under natural stratification conditions. Although slow to establish from seed, stands may persist for 20-30 years until shade becomes limiting (Burton & Burton, 2003). They advise propagation from seed in a greenhouse and then transplanting, as opposed to sowing outside.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

For collections made in Alaska, Holloway (2024) advises "Harvest when the achenes become tan/brown and are easily pulled from the head. If immature, a lot of tugging does not release individual achenes."

Propagation Profiles

Glacier National Park reports collecting seeds by hand when plumose achenes readily detach from the receptacle (mid-late August in Montana). Seeds are light tan at maturity (Luna et al., 2008). In British Columbia (Burton & Burton, 2003) seeds are collected by hand by detaching mature achenes; they also report success clipping entire stalks while still slightly green and allowing seed to mature in the sun. For stands of uniformly mature seeds, they report success harvesting with vacuums. They also note that due to abundant pure stands in their area, it may be more efficient to collect seed in the wild than from cultivated plants.

- **Seeds per/lb**

In Glacier National Park, collections were 905,825 seeds/lb (1,997,000/kg) at 100% purity (Luna et al., 2008). They are also generally reported at 908,000 seeds/lb (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) recommends "Air dry on screens, then achenes can be rubbed against a screen to remove the hairs, or they can remain attached for sowing. Germination is not impacted by absence or presence of hairs."

In Glacier National Park, seeds are cleaned by using a hammermill followed by an office clipper (Luna et al., 2008). In northern British Columbia, seeds are cleaned by running through a rethresher 12-15 times to remove the "fluff," then running the lot through a fanning mill as follows: prescreen 1.2x7.1 mm, top screen 1.8 x 12.7mm, bottom screen blank (Burton and Burton 2003).

- **Storage**

Glacier National Park stores seeds at 0°C and "low" humidity in sealed containers, and reports subsequent longevity of 3 to 5 years (Luna et al., 2008). Burton and Burton (2003) similarly report 3-5 year seed longevity. The Seed Information Database reports 80% viability after drying to moisture contents in equilibrium with 15% relative humidity and freezing at -20°C for 1 month (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

Scarification is not required.

- **Stratification requirements**

Unclear. In Montana, natural stratification is used (Luna et al., 2008). Stratification was not found to be beneficial for British Columbia seed populations; untreated seed was simply germinated in cooler soil (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) recommends "Direct sow in autumn or spring. Indoors, sow onto a sandy potting mix. Press seeds, especially with attached hairs, onto the surface. Cover very lightly with vermiculite. Seedlings appear in 14-24 days (65-68°F/18-20°C). Growth is slow. Seedlings easily rot with too much water."

Cold moist stratification for five months, outdoor, produced 50% germination at Glacier National Park; they use direct, covered seeding into "conetainers" in the fall, with natural winter stratification. Seedlings germinate uniformly in late spring when daytime temperatures reach

Propagation Profiles

21°C during the day (Luna et al., 2008).

Ontario Hardy Plants recommends sowing immediately at 20°C to facilitate germination within three months. If seed has been stored, they recommend temperature cycling, but caution that germination rates may be lower and slower than with fresh seed (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

Burton and Burton (2003) report highest germination (70.3%) for untreated seed under a 25/15°C thermocycle. Time to first germination was 16.6 days, with 50% potential reached after 40.7 days. Germination in the greenhouse is advised; however, if sowing outside they recommend substrate is loose sandy or gravelly soil with good drainage and free of weeds – especially rhizomatous grasses that are difficult to target with herbicide. A light dusting of peat moss over freshly sown seed is recommended to keep seeds bedded.

- **Establishment phase**

Establishment phase takes approximately 4 weeks and seedlings are thinned upon emergence of true leaves (Luna et al., 2008). When outplanting, a 75-120 cm row spacing is suggested for dryland conditions, reduced to 30-90 cm under irrigation.

- **Active growth phase**

Active growth phase lasts 16 weeks; seedlings are fertilized with 20-20-20 liquid NPK at 100 ppm weekly during this period (Luna et al., 2008). Burton and Burton (2003) maintained stands with regular cultivation of rows and spot spraying of herbicide.

- **Hardening phase**

Hardening phase lasts 4 weeks and plants are fertilized with 10-20-20 liquid NPK at 200 ppm in early fall; pots are flushed with water, irrigation is gradually reduced through September and October (Luna et al., 2008).

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Plants can be harvested in September or overwintered in an outdoor nursery if insulated by foam cover and snow. For stand maintenance, an annual low nitrogen fertilizer composed of high P and K may be applied to extend life, but expect mats to die back at centers regardless (Burton & Burton, 2003). Seed production stands may require renewal every 5-7 years.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

Softwood basal stems may be harvested in mid-summer, treated with a rooting hormone, and rooted in a propagation box or mist chamber for 6-8 weeks at 70-75°F/21-24°C (Holloway, 2024). Luna et al. (2008) also report that summer cuttings from softwood can be pre-rooted in a mist bed if treated with 1000 ppm of rooting compound.

- **Division**

Propagation is possible through division of recumbent stems that already show summer rooting along their length, but plants should not be divided by plant crown (Holloway, 2024).

Propagation Profiles

REFERENCES

- Blundon, D. J., MacIsaac, D. A., & Dale, M. R. T. (1998). Nucleation during primary succession in the Canadian Rockies. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 71(8), 1093–1096.
- Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia* (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>
- Chapin, F. S., Walker, L. R., Fastie, C. L., & Sharman, L. C. (1994). Mechanisms of Primary Succession Following Deglaciation at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Ecological Monographs*, 64(2), 149–175.
- DeVelice, R. L., Hubbard, C. J., Boggs, K., Boudreau, S., Potkin, M., Boucher, T., & Wertheim, C. (1999). *Plant Community Types of the Chugach National Forest: Southcentral Alaska* (Technical Publication R10-TP-76; p. 375 pp). USDA Forest Service, Chugach National Forest, Alaska Region.
- Gerling, H. S., Willoughby, M., Schoepf, A., Tannas, K., & Tannas, C. (1996). *A guide to using native plants on disturbed lands*. Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development ; Alberta Environmental Protection. <http://archive.org/details/guidetousingnativeplants>
- Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.
- Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>
- Kohls, S. J., Van Kessel, C., Baker, D. D., Grigal, D. F., & Lawrence, D. B. (1994). Assessment of N₂ fixation and n cycling by *Dryas* along a chronosequence within the forelands of the Athabasca glacier, Canada. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 26(5), 623–632.
- Luna, T., Evans, J., Wick, D., & Johnson, K. (2008). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Dryas drummondii Richards. Plants 160 ml container; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-dryas-180>
- Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>
- SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>
- USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>
- Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Eriophorum angustifolium*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): tall cottongrass

Family: Cyperaceae

USDA PLANTS code: ERAN6

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: OBL

Eriophorum angustifolium



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Unavailable.

Habitat description:

Swamps, bogs, wet tundra (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

In British Columbia up to 2200 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020), unspecified in Alaska populations.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coarse, medium, and fine soils; moist soils; also tolerant of acidic soils (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Shade intolerant (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Drought intolerant (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Flood and fire tolerant (Gebauer et al., 1995; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Seeding establishment in the wild is low and the predominant reproductive method is by rhizomes (Gebauer et al., 1995).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Unknown, but previous studies have indicated seedling establishment in the wild may be limited (McGraw & Shaver, 1982).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Stems have multiple flower heads each with stiff feathery bristles at the base. Flowers are hermaphroditic (male and female parts in same flower), but protogynous which means the female stigmas mature before the male anthers. Wind pollination is key, and poor wind conditions often lead to poor fruit set. Collectively, the flower heads form a fluffy white mass of 'cotton.' Achenes mature from greenish white to tan. Maturation can be uneven, and sometimes only the upper flowers develop fully mature achenes. The bristles elongate as heads mature."

Eriophorum seed pods open early in the season, and seed maturity can be assessed by firmness, or by tugging on the bristles (Holloway et al., 2013). Harvest entire stems with multiple heads just before heads begin to fall apart and blow away (Holloway, 2024).

- **Seeds per/lb**

160,000 seeds/lb (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Removed bristles by hand or with a seed blower; seeds are tiny and are easily separated from bristles (Holloway, 2024).

- **Storage**

Studies in England note that following 6 months of hermetic air-dry storage at 5°C, germination rates increased from 74% to 90% (Grime et al., 1981). Seeds should be frozen for long term storage. Germination percentages plummet if seeds dry out, especially after 2 years (Holloway, 2024).

Propagation Profiles

- **Scarification requirements**

None known. However, in a German study seeds failed to germinate under constant temperature after treatment with gibberellic acid or potassium nitrate (Maas, 1989). Under fluctuating temperatures, germination did occur under “low” (0.02%) solution of gibberellic acid; however, germination percent remained low (30%) compared to effect of chilling (Maas, 1989).

- **Stratification requirements**

Although the USDA reports that *E. angustifolium* does not require cold stratification (USDA & NRCS, 2023), this may not account for northern populations. Holloway (2024) advises that for Alaska populations, cold stratify at 4°C for a minimum of 60 days, and that some have also found success with a 4 week warm stratification (18-22°C) prior to cold stratification.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Seeds require light to germinate and benefit from a peat-based growing medium (Bliss, 1958; Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

Holloway (2024) writes:

“Outdoors, press achenes plus bristles into the surface of acidic, moist organic soils in late summer/autumn. Do not cover. Indoors, cold stratify at least 60 days (40°F, 4°C). Some researchers recommend a warm stratification (18-22°C (64-71°F) period of 4 weeks before the cold stratification treatment. Press seeds into the surface of the acid/peaty medium, moisten, and enclose in a plastic bag. Refrigerate (40°F, 4°C). Return container to 70°F (21°C) for germination. Percentages are often poor. Avoid lengthy drying periods during handling. If you have a wild population in a breezy area, erect a chicken wire fence at plant height so that the cottony fluff blows into the fence and gets stuck. Seeds will eventually drop to the peaty surface and germinate. Lazy, but it works!”

European trials report germination rates of 63 and 81% under a 12/12 light cycle and 25°C/10°C thermocycle. Germination rates of 91-100% are reported under similar light conditions and warmer alternating temperatures of 33°C/19°C and 35°C/20°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). In all trials, seeds germinated in 49-98 days. In petri dish germination trials featuring wild collected seed from Germany, *E. angustifolium* had the highest germination rate (71.2%) with a 6-week 3°C chilling pretreatment and germination under fluctuating day/night temperature of a 22°C/12°C and 14 hr light/10 hr dark photoperiod (Maas, 1989).

Seeds from Danish accessions have been successfully propagated and cultivated for ornamental use (Larsen & Ørgaard, 2013). Horticulturists describe bedding wild collected seeds in 65L containers, filled with a coarse peat growing medium with a soil pH of 4.5, sunk into a garden bed. Containers were watered 3 times per week with demineralized water; the lower third of the growing container functions as a water reservoir to keep the soil saturated (Larsen & Ørgaard, 2013). Plants reached full winter hardiness within original containers and were reported to have a long flowering season.

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

- **Active growth phase**
Unavailable.
- **Hardening phase**
Unavailable.
- **Harvest, storage & shipping**
Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Holloway (2024) writes:

“Division of rhizomes may be done any time during the growing season. Rhizomes can be long (up to 100 cm) or clumped together and are usually unbranched. They have buds only at the tips where they turn upwards and yield a young plant. These plantlets can be severed from the mother plant and replanted. Rhizomes with no buds do not propagate.”

A research study of factors influencing growth of cottongrass was conducted using plant material collected near Toolik Field Station, Alaska. Individual tillers were cut to 25 cm length from the stem base, and cleaned of debris (e.g., leaves, soil, etc.). Tillers were planted into 3.5 L resin-impregnated paper mâché pots, filled with a 3:1 mixture of sand and peat. Pots were buried outdoors, level with tundra, to maintain root temperature consistent with natural conditions. Nitrogen additions were determined to have a stronger effect on growth and biomass, compared to phosphorous. In addition, plants were found to thrive in anaerobic soils, with biomass of all plant parts increasing, regardless of the amount of available nitrogen (Gebauer et al., 1995).

REFERENCES

Bliss, L. C. (1958). Seed Germination in Arctic and Alpine Species. *Arctic*, 11(3), 180–188.

Gebauer, R., Reynolds, J., & Tenhunen, J. (1995). Growth and Allocation of the Arctic Sedges *Eriophorum angustifolium* and *E. vaginatum*: Effects of Variable Soil Oxygen and Nutrient Availability. *Oecologia*, 104(3), 330–339.

Grime, J. P., Mason, G., Curtis, A. V., Rodman, J., & Band, S. R. (1981). A Comparative Study of Germination Characteristics in a Local Flora. *Journal of Ecology*, 69(3), 1017–1059.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Holloway, P. S., Sparrow, S. D., & Willison, M. S. (2013). *Germination of water sedge, Carex aquatilis, and cotton sedge, Eriophorum angustifolium, from Arctic coastal wetlands, Prudhoe Bay, Alaska* (Final Report MP-2012-02; pp. 317–320). Agricultural & Forestry Experiment Station.

Propagation Profiles

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Larsen, B., & Ørgaard, M. (2013). Native Herbaceous Perennials As Ornamentals: An Upcoming Trend. *Acta Horticulturae*, 980, 103–109.

Maas, D. (1989). Germination characteristics of some plant species from calcareous fens in southern Germany and their implications for the seed bank. *Holarctic Ecology*, 12(4), 337–344.

McGraw, J. B., & Shaver, G. R. (1982). Seedling density and seedling survival in Alaskan cotton grass tussock tundra. *Ecography*, 5(2), 212–217.

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Erythranthe guttata*

Synonyms: *Mimulus guttatus*; for a full list see

[USDA Plants Database](#)

Common name(s): seep monkeyflower

Family: Phrymaceae (formerly
Scrophulariaceae)

USDA PLANTS code: MIGU

Duration: Annual, perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: OBL

Note that *Mimulus guttatus* is still frequently referenced as the accepted name, including with the USDA Plants Database. However, recent taxonomic revision have changed both the family and genus of this plant.

Mimulus guttatus



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds originating from Tonto National Forest, Arizona at 3200' processed and propagated in Bend, Oregon (Barner, 2007) - USDA Zone 6a-6b

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=scrophulariaceae-mimulus-3411>

Seeds from Tennessee Valley, California, propagated in San Francisco, California (Young, 2002) - USDA Zone 10b

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=scrophulariaceae-mimulus-644>

Habitat description:

Primarily coastal, ponds, streams, and wet slopes (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Up to 2200 meters in British Columbia (Klinkenberg, 2020); no information was available specific to Alaska.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Adapted to coarse, medium, and fine soils (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Grows in moist soils with a medium tolerance for CaCO₃ (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

The USDA lists *E. guttata* as having low grazing/browsing value.

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagated by seeds and sprigs (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Unavailable.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Capsule with tiny black/brown seeds, which turn from green to brown and open at the top. Harvest entire capsules and dry on a screen that allows seeds to fall through to a tray. An air/screen cleaner will work for large quantities. Alternatively, dump seeds from mature capsules into a bag or glassine envelope. It is easy to lose large quantities of seed when capsules are fully open outdoors."

Barner (2007) reports hand collecting 0.025 lbs of seed from Tonto National Forest, Arizona, into paper envelopes. Seeds in Tennessee Valley, California were collected between July 1st and September 30th. Mature capsules were noted to be brown in color, and seeds were described as very small and black (Young, 2002).

- **Seeds per/lb**

The USDA Plants database describes 4,000,000 seeds/lb (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Seed collection from Arizona reported 45,360,000 seeds per pound (Barner, 2007).

Propagation Profiles

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Barner (2007) reports the following method for cleaning seed:

"Seeds sized using Laboratory Test Sieves, mesh size 50 and 60. Lot was then air-screened using an office Clipper, with a top screen, 40 x 40 wire, and a bottom screen, blank, low speed, and low air."

In Young's (2002) California propagation, seeds were kept dry and stored in a refrigerator (temperature not reported). Seeds were removed from the seed pods immediately before sowing.

- **Storage**

Seeds retained 86% viability after drying to equilibrium moisture content with 15% relative humidity and freezing for 2 months at -20°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Some seeds remain viable for at least 5 years when frozen, although total percentages decline to 50% and lower after a few years (Holloway, 2024).

- **Scarification requirements**

Not required.

- **Stratification requirements**

Not required (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Direct sow in moist soils. Indoors, seeds are tiny and easily lost, so use a finely milled, sterile seed starting mix. Barely cover, if at all, with fine vermiculite. Seedlings appear in 7 - 10 days (65 - 68°F, 18-20°C). Plants grow rapidly and are ready to plant outdoors in 4-6 weeks. Will bloom the first year."

For Young's (2002) California propagation, germination trials were conducted in a controlled, indoor greenhouse. Seeds were sown on May 1st in flats by mixing in within a Sunshine Mix #4 Aggregate Plus growing medium (peat moss, perlite, major and minor nutrients, gypsum, and dolomitic lime) to achieve a light cover. Sown seeds in flats were watered with an automatic irrigation system. The seeds germinated after 15 days, germination rate was 80% (Young, 2002).

Seeds may also be spread through broadcast seeding in spring or fall; one plant can spread widely (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

- **Establishment phase**

Young's (2002) trial transplanted seedlings 15 days after germination into individual 2x10" Deepot 40 tubes filled with a standard potting mix of peat moss, fir bark, perlite, and sand. Establishment took 1 month, and the transplant survival averaged 80% (Young, 2002).

- **Active growth phase**

During active growth, Young (2002) fertilized for 3 months with Nutricote NPK (13-13-13), after which plants were pruned back to 4 nodes.

Propagation Profiles

- **Hardening phase**
Unavailable.
- **Harvest, storage & shipping**
Cold storage, 33-38°F

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**
Stem cuttings will root in water and wet potting mix (Holloway, 2024).
- **Division**
Divide dormant rootstocks in fall or winter, or when growth begins in spring (USDA, NRCS, 2023).

REFERENCES

Barner, J. (2007). Propagation protocol for production of Propagules (seeds, cuttings, poles, etc.) *Mimulus guttatus* DC. seeds USDA FS - R6 Bend Seed Extractory Bend, Oregon (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npn.rngr.net>

Holloway, P. S. (2024). Personal communication. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023). Native Plants Database. <https://www.wildflower.org/plants/>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Young, B. (2002). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Mimulus guttatus* Fisch. Ex DC plants Deepot 40; San Francisco, California. (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npn.rngr.net>

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Eurybia sibirica*

Synonyms: *Aster sibiricus*, *A. subintergerrimus*

Common name (s): Arctic aster, Siberian aster

Family: Asteraceae

USDA PLANTS code: EUSI13

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Eurybia sibirica



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Collected from Logan Pass, Montana, propagated in West Glacier, Montana (Luna et al., 2008) - USDA Zone 4b and 5a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=asteraceae-eurybia-23>

Habitat description:

Rocky slopes, river flats, meadows, open areas (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Up to 1800 meters or more (Hultén, 1968).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Adapted to well drained and dry, rocky soils (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023; Luna et al., 2008).

Shade tolerance:

Low (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

High.

Disturbance tolerance:

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

High (Johnson et al., 1995).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

For small mammals, has low forage and cover value (Quinlan & Cuccarese, 2004; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Restoration value:

Luna et al. (2008) note that this species is a pioneer species of high-elevation disturbed habitats and is highly tolerant of sites with limited moisture and/or very well-drained soils. They describe it as “an excellent restoration species for these site conditions along roadsides and at high elevations.” It is also known to respond to fire and other disturbances with “vigorous growth and profuse flowering” (Johnson et al., 1995).

Primary reproductive mode:

Mat forming; will spread asexually (via rhizomes) once established.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Unavailable.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Seed is an achene with tufted hairs held in tight heads; achenes become mature when pappus hairs expand and heads begin to fall apart. Achenes are easily pulled from the flower head when mature (Holloway, 2024). Seeds can be collected by hand once achenes are easily separated from their receptacle; seeds will be gray when mature. Collect seeds into paper bags and store in a drying shed before cleaning and processing (Luna et al., 2008).

- **Seeds per/lb**

2,420,000 seed per kilogram (Luna et al., 2008).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Seeds can be cleaned using a hammermill and office clipper (Luna et al., 2008).

- **Storage**

Once cleaned, seeds are expected to remain viable for 3-5 years or longer (Holloway, 2024). Store at 3-5°C in sealed containers. Data from the SER-SID database describes 100% viability of seeds after drying to equilibrium moisture content and freezing at -20°C with 15% relative humidity for 3 weeks (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

A 5-month cold moist stratification was used to propagate seeds from Glacier National Park, but staff notes that seeds may be sown without stratification (Luna et al., 2008). Similarly, the Ontario Hardy Plant Society (2023) reports success with immediate sowing at 20°C but recommends 1-2 months of cold stratification if germination does not occur in three months.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Sow with or without hairs (can be rubbed off with a screen). Direct sow outdoors in fall or spring. Indoors, press achenes (and hairs) into the surface of a sterile potting mix. Cover lightly with vermiculite. Seedlings appear in 10-14 days, 68°F (20°C)."

Mean germination time of seeds collected near Umiat, AK, were reported as 11 and 7 days when exposed to either continual light or continual dark conditions. Germination percent under light or dark treatment was 50 and 56%, respectively. Seeds had been air dried and cold stratified for 6-7 months at 5°F, cleaned, and bedded between moist filter paper within petri dishes held at 72°F (Bliss, 1958).

The Ontario Hardy Plant Society recommends sowing seeds at 20°C, and if seeds do not germinate within 3 months, try stratifying at 4°C for 1-2 months, then switch back to 20°C (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

Luna et al. (2008) reports uniform germination in 10-15 days at 22°C. For production of 800 ml plugs, propagation at their outdoor nursery facility occurred as follows: In late fall, seeds were directly sown into 172 ml cone-tainers and lightly covered with growing medium consisting of 30% sand and 70% mix of milled sphagnum peat, perlite, and vermiculite. Each cone-tainer cell was fertilized with 2 grams of Osmocote and 1 gram of Micromax. Seedlings germinated in spring under naturally fluctuating temperatures and full sun exposure. Seedlings were kept moist with an automatic irrigation system set to water in early morning until cone-tainers were leached (Luna et al., 2008).

- **Establishment phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report a 4-week establishment phase, and thinned seedlings once they developed true leaves (7-15 days).

- **Active growth phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report an 8-week active growth phase, during which plants were fertilized bi-weekly until fall season with 100 ppm of 20-20-20 NPK fertilizer. Roots and shoots were observed to develop rapidly.

- **Hardening phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report a 4-week hardening phase, during which plants were fertilized at 200 ppm of 10-20-20 liquid NPK fertilizer. Pots were flushed with water and irrigation was tapered through September and October.

Propagation Profiles

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Total time to harvest including over-winter stratification was 9 months; however, accelerated production turnaround is possible with a shorter stratification period. Plants grown as bareroot or seed crops can be overwintered in an outdoor nursery under insulation foam and snow (Luna et al., 2008).

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

Plants may be propagated from rhizome cuttings made in autumn or spring, just as growth begins (Holloway, 2024).

- **Division**

Divide in autumn or spring (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Bliss, L. C. (1958). Seed Germination in Arctic and Alpine Species. *Arctic*, 11(3), 180–188.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Johnson, D., Kershaw, L. J., MacKinnon, A., & Pojar, J. (1995). *Plants of the Western Forest: Alaska to Minnesota Boreal and Aspen Parkland* (2nd ed.). Partners Publishing and Lone Pine Media Productions.

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023). *Native Plants Database*. <https://www.wildflower.org/plants/>

Luna, T., Evans, J., & Wick, D. (2008). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Eurybia merita (A. Nels.) Nesom plants 800 ml containers; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nprn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=asteraceae-eurybia-23>

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

Quinlan, S., & Cuccarese, S. (2004). *Native Alaskan and exotic plants used by wildlife*. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Propagation Profiles

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Geum macrophyllum*

Synonyms:

Common name (s): largeleaf avens

Family: Rosaceae


USDA PLANTS code: GEMA4

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Geum macrophyllum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Bulkley Valley, northern interior British Columbia - USDA Zone equivalent 4b (Burton & Burton, 2003)

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Pullman Plant Material Center, WA - USDA Zone 6a (Skinner, 2005)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-geum-2958>

Glacier National Park Nursery, West Glacier, MT - USDA Zone 5a (Luna et al., 2004)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-geum-185>

Habitat description:

Occurs in moist forests, meadows, woods, and stream banks, from sea level to the subalpine (Burton & Burton, 2003; Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Low to middle elevations (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Adapted to coarse, medium, and fine soils with medium soil fertility required; not tolerant of salinity (USDA & NRCS, 2023). The USDA Plants database reports medium moisture use but low anaerobic tolerance, suggesting low flood tolerance. In British Columbia, it's associated with sites having fluctuating water tables and/or seepage, and prefers mineral soil; generally does best on rich sites (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

The USDA reports high shade tolerance. In British Columbia it is reported to be both shade tolerant and intolerant (Burton & Burton, 2003; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Medium to high disturbance tolerance, with medium fire tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Possesses a unique “nectar guide,” visible only under UV light, that attracts pollinators (Fertig, 2024). This plant is not known to be a palatable grazing source for mammals (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Restoration value:

Undetermined in the primary literature at this time. In Alaska has been seen to successfully grow interspersed with highly invasive orange hawkweed (*Hieracium aurantiacum*), suggesting that it be strongly competitive and useful in revegetation projects (Greenstein, 2023).

Primary reproductive mode:

Propagates through rhizomes and through large seed crop (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox.

Ease of growing:

Should establish quickly on moist sites with rich soil. However, because this species can be slow to establish from seed in the field, it is recommended to transplant from plugs (Burton & Burton, 2003).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Achenes are held within dry, papery flower head; hand harvest into paper bags when heads are fully dry and achenes are brown (Holloway, 2024; Luna et al., 2004; Skinner, 2005). Burton and Burton (2003) used clippers to cut leafless stalks into bins held underneath and suggest keeping seed heads aligned in the same direction. They further advise:

“This species holds on to its seed very well, and then its hooked appendage holds on to whatever it touches! So don't bring your dog or wear a fluffy sweater when harvesting.”

- **Seeds per/lb**

794,000 (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Burton and Burton (2003) report an average of 2,895 seeds per gram.

Propagation Profiles

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Dry on screens, then gently rub against screen to dislodge the seeds. May also use a hammermill to crush the heads. Aggressive rubbing/crushing will damage the seeds, so do not prolong this process. Clean in an air/screen cleaner to separate the chaff from achenes."

Skinner (2005) reports gently rubbing achenes to dislodge seeds, and subsequent cleaning with an air column separator. Luna et al. (2004) threshed seeds using a hammermill, followed by an office clipper. Burton and Burton (2003) note that a seed stripper may be useful with a suitable harvesting head and optimal ripeness. However, they instead used a combine thresher with rotary flail, holding seed heads against the flail until seeds were removed, followed by a fanning mill with a 1.2 x 7.1 mm slot prescreen, 1.8 x 12.7 mm slot top screen, and bottom screen position blank (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Storage**

The Seed Information Database reports seeds retaining 100% viability after drying to equilibrium moisture content with 15% relative humidity and freezing at -20°C for 11 weeks (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Holloway (2024) reports frozen and dried seeds have a storage life of 3 years or more, retaining >50% germination. Both Luna et al. (2004) and Skinner (2005) report storing seed at room temperature in paper bags until it can be cleaned. Seed kept at 5°C in sealed containers has a longevity of 3-5 years (Luna et al. 2004).

- **Scarification requirements**

Skinner (2005) reports that seed can be damaged by rubbing too aggressively.

- **Stratification requirements**

Conflicting research. Burton and Burton (2003) reported that cold stratification was "detrimental" to seed germination during their trials (2 months at 5°C), and do not advise stratification. In western WA, Skinner (2005) reports significant improvement in germination with cool, moist stratification up to 60 days, whether stratified outdoors in containers or in a refrigerator. In western Montana, Luna et al. (2004) do not stratify seed, but report rapid and uniform germination that is consistent in yield and timeline with that of Skinner (2005).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

The Society for Ecological Restoration reports 94-100% germination of seeds in 1% agar over 42 days, exposed to an 8/16 hour light cycle and 20-25°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Holloway (2024) advises, for Alaska seed:

"Outdoors, sow in autumn. Indoors, cold stratify seeds for 60 days. Sow onto sterile seed starting mix. Cover lightly with vermiculite. Germination begins in 3 - 5 days at 21°C/70°F."

Burton and Burton (2003) achieved strongest germination capacity (95-99%) from untreated seeds exposed to 25/15°C thermocycle. Time to first germination was 13.2 days, with 50% potential achieved after 17 days. They advise that the best germination is achieved on cool soils and a firm seedbed of loamy, well-prepared soil.

Propagation Profiles

Luna et al. (2004) direct-sowed seeds into cone-tainers in the greenhouse in April; they report germination of unstratified seed within 7-10 days, reaching 90-100% germination. Greenhouse temperatures were 21-25°C day/16-18°C night and seedlings were kept inside approximately four weeks, then moved to the outdoor nursery for the rest of the growing season.

Trials at the Pullman PMC (Skinner, 2005) report that cool, moist stratification for 60 days resulted in 98% germination after 4-8 days. A 30-day stratification resulted in only 48% germination, and unstratified seed achieved the poorest results at 5%.

- **Establishment phase**

For stand establishment outdoors Burton and Burton (2003) advise ensuring that the site is free of weeds, and in particular any rhizomatous grasses that are difficult to target with selective herbicides once young *G. macrophyllum* are establishing.

- **Active growth phase**

Luna et al. (2004) reports an 8-week active growth phase in which plants are fertilized bi-weekly with 13-13-13 liquid NPK at 100 ppm. Similarly, Skinner (2005) reports a 2-3 month active growth phase in which plants are watered every other day and fertilized weekly with a complete, water soluble fertilizer.

- **Hardening phase**

In Glacier National Park, plants are hardened over a 4-week period from September to October, and are then overwintered in an outdoor nursery with foam insulation. Plants were “fertilized with 10-20-20 liquid NPK at 200 ppm in early fall; pots are leached with water, irrigation is gradually reduced through September and October” (Luna et al., 2004). In Washington, plants are moved to cold frames in late March to early April (Skinner, 2005).

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Skinner (2005) reports that in western Washington, *Geum macrophyllum* plants are “more susceptible than most native species to winter injury” when overwintered in containers, suggesting that some winterization will likely be necessary for any overwintered stock in Alaska.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

This species is rhizomatous and has been propagated by divisions of established nursery stock (Luna et al., 2004). Holloway (2024) affirms that Alaskan populations of this species can be propagated by division, in autumn or spring.

Propagation Profiles

REFERENCES

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia* (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Fertig, W. (2024). *Large-leaf Avens (Geum macrophyllum)*. US Forest Service Plant of the Week. https://www.fs.usda.gov/wildflowers/plant-of-the-week/geum_macrophyllum.shtml

Greenstein, C. (2023). Controlled Trials with Chickens Tractors to Manage Invasive Terrestrial Plants. Homer Soil and Water Conservation District.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Luna, T., Evans, J., Wick, D., & Hosokawa, J. (2004). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Geum macrophyllum Willd. Plants 116 ml conetainers; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-geum-185>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Skinner, D. (2005). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Geum macrophyllum Willd. Plants USDA NRCS - Pullman Plant Materials Center Pullman, Washington* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=rosaceae-geum-2958>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Hedysarum alpinum*

Synonyms: For the full list see [USDA Plants Database](#)

Common name (s): alpine sweetvetch

Family: Fabaceae


USDA PLANTS code: HEAL

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Hedysarum alpinum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Denali National Park - USDA Zone 3b (Densmore et al., 2000)

<https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>

Alaska Plant Materials Center, Palmer, Alaska - USDA Zone 5a (Hunt & Wright, 2007)

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/plant-flyers/PaxsonHedysarumalpinum.pdf>

Habitat description:

Pioneer communities, rocky slopes, spruce forests, gravel bars, lakeshores, meadows, streambanks, alpine tundra (Gucker, 2007; Hultén, 1968; Klinkenberg, 2020).

Elevation range:

Sea level to 2600 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Dry to moist calcareous soil, moist to mesic gravel bars (Gucker, 2007).

Shade tolerance:

Listed as low/no shade tolerance by the USDA. However, other sources suggest shade tolerance in boreal forests (Gucker, 2007; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Tolerates floods, sediment deposition, and ice scars inherent to riparian areas. An early pioneer species on recently disturbed sites (Gucker, 2007).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Provides important forage for bears (black and grizzly), Dall sheep, caribou, and moose. Provides nesting habitat for small mammals and birds (Gucker, 2007).

Restoration value:

High. Gucker (2007) describes studies indicating multiple contexts whereby alpine sweetvetch will readily colonize as a pioneer species, including floodplains, north slope gravel pads, mining sites, and logging roads. Densmore advises transplanting greenhouse propagated seedlings for use in sites dominated by gravel fill, as opposed to direct seeding.

Primary reproductive mode:

Seeds and rhizomes.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

This species is reported to be a good candidate for direct seeding as part of a legume/grass seed mix applied to sites in need of revegetation (Densmore et al., 2000). May do poorly with transplanting (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023). Revegetation of sites using containerized seedlings in Denali National Park showed promising survival after 1 year; however, researchers did note that roots were sensitive to disturbance. Containerized seedling roots were difficult to remove from their containers, and root damage during transplanting was the highest cause of plant mortality (Densmore & Holmes, 1987). Emers et al. (2002) note when propagating and transplanting for future seed production, 2 growing seasons was insufficient as the percentage of flowering plants was only 2-16%. For nursery production, Holloway (2024) warns that roots are a major attractant for voles, which can decimate nursery stock.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Legumes turn from green to tan to brown and become papery when mature. Harvest legumes by stripping them from the stem or harvest the entire stem with legume clusters. In autumn, there is a range of maturities on any one stem, so expect variable germination."

Propagation Profiles

The Alaska Plant Materials Center in Palmer recommends collection by hand or with combine when seed pods begin to crack (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Based on experience in Denali National Park, Densmore (2000) recommends locating seeds along disturbed sites (e.g., roadsides and gravel bars), and harvesting from late July through late August. "Seeds will be brown, papery, and easily stripped off seed stalks when ripe."

- **Seeds per/lb**

70,000 seeds/pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Place seeds on trays and dry in a warm place for about 1 week, or dry on screens or tarps. Watch for insect damage during the drying period; if necessary, add an insecticide strips. Crush dried seeds by hand, rub against a screen or processed in a hammermill to release large seeds (Holloway, 2024). Densmore et al. (2000) writes that cleaning is not necessary; if cleaning is to be done Hunt and Moore (2007) recommend a debearder and screens.

- **Storage**

Densmore et al. (2000) advises storing seeds long term in the freezer. Seed viability is halved after 5 years of storage under laboratory conditions (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

The Ontario Rock Garden and Hardy Plant Society (2023) suggest: rub and/or nick seeds between sheets of sandpaper, follow by submerging in warm water for 24-48 hours, or until seeds have swelled. Discard any floating seeds.

Densmore et al. (1986) reports successful germination with 30 seconds in a drum scarifier. Emers et al. (2002) report timing of seedling emergence was quickest when seeds were treated with acid scarification. However, other experiments suggest that seeds may not require any scarification (Densmore et al., 2000; Densmore & Holmes, 1987).

- **Stratification requirements**

Germination success is reported to be facilitated by cold stratification of 60 days, but may not be required (Densmore et al., 1990; Gucker, 2007).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Outdoors, direct sow in autumn. Seeds are released in three colors. Green seeds germinate poorly. Tan seeds show germination in 10-14 days at 70-75°F (21-24°C). Very dark brown seeds are dormant and need to be scarified with sandpaper before sowing. All colors can be direct sown outdoors, but germination can vary from immediate to 2 years. Indoors, separate colors for more uniform germination. Germinate at 70-75°F (21-24°C). Seedlings are highly susceptible to damping off rot. Use sterile seed starting mix, keep the surface on the dry side, and use fungicides."

The Alaska Plant Materials Center advises that their Paxson germplasm seed does best with light scarification, and fall seeding (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Propagation Profiles

SER reports 90 and 100% germination of scarified seeds exposed to an 8/16 hour light cycle and 15°C and 20°C temperature cycle. Germination occurred in 7-35 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). These trials used seed scarified by partially removing seed coat with a scalpel, and then chipping the seed coat.

Densmore & Holmes (1987) report successful germination exposing seeds to light/dark cycles of 16/8 hours with alternating 25/10°C thermoperiod.

Gucker (2007) report 91-95% germination following a 37-43°F cold moist stratification for 1-2 months (Gucker, 2007).

Emers et al. (2002) reports optimum seedling survival when germinated in a silt/sand/peat mixture. By contrast, seedlings germinated in a commercial potting mix died after 10 days. Seedlings emerged between 4-14 days, depending on scarification treatment.

For direct seeding in-situ, Densmore et al. (2000) advises sowing seeds in September for spring germination or in May/June for same-season germination. Seeds can be sown by hand. A slow release, 2-year fertilizer such as Osmocote 14-14-14 NPK can be applied at a rate of 560 kg/ha or 56 g/m². Do not use quick release or high nitrogen fertilizer. Rake soil, seed, and fertilizer to 1-2.5 cm depth to properly bed and protect seeds.

- **Establishment phase**

Hunt and Wright (2007) suggest that general best practices include light irrigation, weed management, and use of low nitrogen/high phosphorus fertilizer to facilitate growth.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Sensitive to root damage (Densmore & Holmes, 1987).

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

The Dena'ina people of Southcentral Alaska actively manage future harvest of alpine sweetvetch by cutting off and re-planting thick ends of the roots (Kari, 2020).

- **Division**

To propagate by division, divide plants in spring as new growth begins (Holloway, 2024).

Propagation Profiles

REFERENCES

- Densmore, R. V., Dalle-Molle, L., & Holmes, K. E. (1990). Restoration of alpine and subalpine plant communities in Denali National Park and Preserve, Alaska, U.S.A. In H. G. Hughes & T. M. Bonnicksen (Eds.), *Restoration '89: The new management challenge: Proceedings, 1st annual meeting of the Society for Ecological Restoration; 1989 January 16-20; Oakland, CA* (p. Pages 505-519). University of Wisconsin Arboretum, Society for Ecological Restoration.
- Densmore, R. V., & Holmes, K. W. (1987). Assisted Revegetation in Denali National Park, Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 19(4), 544–548.
- Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). *Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve* (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>
- Gucker, C. L. (2007). *Hedysarum alpinum* (Fire Effects Information System [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/forb/hedalp/all.html>
- Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.
- Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>
- Hunt, P., & Wright, S. (2007). *Paxson Germplasm alpine sweetvetch Hedysarum alpinum* (Alaska Plant Materials Center Plant Flyer). Department of Natural Resources Division of Agriculture. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/plant-flyers/PaxsonHedysarumalpinum.pdf>
- Kari, P. R. (2020). *Den'ina K'et'una/Tanaina Plantlore: An Ethnobotany of the Dena'ina People of Southcentral Alaska*. University of Alaska Press.
- Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>
- Michael Emers, Hebert, M., Jorgenson, T., & Holloway, P. (2002). *Propagation of Alaska Native Plants for Restoration and Landscape Use: Final Results* (FW00-050). <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/2002.-Emers.pdf>
- Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>
- SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>
- USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Propagation Profiles

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Hordeum brachyantherum*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): meadow barley

Family: Poaceae

USDA PLANTS code: HOBR2

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: FACW

Hordeum brachyantherum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seed from Seward, AK, collected and developed/propagated at the Alaska Plant Materials Center, Palmer, AK (Hunt & Wright, 2007) - USDA Zone 5a/5b

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/plant-flyers/LowelPointGermplasmmeadowbarley.pdf>

Seeds from Tennessee Valley, CA, propagated in San Francisco (Young, 2001) - USDA Zone 10a-b

<https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=poaceae-hordeum-619>

Habitat description:

Meadows, bottom lands, shores, and grassy slopes (Hultén, 1968; Rose et al., 1998). Also known to occur in wetter areas along stream banks, seeps, springs, mud flats, and sand dunes (Skinner et al., 2012). Most abundant in maritime habitats (Pojar & MacKinnon, 1994).

Elevation range:

Sea level to subalpine (Pojar & MacKinnon, 1994).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coarse, medium, and fine soils; tolerates mild salinity and alkaline conditions (USDA & NRCS, 2023). Present on moist to dry soils, in full sun exposure or under shade trees, and tolerates pH 6-8.5 (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Shade tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Medium (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

High; often occurs in early-seral sites with *Leymus mollis* (Skinner et al., 2012).

Community interactions:

May compete with annual grasses (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Wildlife associations:

The USDA reports low palatability for browsers and grazers.

Restoration value:

Has been commercialized/marketed as 'Lowell Point Meadow Barley' (Hunt & Wright, 2007; Skinner et al., 2012), and can be used for soil stabilization where a rapid cover is needed. Vigorous seedling growth adds to its utility in revegetation programs; also noted to act as a nurse plant for slower growing native species (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Primary reproductive mode:

Seed.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Hordeum brachyantherum is described as one of the easier native grasses to establish in the western United States. It matures early in the season and is capable of producing a seed crop in the first year of establishment if sown in late fall or early spring (Darris, 2008; Hunt & Wright, 2007).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Caryopsis from tight, compact flower spike with spikelets in sets of three. Spikes and spikelets easily shatter and disperse when fully dry, so careful monitoring is needed to harvest just as the color changes to brown. Caryopses are easily dislodged from the plant by hand stripping just as the color becomes a uniform brown."

Collect seeds in late summer, store in a paper bag in a cold, dry environment (Rose et al., 1998). Young (2001) reports collecting seeds from California populations by dragging a cupped hand across seed stalks of mature inflorescences, showing light brown color, from June 1-July 31.

- **Seeds per/lb**

Propagation Profiles

Rose et al. (1998) report 148,660 seeds per kilogram. Darris (2008) states that seed yield can vary widely from 30,000-100,000 seeds/lb; highly processed seed can reach 150,000 seeds/lb. The USDA reports 300,000 seeds per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Young (2001) suggests seed cleaning is unnecessary. However, Holloway (2024) advises: "The spikelets have awns that can interfere with storage and germination. Run through a debearder to remove awns (not absolutely necessary but reduces bulk and makes sowing easier). Expect a lot of unfilled seeds."

- **Storage**

Frozen seeds last in storage for at least 5 years. Retains 96% viability when dried to equilibrium moisture content and frozen for 50 days at -20°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Young (2001) stored seeds dry in a refrigerator.

- **Scarification requirements**

Not required.

- **Stratification requirements**

Successful germination has been reported both with and without stratification.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Rose et al. (2008) report a germination rate of 96% for seeds germinated in agar and exposed to an 8/16 light cycle at 20°C for 126 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Holloway (2024) advises:

"Sow outdoors in autumn or spring. Indoors, sow onto sterile seed starting mix. Do not cover. Keep moist. Germination begins in about 10 days at 70-75°F (21-24°C) but may be prolonged 3-4 weeks."

Hunt and Wright (2007) indicate seed germination after 21 days.

Young (2001) propagated seeds in a fully controlled greenhouse and reports the following:

"[Four] 4 grams of seeds are sown per container (leach tubes) containing standard potting mix of peat moss, fir bark, perlite, and sand. [Four] 4 seeds are sown per container and are surface sown. Containers are watered in with an automatic mist and irrigation system. Seeds are sown on May 1st. 60% germination. Seeds germinated 21 days after sowing."

Regarding germination techniques, Rose et al. (2008) writes:

"Sow fresh seed in the fall at a depth of two to three times its height in a sand:pumice:peat 1:1:1 medium and place in [a] cold frame. Seed can also be cold stratified at 5°C for six weeks, then transferred to temperatures of 10°C (8 hours a day in the dark) and 20°C (16 hours of light) for an additional 6 weeks. It is also reported that fluctuating temperatures of 20°C (16 hours per day) and 30°C (for 8 hours) are required for germination."

Propagation Profiles

- **Establishment phase**

Young (2001) transplanted seedlings 21 days after germination to individual leach tube containers filled with a growing medium of a standard potting mix comprised of peat moss, fir bark, perlite, and sand, and reported 70% transplant survival.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

REFERENCES

Darris, D. (2008). *Meadow barley Hordeum brachyantherum Plant Fact Sheet*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/factsheet/pdf/fs_hobr2.pdf

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Hunt, P., & Wright, S. (2007). *Lowell Point Germplasm meadow barley Hordeum brachyantherum* (Alaska Plant Materials Center Plant Flyer). Department of Natural Resources Division of Agriculture. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/plant-flyers/LowellPointGermplasmmeadowbarley.pdf>

Pojar, J., & MacKinnon, A. (1994). *Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast*. British Columbia Ministry of Forest and Lone Pine Publishing.

Rose, R., Chachulski, C., & Haase, D. (1998). *Propagation of Pacific Northwest Plants*. Oregon State University Press.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Skinner, Q. D., Wright, S. J., Henszey, J. L., Henszey, R. J., & Wyman, S. K. (2012). *A Field Guide to Alaska Grasses*. Education Resources Publishing.

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Juncus mertensianus*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): Mertens' rush

Family: Juncaceae


USDA PLANTS code: JUME3

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: OBL

Sanguisorba stipulata



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seed collected from Mt. Hood and Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forests (USDA Zones 6b-7b), and propagated in Cottage Grove, OR (Zone 8b) (Riley & Klocke, 2018)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=juncaceae-juncus-4>

Seed collected from Logan Pass, MT (Glacier National Park) - USDA Zone 4b; propagated in West Glacier, MT - USDA Zone 5a (Luna et al., 2008)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=juncaceae-juncus-97>

Habitat description:

Stream banks, pond edges, bogs, meadows, heath, snowbeds, wet subalpine meadows (Hultén, 1968; Pojar and MacKinnon, 1994).

Elevation range:

Common at middle to high elevations (Pojar and MacKinnon, 1994). In British Columbia, 100-2500 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Medium to coarse soils, mildly tolerant of alkaline soil (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Intermediate (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Sexual, but also propagated by sprigs and roots (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Unavailable.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Clump forming rush, each stem topped with a single ball composed of a many flowers. Each flower produces a single capsule, dark brown when ripe. Hand collect mature inflorescences when capsules are black-brown, just before they split and release seeds. Seeds are brown at maturity. Use clippers or scissors to cut seed balls into paper bags or tray."

Protocols described from Montana and Oregon report collecting seed in late August, when inflorescences have matured to a dark brown and capsules are just about to split. Riley & Klocke (2018) used scissors to cut seed heads directly into paper bags. Bags could then be kept in a well-ventilated drying shed until ready to be cleaned (Luna et al., 2008; Riley & Klocke, 2018).

- **Seeds per/lb**

45,400,000 seeds/lb (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Hammermill and fanning mill (Luna et al., 2008; Riley & Klocke, 2018). Holloway (2024) writes:

"Air dry on trays, and crush heads with fingers to release seeds. Can also use a hammermill to dislodge seeds. Use air/screen cleaner to separate out the chaff."

Propagation Profiles

- **Storage**
When dried to equilibrium moisture content at 15% relative humidity, and frozen for 1 month at -20°C, seeds exhibit 94% viability (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).
- **Scarification requirements**
Surface sterilization using sodium hypochlorite is known to stimulate germination in other *Juncus* species and may be worth trying for *J. mertensianus*; see germination techniques below (Holloway, 2024).
- **Stratification requirements**
The USDA Plants database indicates cold stratification is not required; however, both Native Plants Network propagation protocols include a cold stratification period of 1-5 months.
- **Germination rates and techniques**
94% germination is reported after germinating seeds in agar and exposing to an 8/16 hour light cycle for 84 days at 15°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Sow outdoors in autumn in moist soils. Do not cover but press seeds into the surface of an organic soil. Indoors, cold stratify for 90 days followed by immediate sowing on acid/peaty soils. Do not cover. Keep moist. Research with other species of *Juncus* recommend surface sterilizing the seeds (45 min, 5.25% sodium hypochlorite solution followed by rinsing in distilled water) prior to sowing."

At an outdoor nursery in Montana, Luna et. al (2008) obtained germination rates varying from 50 to 80%. They exposed seeds to a 5-month cold moist stratification period initiated in late fall. Seeds were surface-sown into a 6:1:1 milled sphagnum peat/perlite/vermiculite growing medium, and each 172 ml cell was fertilized with 1 g Osmocote and 0.20 g Micromax. Containers were irrigated thoroughly. Seedlings germinated in spring while exposed to naturally fluctuating outdoor temperatures and full sun (Luna et al., 2008).

Riley and Klocke (2018), propagating in a greenhouse facility in Oregon, mixed the tiny seeds with sand for direct sowing into 107 ml containers. Containers were filled with a 40:20:20:20 peat/composted fir/perlite/pumice growing medium and fertilized with 0.5 g of Nutricote controlled release fertilizer. Entire racks were sealed inside plastic bags and refrigerated for 30 days at 1-3°C, keeping cells moist throughout the duration. Following the 30-day stratification, racks were moved to the greenhouse; no additional fertilization was added, but racks were watered lightly several times per day to maintain moisture during germination. Germination was reported as uniform and completed in 1-2 weeks (Riley & Klocke, 2018).

- **Establishment phase**
Following natural stratification and spring germination, Luna et al. (2008) report rapid shoot and root development over a 4-week establishment phase. During this period, containers were leached thoroughly in the early morning via an automatic irrigation system.

Propagation Profiles

After artificial (refrigerator) stratification, Riley & Klocke (2018) report a 2-week establishment phase; after emergence, they fertilized seedlings with soluble 12-2-14-6Ca-3Mg at 100 ppm for 1 week.

- **Active growth phase**

Luna et al. (2008) report an 8 week active growth phase, during which seedlings were fertilized with 20-20-20 liquid NPK at 100 ppm. By week 8, seedlings exhibited substantial root production.

Riley and Klocke (2018) also report an 8-week growth phase, during which they applied a soluble 20-9-20 NPK fertilizer at 150 ppm each week.

- **Hardening phase**

During a 4-week hardening phase spanning August and September, Luna et al. (2008) fertilized plants with 10-20-20 liquid NPK at 200 ppm. Watering was gradually reduced in September and October, with a final irrigation prior to winterization.

Riley and Klocke (2018) moved seedlings to an outdoor growing area in mid-September and did not attempt to induce dormancy by tapering irrigation.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Luna et al. (2008) overwintered plants in outdoor nursery under insulated foam covers and snow.

Riley and Klocke (2018) harvested plants in mid-October for fall outplanting; plants were well irrigated prior to shipping.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Luna et al. (2008) report propagating *Juncus mertensianus* vegetatively by dividing nursery stock. If propagating by division, divide rhizomes in spring or summer (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Luna, T., Evans, J., Wick, D., & Hosokawa, J. (2008). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Juncus mertensianus Bong. Plants 160 ml conetainers; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for

Propagation Profiles

Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.
<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=juncaceae-juncus-97>

Riley, L., & Klocke, A. (2018). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Juncus mertensianus Plants 107 ml (6.5 in3) container; USDA FS - Dorena Genetic Resource Center Cottage Grove, Oregon*. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=juncaceae-juncus-4>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Lathyrus japonicus*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name(s): beach pea

Family: Fabaceae

USDA PLANTS code: LAJA

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Lathyrus japonicus



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seed collected from central Oregon coast, propagated in Corvallis, OR - Zone 8b-9b (Silvernail, 2021)

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=fabaceae-lathyrus>

Habitat description:

Sandy or gravelly beaches and dunes (Klinkenberg, 2020; Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Elevation range:

Low elevation, coastal (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Medium to coarse soils, tolerates alkaline soil and mild salinity soil (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Medium (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Medium (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

Fixes atmospheric nitrogen in the soil. The biomass of *L. japonicus* was found to relate to physiochemistry of soil/substrates, and removal experiments did not reveal any significant interspecies interactions (Houle, 1997).

Wildlife associations:

Butterfly attractant, possible forage value to grazing animals (Debnath et al., 2001; Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023). There is some ambiguity regarding potential toxicity to humans depending on quantity and maturity of plant ingested; it is unknown whether this toxicity extends to wildlife.

Restoration value:

Restoration potential has not been formally investigated. However, its preferred habitat and nitrogen fixing ability would suggest adaptation to sediment accumulation, low nutrient availability, and/or somewhat saline conditions.

Primary reproductive mode:

Reproduces via abundant seeding. Also propagated by sprigs. In some ecosystems it's reported to reproduce primarily through extensive rhizomes, which can grow >1 meter in length (Dollard & Carrington, 2013).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Does not transplant well (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

P. Holloway (2024) of University of Alaska Fairbanks, writes of the subspecies *L. japonicus* var. *maritimus*:

"Flowers are protandrous. Insect pollination mostly by bumble bees is essential for good seed set. Fruit is an oblong, flattened peapod-like legume, may or may not open at maturity. Legume does not shatter. Legumes are hand stripped from the plants when the pods change from green to tan to brown and just begin to open (if at all). The texture on the outer surface also becomes papery and dry."

Silvernail (2021) collected from the central Oregon coast in July and August, but advises that because not all seed is dispersed from the pod once it splits open, larger populations likely provide an extended collection window.

- **Seeds per/lb**

12,000 seeds per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Dry seeds at room temperature. Silvernail (2021) describes seed processing as follows:

"Legumes were first threshed in a Westrup LA-H brush machine with a solid mantle at low speed so as to avoid significant scarification... Seed was then separated from inert plant matter on a Clipper Office Tester using a 13 round top screen and no bottom screen with air at medium-high setting."

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Dry pods on screens, then hand crush the pods to release seeds, rub on a screen to break up the pods, or run through a hammermill or brush machine. It is easy to damage seeds with a brush mill."

- **Storage**

May store for 14 years or more (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

Mechanical scarification is required. To scarify, the seed coat can be partially removed or chipped with a scalpel (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Alternatively, seed can be rubbed between sheets of sandpaper and then soaked in warm water for 24-48 hours until seed swells (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

- **Stratification requirements**

Stratification treatments were tested by Silvernail (2021) and were not found to enhance germination.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) writes, of the subspecies *L. japonicus* var. *maritimus*:

"Seeds have physical dormancy called hard seeds. The degree of hardseededness varies with seed maturity. Pale green/yellow seeds are immature and will not germinate. Tan/brown seeds are partly mature and may germinate immediately. The seed coat has not become fully hardened. Dark brown seeds are fully dormant (hard) and require scarification. Soak seeds in water for 4 hours. Those that imbibe water and expand in size will germinate right away. They should be planted immediately. Scarification by nicking, abrasion with sandpaper work well, but are time consuming. A 20-40 minute soak in concentrated sulphuric acid is necessary for complete germination of fully mature seeds. Follow safety regulations when working with acid. Seedlings are very susceptible to damping off diseases."

In germination trials conducted by Silvernail (2021) testing various combinations of scarification and stratification treatments, all seeds scarified with sandpaper showed 98-100% germination, whereas unscarified seed germinated at only 2-12%. At room temperature, scarified seeds germinated within 1 week. Germination trials were conducted using either PromixHP+ (high porosity peat and perlite) or a 1:2 ratio of washed river sand to ProMix-HP+ as growing media; establishment from seed was 100% for both media mixes.

Dollard and Carrington (2013) report collecting and germinating wild seed for a reintroduction program in Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore (USDA Zone 6a), with the use of inoculum solution. Following seedling emergence and development of the first true leaves, plants were

Propagation Profiles

submerged in a solution containing *Rhizobium leguminosarum* to colonize root nodules (Dollard & Carrington, 2013). Seedlings were then transferred to larger containers and remained in a greenhouse.

- **Establishment phase**

Greenhouse experiments conducted with seed from Hudson Bay (Northern Quebec; USDA Zone equivalent 1a-2a) showed both mineral and organic fertilizer negatively affect seedling emergence, above ground, and below ground biomass. In field plantings, mineral fertilizer appeared to promote above and below ground biomass when applied after 2 growing seasons (Deschaies et al., 2009). Similarly, fertilizer was not found to promote establishment or plant size of germinants from UK seed populations and is suggested as a possible cost reduction for commercial operations (Walmsley & Davy, 1997).

- **Active growth phase**

Silvernail (2021) reports that after 6 months, seedlings had rhizomes protruding from drainage holes in Deepot D40 container bottoms.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Plants may be propagated by division of rhizomes, in particular from individuals which have rooted naturally (Holloway, 2024). Early studies indicated that *L. japonicus* may be a good candidate for vegetative regeneration via stem, rachis, and leaf explants (Debnath et al., 2001).

REFERENCES

Debnath, S. C., McKenzie, D. B., & McRae, K. B. (2001). Callus Induction and Shoot Regeneration from Stem, Rachis and Leaf Explants in Beach Pea (*Lathyrus japonicus* Willd). *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 10(1), 57–60.

Deschaies, A., Boudreau, S., & Harper, K. (2009). Assisted Revegetation in a Subarctic Environment: Effects of Fertilization on the Performance of Three Indigenous Plant Species. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 41(4).

Dollard, J. J., & Carrington, M. E. (2013). Experimental Reintroduction of Beach Pea (*Lathyrus japonicus*) to the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore. *Ecological Restoration*, 31(4), 368–377.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Propagation Profiles

Houle, G. (1997). No evidence for interspecific interactions between plants in the first stage of succession on coastal dunes in subarctic Quebec, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 75(6), 902–915.

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia* [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023). *Native Plants Database*. <https://www.wildflower.org/plants/>

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Silvernail, I. (2021). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Lathyrus japonicus Plants Deepot D40 (2.5" diam. X 10" deep); USDA NRCS - Corvallis Plant Materials Center Corvallis, Oregon* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=fabaceae-lathyrus>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Walmsley, C. A., & Davy, A. J. (1997). The Restoration of Coastal Shingle Vegetation: Effects of Substrate on the Establishment of Container Grown Plants. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 34(1), 154–165.

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Leymus mollis*

Synonyms: *L. arenarius*, *Elymus arenarius*, *E. mollis*

Common name(s): American dunegrass

Family: Poaceae

USDA PLANTS code: LEMO


Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Taxonomic ambiguity persists as to whether the synonyms are separate or the same species. Hybridization is common. This propagation profile includes information for *L. mollis* and *L. arenarius*.

Leymus mollis



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Planting protocols for *Leymus* sp. produced by the Alaska Plant Materials Center (S. Wright, 1994)

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/BeachWildryePlantingGuide.pdf>

Habitat description:

L. mollis occurs on coastlines, spits, tidal flats, sea cliffs, lakeshores, and dunes (Hultén, 1968; S. Wright, 1994; S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013).

Elevation range:

Coastal, low elevation shorelines, 15 meters or less (Klinkenberg, 2020). Higher elevations on road shoulders.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Dry, sandy, or gravelly soils (S. J. Wright & Hunt, 2008)

Shade tolerance:

Intolerant.

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Tolerant (S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013).

Disturbance tolerance:

High tolerance for saline conditions (S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013).

Community interactions:

Poor competitor with other grasses and rhizomatous species; *L. arenarius* is sensitive to foot traffic and soil compaction (S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013). Can be planted among *Deschampsia* sp. and *Artemisia* sp. (S. Wright, 1994).

Wildlife associations:

Seeds found in vole caches, and may be an important source of food (Fienup-Riordan et al., 2019).

Restoration value:

Exhibit aggressive growth and have potential for restoration of highly erodible habitats, thriving in areas unsuitable for other species (S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013). Can also be used in streambank restoration, and/or where brackish water persists (Walter et al., 2005). "Sprigging" (small divisions taken from plants) is the recommended revegetation method, with typical survival of >90% (S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013). Planting patterns can be arranged to facilitate uniform sand distribution or to promote dune formation (S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013). Several revegetation case studies throughout Alaska can be reviewed in Wright and Czapla (2013).

Primary reproductive mode:

Does not reliably produce seed; vegetative reproduction by rhizomes is predominant spreading mechanism (S. Wright, 1994).

Seed type:

L. arenarius is listed as orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Propagation from seed is slow and seedlings are characterized by low vigor (S. Wright, 1994). Two cultivars were developed and released by the Alaska Plant Materials Center in 1991: 'Reeve,' for seed (harvested from Norway, *Leymus arenarius*) and 'Benson' (*Leymus mollis*) for sprigging. Wright (1994) reports the two cultivars are interchangeable in usage.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

In Iceland populations, Griepsson and Davy (1994, 1996, 1997) found that seed mass of *L. arenarius* was positively correlated with germination percent and duration, with larger seeds exhibiting higher and faster germination rates. They recommend using seed mass as a proxy for seed quality and targeting larger seeds with a minimum average mass among stands of 5 mg. Collect with hulls intact for best establishment. Collect soon after seed maturation and before they start shedding from stems.

Propagation Profiles

- **Seeds per/lb**
33,000 seeds per pound (S. Wright, 1994).
- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**
Unavailable.
- **Storage**
Seed maintains 59% viability after 1 year at -20°C when dried to moisture contents in equilibrium with 15% relative humidity (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).
- **Scarification requirements**
In Icelandic experiments, scarification was not found to improve germination capacity; however, authors do report that for coastal populations germination was inhibited by salinity exposure and overcome by a solution of 100 mmol l⁻¹ and 300 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl, suggesting an “osmotically enforced dormancy effect” (Greipsson & Davy, 1994).
- **Stratification requirements**
Greipsson and Davy (1994) report improved germination of Icelandic seed populations following 2-week cold stratification at 5°C. Additional experiments indicate germination further improves with stratification periods of 4 and 6 weeks (Greipsson, 2001).
- **Germination rates and techniques**
Trials from the UK report a 59% germination rate of seed germinated in 1% agar under an 8 hour light/16 hour dark photoperiod and 25/10°C thermoperiod (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Wright (1995) also reports germination rates of about 50%.

Greipsson and Davy (1994), in experimental trials on *L. arenarius* collected in Iceland, achieved near 100% germination rates by soaking seeds in water for 24 hours prior to a 2-week 5°C stratification, followed by germination in constant darkness and alternating 12 hour cycles of 10 and 20°C. They report poor germination of soaked/stratified seed under consistent temperatures or light exposure (Greipsson & Davy, 1994). Results from later experiments found that treatment of the seed hull with a 24 hour soak in 500 mg l⁻¹ GA₃ (gibberellic acid) further promoted germination (Greipsson, 2001). The author advised that for restoration planting, seed hulls should be retained on seed and soaked in gibberellic acid to maximize establishment (Greipsson, 2001).
- **Establishment phase**
The Ontario Rock Garden and Hardy Plant Society (2023) recommends sowing seed at 20°C, with germination expected within 3 months. In Southcentral Alaska the recommended seeding period is May 1-early September (S. Wright, 1994).
- **Active growth phase**
Fertilize seed at a rate of 500-600 lbs/acre of 20-20-10 (S. Wright, 1994). Experiments in Iceland show that application of a slow-release fertilizer may improve establishment of *L. arenarius* on volcanic, sandy barrens over the first two years, while annual application of rapid release fertilizer was more economical over a 4 year period and resulted in similar biomass and tiller density (Greipsson & Davy, 1997). Deficits in phosphorous led to plants with increased root and rhizome

Propagation Profiles

mass, whereas deficits in potassium resulted in plants with more above-ground growth (Greipsson & Davy, 1997).

- **Hardening phase**
Unavailable.
- **Harvest, storage & shipping**
Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Collection recommendations**
Wright (1994) advises collecting in the form of “sprigs,” which are small divisions taken from living plant; well developed roots or green leaves do not need to be attached. Green leaves will likely die back when transplanting, but new growth will continue from the roots. Divide a clump until only a portion of below ground crown and above ground leaf mass exists. Further trimming is optional. Sprigs may be harvested in small quantities with a shovel, or for larger quantities in areas not sensitive to disturbance, a backhoe can be used to remove and transfer sod blocks where clumps and sprigs can be further divided by hand. For commercial production, a potato digger may also be used (Wright, 1994).

- **Planting recommendations**
In Alaska, sprigs have successfully established from plantings made from May through September; in general Wright (1994) advises transplanting prior to September 1 south of Arctic Circle, and prior to August 1 in areas north of the Arctic Circle.

Sprigs can be planted by hand, or for large-scale planting, heavy equipment can be used to dig trenches and use the “drop-and-stomp” technique with clumps of grasses. Sprigs do not need to be vertical in the planting hole. Use a 3-4’ spacing. (S. Wright, 1994). A 1-acre natural stand can generally yield 7-acres of transplant in the field (S. J. Wright & Czapla, 2013).

Similar to the recommendation for seed establishment, fertilize vegetative transplants at a rate of 500-600 lbs/acre of 20-20-10 (S. Wright, 1994).

REFERENCES

Fienup-Riordan, A., Reardon, A., Meade, M., Jernigan, K., & Cleveland, J. (2019). *Edible and Medicinal Plants of Southwest Alaska: Yungcautnguq Nunam Qaingam Tamarmi All the Land’s Surface is Medicine*. University of Alaska Press.

Greipsson, S. (2001). Effects of stratification and GA₃ on seed germination of a sand stabilising grass *Leymus arenarius* used in reclamation. *Seed Science and Technology*, 29, 1-10.

Greipsson, S., & Davy, A. (1996). Aspects of seed germination in the dune-building grass *Leymus arenarius*. *Icelandic Agricultural Sciences*, 10, 209–217.

Propagation Profiles

Greipsson, S., & Davy, A. J. (1994). Germination of *Leymus arenarius* and its Significance for Land Reclamation in Iceland. *Annals of Botany*, 73(4), 393–401.

Greipsson, S., & Davy, A. J. (1997). Responses of *Leymus arenarius* to Nutrients: Improvement of Seed Production and Seedling Establishment for Land Reclamation. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 34(5), 1165–1176.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). Germination Guide Plant List. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Walter, J., Hughes, D., Moore, N., & Muhlberg, G. (2005). *Streambank Revegetation and Protection: A Guide for Alaska*. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Wright, S. (1994). *Beach Wildrye Planting Guide For Alaska*. Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture, Plant Materials Center and US Navy Engineering Field Activity Northwest.

Wright, S. J., & Czapla, P. K. (2013). *Alaska Coastal Revegetation & Erosion Control Guide* (3rd ed.). State of Alaska Plant Materials Center.

Wright, S. J., & Hunt, P. (2008). *Revegetation Manual for Alaska* (p. 73). Division of Agriculture, Alaska Department of Natural Resources

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Lupinus arcticus*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): arctic lupine


Family: Fabaceae

USDA PLANTS code: LUAR2

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU



WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Bulkley Valley, northern interior British Columbia – USDA Zones 4a-5b (Burton & Burton, 2003)

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Habitat description:

Roadsides, meadows, dry and damp slopes, gravel bars (P. Holloway et al., 2021; Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Up to at least 1,500 meters (Hultén, 1968).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Grows in dry sand or sandy loam, well-drained soils, neutral to slightly alkaline (Burton & Burton, 2003; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

Low (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

Lupinus arcticus has a symbiotic relationship with nitrogen fixing bacteria, *Rhizobium* spp. Burton and Burton (2003) report that, under cultivation, stands of *Lupinus arcticus* subjected to competition from grasses or annuals tend to be relatively short-lived (3-5 years).

Wildlife associations:

Provides butterfly habitat (Alaska Native Plant Society, 2023). Although not considered toxic to wildlife, *Lupinus arcticus* is known to cause adverse reactions in sheep and cattle and is therefore not recommended for revegetation in areas where domestic animals graze. This species is also a host for lupine aphid (*Macrosiphum alibifrons*) (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Restoration value:

As a nitrogen fixer, *Lupinus arcticus* may help restore soil in degraded sites, and stabilize soil where erosion is a concern (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Primary reproductive mode:

Seed

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Susceptible to powdery mildew. Relative to Nootka lupine, this species is more difficult to establish, and more short-lived.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

The legume shatters when mature; legumes often are destroyed by insect larvae leaving them empty or full of webbing/frass (Holloway, 2024). Holloway further writes:

"Seeds are borne in legumes (pods) on stems that range from a few inches in length to nearly 12 inches (30 cm). The individual pods ripen from the bottom up on the stem. The pods also twist open when fully dry and explosively release seeds, again from the bottom up. Some seeds get caught in the twisted halves of the legume, but most scatter everywhere. This difference in maturity requires repeated harvests, or choose a period when the middle pods begin to mature. The lower pods will have released their seeds already, but the ones at the top might not be mature. If you wait until all pods are blackish and twisted, it is too late. Try enclosing entire stalks after flowering in a cheesecloth bag, or knee-high stocking secured around a stem with a twist tie. Legumes will release seeds explosively within the bag. This bag method doesn't work well in rainy areas because the bags become soggy and the entire stem will rot. Upon harvest, dry entire stems in an enclosed paper bag or beneath layers of newspaper or cloth and allow pods to explode open. Seeds range in color from light brown, greenish brown to nearly black, with lighter seeds being less mature. Dormancy range is reflected in seed color, from none (pale colored seeds) to significant physical seed coat dormancy (black)."

Propagation Profiles

Burton and Burton (2003) found that for northwestern British Columbia populations, optimal seed harvest occurred from mid-July through the end of August. They recommend clipping seed heads directly into bins or into paper bags. Alternatively, they report success harvesting the entire flowering stalk after the top pods have blackened and allowing the remaining pods to ripen in the sun.

- **Seeds per/lb**

108 seeds per gram (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Burton and Burton (2003) suggest processing seed with a combine thresher, set at 1241 rpm with 4mm gap. Ensure loose seed is removed between threshing batches, to avoid cracking any cleaned seeds left behind. After threshing, clean seed via a fanning mill set according to the following protocol: "Prescreen 4.9 mm round; top screen 4.8 mm round; bottom screen 1.2 mm square. If pods and trash are still abundant, put through a second time with a just 4 mm square top screen (or hand screen), then through a vacuum separator with speed set high and suction set to low to remove dust and <5% of seeds."

- **Storage**

In trials with British Columbia seed, seeds stored for 1 year under cool dry conditions had higher germination rates in the second year after harvest. However, they also report that seeds of *L. arcticus* are generally long-lived and robust to a range of storage conditions (Burton & Burton, 2003).

The Society for Ecological Restoration reports seeds retain 100% viability following drying to equilibrium moisture content with 15 % relative humidity and freezing for 13 days at -20°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

This species benefits from scarification. To scarify, soak seeds in water for 4 hours and plant seeds that double in size. After soaking, seeds that don't double in size are dormant; scarify these by nicking with a knife or needle, or rubbing with sandpaper. Alternatively, acid-scarify for 10 minutes (Holloway and Gauss, 2021).

- **Stratification requirements**

Mixed findings. May benefit from stratification, but it may not be required.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Scarified seeds set in 1% agar and exposed to an 8/16 hour light cycle, at 10-20°C yielded 100% germination in 7-14 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). The Native Plant Network protocol indicates that germination occurs at 20-21°C (Baskin & Baskin, 2002).

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Outdoors, direct sow in autumn. You will get some germination the first year, then more in subsequent years. Seeds outdoors can persist for years in a seed bank as long as they are fully mature. Indoors, soak seeds in water for 4+ hours and plant those that have doubled in size (not dormant). The ones that have not doubled are dormant with a hard seed covering. Air dry the

Propagation Profiles

seeds, then scarify by nicking with a knife or needle, rubbing with sandpaper or acid scarification for 10 minutes concentrated sulfuric acid; 20 minutes battery acid. Use extreme caution when using acid."

Burton and Burton (2003) report that although stratification was not required, highest germination rates were achieved with a combination of stratification and scarification. From their lab trials they report 95.2% germination of scarified/stratified seeds exposed to a 30/20°C cycle. Seedlings began to germinate at 6.5 days, with 50% of seeds germinated in 14 days.

Densmore, working with seeds harvested in Denali National Park, reports good germination after nicking seed coat with file prior to planting (Densmore et al., 2000).

Burton and Burton (2003) report a 13% decrease in germination of seeds from green seed pods relative to seed from ripened pods. They also report satisfactory germination rates for seeds sown directly into the field in both spring and fall. For direct-seeding of untreated seeds, cooler soils tended to improve germination rates.

- **Establishment phase**
Unavailable.
- **Active growth phase**
Unavailable.
- **Hardening phase**
Unavailable.
- **Harvest, storage & shipping**
Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**
May be divided in spring (Holloway, 2024)

REFERENCES

Alaska Native Plant Society. (2023). *Alaska Native Plants in Your Garden*. https://aknps.org/wp-content/uploads/AlaskaNativePlantsInYourGarden_trifold.pdf

Baskin, J., & Baskin, C. (2002). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Lupinus arcticus S. Wats. Plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=fabaceae-lupinus-1555>

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia* (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Propagation Profiles

Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). *Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve* (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>

Holloway, P., & Gauss, V. (2021). *Wildflowers for Northern Gardens*. A.F. Farmer LLC.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Lupinus nootkatensis*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): Nootka lupine

Family: Fabaceae


USDA PLANTS code: LUNO

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Lupinus nootkatensis



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Georgeson Botanical Garden, Fairbanks, Alaska (Holloway, 2014) - USDA Zone 3b

<https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/2014.-Holloway-Note.56.lupine.pdf>

Habitat description:

Dry and open slopes, meadows, gravel bars (Favorite, 2002; Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Low to middle elevations in Alaska. In British Columbia, up to 1920 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Medium to coarse soils, silt loam or sandy well-drained soils (Favorite, 2002).

Shade tolerance:

Low. Full sun promotes vigorous growth, partial shade will result in leggy plants with less branching (P. Holloway, 2014).

Drought tolerance:

None (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

Influences plant competition through the formation of dense patches (Vetter et al., 2018). Fixes nitrogen. Slightly toxic, seeds are poisonous and cause internal inflammation (Hultén, 1968; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Wildlife associations:

Roots are readily consumed by grizzly bears (Favorite, 2002).

Restoration value:

Acts as an ecosystem engineer in sub-polar ecosystems through its slope stabilization and nitrogen fixing capability (Vetter et al., 2018).

Primary reproductive mode:

Seed.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Despite the abundance of seeds produced, this species can be difficult to propagate from seed (Holloway, 2014). Once established, it spreads rapidly by rhizomes in mild climates and coastal areas. Susceptible to powdery mildew (Holloway and Gauss, 2021). Even though lupine is difficult to grow from seed, this method is still recommended over bareroot production or plant salvage, as mature plants do not transplant well from the wild (Holloway, 2014; Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Timing of seed collection is crucial, as legumes do not ripen evenly, and once ripen will shatter (Holloway, 2014). Pods mature from the bottom up on the stalk and dehisce explosively to spread seed when brown/black. Begin harvest when middle pods begin to mature. Lower pods will have released seeds, and pods at the tip will be immature. Or collect over a period of days or weeks as seeds mature. Holloway and Gauss (2021) recommend enclosing the entire stalk after flowering in a cheesecloth bag or knee-high stocking secured around the stem with a twist tie. Harvest the entire stalk of pods. Enclose in a paper bag and allow pods to pop open. Note that larvae feed on seeds, which can make collection of viable seeds difficult.

- **Seeds per/lb**

10,333 seeds per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Unavailable.

- **Storage**

Seeds retain 100% viability following drying to equilibrium moisture content with 15 % relative humidity and freezing for 48 days at -20°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

- **Scarification requirements**

See germination techniques below.

- **Stratification requirements**

None.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Seeds range in color from light brown, greenish brown to nearly black. The lighter the color, the less mature the seeds are. They will have a range of dormancies ranging from none (pale colored seeds) to significant physical seed coat dormancy (black). Outdoors, direct sow in autumn. You will get some germination the first year, then more in subsequent years. Seeds outdoors can persist for years in a seed bank as long as they are fully mature."

Indoors, soak seeds in water for 4 hours and plant seeds that double in size. After soaking, seeds that don't double in size are dormant; scarify these by nicking with a knife or needle, or rubbing with sandpaper. Seeds can also be acid scarified for 32-40 minutes and rinsed. Legume seeds are susceptible to damping off; to mitigate, apply fungicide drench immediately after sowing in potting soil (Holloway, 2014).

- **Establishment phase**

Thin seedlings after the first true leaves emerge (Favorite, 2002). Plants are also susceptible to powdery mildew once established (Holloway and Gauss, 2021), suggesting that continued fungicide drench treatment may be warranted across all stages of cultivation.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

May be divided in spring (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Favorite, J. (2002). *Plant Guide Nootka Lupine* *Lupinus nootkatensis* Donn ex Sims. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/plantguide/pdf/cs_luno.pdf

Holloway, P. (2014). *Germinating Seeds of Alaska's Nootka Lupine* (56; Georgespn Botanical Notes). University of ALaska Fairbanks School of Natural Resources and Extension.

Propagation Profiles

Holloway, P., & Gauss, V. (2021). *Wildflowers for Northern Gardens*. A.F. Farmer LLC.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Vetter, V. M. S., Tjaden, N. B., Jaeschke, A., Buhk, C., Wahl, V., Wasowicz, P., & Jentsch, A. (2018). Invasion of a Legume Ecosystem Engineer in a Cold Biome Alters Plant Biodiversity. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 715.

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Luzula parviflora*

Synonyms: several, see [USDA Plants database](#)

Common name (s): smallflowered woodrush

Family: Juncaceae


USDA PLANTS code: LUPA4

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Graminoid

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Luzula parviflora



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Northern Interior British Columbia (Burton & Burton, 2003) - USDA Zone equivalent 4a-5b

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Propagation protocol developed for Corvallis Plant Material Center, seed source unknown (Bartow, 2005a) - USDA Zone 8b

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=juncaceae-luzula-2927>

Habitat description:

Moist forest and tundra (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Low to high elevations. In British Columbia, up to 2575 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020; Pojar & MacKinnon, 1994).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Medium to coarse, nutrient medium to nutrient rich soils; wet to mesic/hydric (Burton & Burton, 2003; Klinkenberg, 2020).

Shade tolerance:

The USDA reports high shade tolerance; coastal populations in British Columbia report both shade tolerance and intolerance (Burton & Burton, 2003; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Drought tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

High. Habitat preferences strongly suggest that natural disturbances are important component to this species' natural and life history (Penskar & Crispin, 2008).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Excellent forage value (Gerling et al., 1996).

Restoration value:

The ability of *L. parviflora* to grow on poor soils and colonize recently disturbed sites – such as borrow pits after road construction and recently burned/logged sites – suggest it is a good candidate for revegetation and restoration practices (Burton & Burton, 2003; Kershaw & Kershaw, 1987; Penskar & Crispin, 2008).

Primary reproductive mode:

Seeds and sprigs (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Burton and Burton (2003) recommend using a greenhouse to propagate seed for plug production.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Burton and Burton (2003) collected seeds of *L. parviflora* in Bulkley Valley, British Columbia, starting in mid-July. Because seeds shatter somewhat easily, they recommend using sharpened hand clippers and clipping seed directly into a bin or bag to minimize seed loss during harvest.

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Self-compatible. Individual flower heads can contain up to 100 flowers. Fruit is a capsule with usually 3 seeds per capsule. Harvest seeds when capsules become brown and before they crack open. Seeds are tiny and easily lost. Use hand clippers or knives and hold entire seed heads over a bag or tray to capture seeds during harvest."

- **Seeds per/lb**

6,241 seeds per gram (Burton and Burton, 2003).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) writes:

Propagation Profiles

"Dry entire flower heads on screens that allow seeds to fall through to a tray below. An air/screen cleaner or seed blower can be used to separate trash, but a lot of seeds will be lost if air is too strong."

Burton and Burton (2003) used a combine thresher and fanning mill to process seed:

"Run through combine thresher at 1548 rpm with 4 mm gap. Clean seed with fanning mill set with a 1.2 mm x 7.1 mm slot prescreen, 1.2 mm x 1.5 mm slot top screen, and blank bottom. Final clean with a 0.6 mm hand sieve."

- **Storage**

Store under cool, dry conditions (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Seeds have been documented to persist in the soil for over 10 years, and up to 150-200 years in Alaska seedbeds (Bennington et al., 1991; SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

Experimental trials with seeds collected in the Colorado Rockies found that seed stored for 8 months at room temperature, then scarified at the micropyle, resulted in 100% germination. When stored for 1 month at room temperature, then scarified at the micropyle, germination was 66%. For seed either unscarified, or scarified at the hilum, germination was less than 30% (Bell & Amen, 1970).

Holloway (2024) notes that seed benefit from a gibberellic acid soak after drying and before sowing.

- **Stratification requirements**

Variable results. For seeds originating from the Olympic Peninsula in Washington, a 5 week cold moist stratification was used (Bartow, 2005b). However, for Northern British Columbia seed populations, a 60 day, 5°C stratification was found to reduce germinate rates (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

The only trial on record with the SER Seed Information Database reports 82% germination after 154 days when germinated in 1% agar under a 12/12 hr light cycle and 15/5°C thermocycle.

A study by Bell et al. (1970) of summer harvested seeds from the Colorado Rockies suggest that an after-ripening period is an important dormancy-breaking mechanism for successful germination.

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Seeds are dormant immediately after harvest, but dormancy disappears following dry storage at room temperature (21°C, 70°F) for 6-8 months. Seeds benefit from a 24 hr soak in 1000 ppm gibberellic acid (GA₃) after dry storage and prior to sowing. Sow onto surface of sterile seed starting mix. Best germination at alternating temperatures (25/10°C; 77/50°F for 16/8 hrs). Even under optimum conditions, germination may be prolonged: 20-60 days."

Propagation Profiles

Burton and Burton (2003) report that *L. parviflora* germinated best on cool soils. Of their germination trials, results were consistently highest when germinated under a 25/15°C thermocycle. Seeds began germinating after 25 days, with 50% potential after 42 days.

Bartow (2005a) reports sowing seeds into containers filled with soilless peat-based growing medium (Sunshine #1), amended with Micromax micronutrients and Osmocote 14-14-14 slow release fertilizer. They also describe the following germination method with thermocycling: "Seeds were placed in plastic germination boxes on moistened germination paper and stored in a growth chamber set at 8°C days and 4°C nights with 8 hours of light for 45 and 90 days each. One control box of seeds was left in a greenhouse set at fall temperatures (16°C days/10°C nights.)"

- **Establishment phase**

Burton and Burton (2003) report successfully establishing seed production plots by producing plugs (from wild seed) in the greenhouse, and then outplanting plugs to prepared fields. Preferred soils are loamy and cool with a firm seedbed. They also used the selective broadleaf herbicide Banvel™ (dicamba) with no apparent damage to *L. parviflora* plants.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

REFERENCES

Bartow, A. (2005a). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Luzula parviflora* (Ehrh.) Desv. Plants USDA NRCS - Corvallis Plant Materials Center Corvallis, Oregon (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=juncaceae-luzula-2927>

Bartow, A. (2005b). The 2005 Olympic National Park Annual Report: Elwha River Ecosystem and Fisheries Restoration. Corvallis Plant Materials Center Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Bell, K. L., & Amen, R. D. (1970). Seed Dormancy in *Luzula Spicata* and *L. Parviflora*. *Ecology*, 51(3), 492–496. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1935384>

Bennington, C. C., McGraw, J. B., & Vavrek, M. C. (1991). Ecological Genetic Variation in Seed Banks. II. Phenotypic and Genetic Differences Between Young and Old Subpopulations of *Luzula Parviflora*. *Journal of Ecology*, 79(3), 627–643.

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Propagation Profiles

Gerling, H. S., Willoughby, M., Schoepf, A., Tannas, K., & Tannas, C. (1996). A guide to using native plants on disturbed lands. Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development; Alberta Environmental Protection. <http://archive.org/details/guidetousingnatioogerl>

Holloway, P. S. (2024). Personal communication. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Kershaw, G. P., & Kershaw, L. J. (1987). Successful Plant Colonizers on Disturbances in Tundra Areas of Northwestern Canada. Arctic and Alpine Research, 19(4), 451–460. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1987.12002627>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Penskar, M., & Crispin, S. (2008). Special Plant Abstract for *Luzula parviflora* (small-flowered wood rush) (p. 4pp). Michigan Natural Features Inventory.

Pojar, J., & MacKinnon, A. (1994). Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast. British Columbia Ministry of Forest and Lone Pine Publishing.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Papaver radicatum*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): rooted poppy

Family: Papaveraceae

USDA PLANTS code: PARA11

Duration: Select

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: Unavailable

Papaver radicatum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Kentucky, seed source unknown (Baskin & Baskin, 2002) - USDA Zone 7a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=papaveraceae-papaver-1553>

Habitat description:

Alpine region within arctic climates; arctic poppies are known as one of the world's most northern-occurring plants (Dyer, 2018). Found in mesic and dry tundra, dry cliffs, and talus slopes in alpine zones (Klinkenberg, 2020; Panchen et al., 2022).

Elevation range:

High elevations throughout their range. Up to 2600 meters in St. Elias Mountain Range in Alaska (Baskin & Baskin, 2002; Hultén, 1968).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Sandy and gravelly soils (Hultén, 1968).

Shade tolerance:

Low (Dryer, 2018).

Drought tolerance:

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

Disturbance tolerance:

Papaver radicatum is an early succession species that rapidly colonizes areas exposed by receding glaciers (Jones & Henry, 2003).

Community interactions:

Does not compete well, and reproduction is limited by dense vegetation (Aiken, 2007; Panchen et al., 2022).

Wildlife associations:

Eaten by voles (Holloway, 2024).

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

For southern Norwegian populations, *P. radicatum* is known to only reproduce sexually, with no potential for asexual reproduction in the wild (Nordal et al., 1997). An earlier study of populations in the Northwest Territories of Canada observe that reproduction by seed is rare, even with a near total lack of asexual reproduction (Bell & Bliss, 1980).

Seed type:

Unavailable.

Ease of growing:

Does not do well in warm temperatures. Plants may not flower until second season and are short-lived (Dyer, 2018). Panchen et al. (2022) describe the germination strategy of wild populations of *P. radicatum* as “slow and steady,” whereby germination occurs later, at a lower rate, and over a longer period of time.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes of the subspecies *P. radicatum* spp. *alaskanum*:

“Oblong capsule with holes just beneath the cap. Harvest entire capsules just as holes begin to open and capsule turns brown. Dry on screens so seeds fall through onto a tray. The black/brown seeds are tiny but easy to extract in quantity.”

- **Seeds per/lb**

Unavailable.

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

With careful harvest and handling cleaning may not be necessary; otherwise use an air/screen cleaner to remove trash (Holloway, 2024).

- **Storage**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

Optimal germination of seeds of *P. radicum* var. *pseudoradicatum*, collected in China, occurred following 120 days of chilling at 5°C (Ahn et al., 2011). In a recent study on seed viability of arctic species in the Canadian Arctic, researchers describe cold stratifying fall-collected *P. radicum* seeds at -20°C for 30 days, before germinating the following season (Panchen et al., 2022).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germination of *Papaver* spp. have responded to pre-germination treatments of gibberellic acid, which can accelerate and/or promote uniform germination; exact concentrations (recommended starting concentration of 100 milligrams GA per 100 milliliters water) would need to be determined for a given species and seed accession (Holloway, 2011). To germinate, Holloway (2024) further advises:

"Direct sow outdoors in autumn or spring. Germination is better in light and alternating temperatures. Germination begins in 7-10 days at 25/10°C for 16/8 hrs or constant 21°C (70°F)."

The Ontario Hardy Plant Society suggests exposing seeds to fluctuating outdoor winter temperatures, including freezing for 3 months, followed by a gradual increase in light and temperature in spring (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

Baskin and Baskin (2002) report that seeds show morphophysiological dormancy, and that germination took place between 19°C and 23°C. Seeds from Greenland exhibited a pronounced germination threshold of 19°C, and germination occurred most rapidly between 19-23°C; above these temperatures, germination was found to rapidly decrease (Olson & Richards, 1979). Authors further note differences among the seed populations in percent germination and overall seed viability, hypothesized to be related to available solar radiation inherent across a populations' range (Olson & Richards, 1979).

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Plants may be propagated via division during non-flowering times (spring or autumn), preferably in spring as crown growth begins (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Ahn, Y.-H., Son, J.-E., Lee, S.-J., Jin, Y.-H., Choe, C.-Y., Lee, K.-M., Kim, M.-Y., & Lee, S.-C. (2011). Germination Characteristics and Early Growth of *Papaver radicatum* var. *Pseudoradicatum* Seeds. *Journal of Environmental Science International*, 20(4), 541–549.

Aiken, S. G. (2007). *Flora of the Canadian arctic archipelago*. NRC Research Press.

Baskin, J., & Baskin, C. (2002). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Papaver radicatum Rottb. Plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky*. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=papaveraceae-papaver-1553>

Bell, K. L., & Bliss, L. C. (1980). Plant Reproduction in a High Arctic Environment. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 12(1), 1.

Dyer, M. H. (2018). *Alpine Poppy Info: Information On Growing Rooted Poppies*. Gardeningknowhow. <https://www.gardeningknowhow.com/ornamental/flowers/poppy/growing-alpine-rooted-poppies.htm>

Holloway, P. S. (2011). *Seed Germination and Gibberellic Acid* (No. 43; Georgeson Botanical Garden Notes). University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Natural Resources and Agricultural Sciences, Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Jones, G. A., & Henry, G. H. R. (2003). Primary plant succession on recently deglaciated terrain in the Canadian High Arctic. *Journal of Biogeography*, 30(2), 277–296.

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Nordal, I., Hestmark, G., & Solstad, H. (1997). Reproductive biology and demography of *Papaver radicatum*—A key species in Nordic plant geography. *Opera Botanica*, 132, 77–87.

Olson, A. R., & Richards, J. H. (1979). Temperature Responses of Germination in Arctic Poppy (*Papaver radicatum* Rottb.) Seeds. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 11(3), 343.

Propagation Profiles

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

Panchen, Z. A., Frei, E. R., & Henry, G. H. R. (2022). Increased Arctic climate extremes constrain expected higher plant reproductive success in a warmer climate. *Arctic Science*, 8(3), 680–699.


Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Picea glauca*
Synonyms: n/a
Common name (s): white spruce
Family: Pinaceae
USDA PLANTS code: PIGL

Duration: Perennial
Growth habit: Tree
Wetland indicator status: FACU

Picea glauca



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds collected near Tyonek, Alaska - USDA Zone 5a, germinated in Durham, NC - USDA Zone 8a (Densmore, 1979)

<https://www.frames.gov/catalog/6401>

Summary of Alaska Plant Materials Center experiments and grower experiences throughout Alaska, specific seed sources unknown (Holloway, 1985)

https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1985.Holloway.prop_ak_native.plants.pdf

Habitat description:

Muskegs, bogs, river banks, and mountain slopes (Nesom & Guala, 2003); generally upland, warm, well-drained permafrost-free sites (Abrahamson, 2015).

Elevation range:

Sea level to over 1500 meters, with stunted growth characteristics in higher elevation populations (Hultén, 1968).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Well drained mineral soils where there is no permafrost or it is very deep (Nesom & Guala, 2003; USDA & NRCS, 2023). Tolerant of acidic and alkaline soils, with optimum pH range between 4.7-7 (Abrahamson, 2015).

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

Intermediate (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Medium. However, mature stands have low fire tolerance, and stands can be severely impacted fire intervals less than 40-50 years (Burns & Service, 1990).

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Used by many species for both cover and forage, including numerous small mammal and bird species, moose, and caribou (Abrahamson, 2015).

Restoration value:

Successfully used for revegetation of coal mine overburden sites, and has also naturally recolonized agricultural fields (Abrahamson, 2015).

Primary reproductive mode:

Seed.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Easy and fast (Holloway, 2024).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Alaskan stands are reported to produce “good” seed cone crops at 45-170 years old and older (Abrahamson, 2015; J. Zasada, 1971). Most seed cones are held in the uppermost 6-8 branch whorls (Alden, 1985).

Consider seed production conditions and seed viability when selecting stands for seed harvest. For in-depth recommendations and review of collection and processing of *P. glauca* seed cones in Alaska, see Alden (1985) Chapter 3: Seed Supply and Handling. Seed production may be restricted within 2-12 year intervals (Alden, 1985; Youngblood & Safford, 2008). The relationship between climatic conditions, seed crop production, and seed viability is complex and dependent on conditions for up to 3 years prior. Abundant seed crops in Interior Alaska are determined by warm and dry conditions during the early growing season of the previous year, with weather during the seedfall year an important factor in determining seed viability and maturation (Alden, 1985; Roland et al., 2014).

Propagation Profiles

Seeds cones are highly susceptible to pathogens, such as spruce cone rust *Chrysomyxa pirolata*, and predation by rodents and insects. Alden (1985) reviews several species of insects that can infest cones with no external evidence of inhabitation, which should be considered when collecting cones for harvest. Seed viability is highest during peak seed dispersal which generally ranges from late summer through early fall; in interior Alaska, peak seed dispersal occurs in September (Abrahamson, 2015). Seeds may continue to be released after that, but seed viability drops considerably (e.g., seed viability in stands at Bonanza Creek outside of Fairbanks was 72% in September, and reduced to 29% by March (Zasada, 1985).

Because of the high degree of variability in seed cone crop abundance, seed vigor, and seed maturation while in the cone, timing collection efforts is difficult. Alden (1985) summarizes some key criteria: "In general, white spruce cones should be collected only after the length of the embryo is 75% of the length of the embryo cavity, seed coat and wings begin to darken, cone-moisture content declines to 150% or less of dry weight, specific gravity is less than 0.95, cones begin to yellow or brown, and scales begin to loosen on the earliest-maturing cones." Collecting seed cones 2-4 weeks prior to ripening, with plans for after-ripening of seeds while still in cones, may ensure seeds reach full vigor (Alden, 1985; Youngblood & Safford, 2008). Facilitate post-harvest ripening of premature cones by air-drying collected cones in half-filled burlap sacks or open screens for a few weeks at 5-15°C and 60-75% relative humidity. Cones may also be ripened post-harvest under field conditions provided there is adequate ventilation (Alden, 1985). Remove seeds from cones promptly once ripened, as failing to remove them on time can adversely impact seedling growth rate after germination (Alden, 1985).

Topping trees may stimulate cone production while also managing tree height for future collection efforts (Alden, 1985).

Holloway (2024) summarizes her guidance on seed collection:

"Cones need to be harvested before the scales open and release seeds. They change from green to tan to brown when fully mature. Cone opening is determined by maturity as well as humidity. Cones/scales do not shatter when mature. It is common for cones to open, then reverse and close in rainy weather. They can be hand harvested using knives, clippers, pruning saws... They can also be removed from cut timber during commercial harvest... Some people place screened seed capture trays beneath the trees and capture winged seeds as they fall to the ground. (You lose a lot if the wind is blowing or the humidity is high, and cones do not open fully). Winged white spruce seeds can float far away from their source with even a slight breeze."

- **Seeds per/lb**

135-400,000 seeds/lb (Youngblood & Safford, 2008).

500,000 seeds per kilogram; an individual tree may produce 8-12,000 cones during good years, equivalent to 35 liters, or 250,000 seeds (Burns & Service, 1990).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Extraction and processing of ripened seeds from cones requires careful handling and procedures, and in some cases represents an iterative process. Once cones have matured, expose to additional drying under heat to flex the cones scales and maximize seed extraction: Place cones in convection kiln for 6-24 hours at 38-49°C; some experimentation may be necessary to

Propagation Profiles

determine the lower maximum temperatures within that range to avoid seed damage (Youngblood & Safford, 2008). Once cones are dried, tumble or shake seeds from opened cones. Any seed cones that fail to re-open can be remoistened, redried, and retumbled. Seed collections with considerable amounts of debris can be cleaned with an oscillating screen scalper. Take care when removing wings from the seed coat to avoid damaging seed; removal of wings can be facilitated by pre-soaking with a fine water mist (Alden, 1985). For further recommendations, see Alden (1985) Chapter 3: Seed Supply and Handling.

Holloway (2024) summarizes additional guidance regarding seed processing:

"Foresters have specific methods for determining the maturity of cones. It is worthwhile connecting with US or State Forestry personnel in the area to learn methods (e.g. moisture content, specific gravity) and possibly use their equipment. Just because there's a cone doesn't mean there are seeds inside, and often, normal-looking black seeds can be unfilled (no embryo). Harvest cones when they turn from green to tan to light brown and before scales open. Place them in a bag or on a covered tray so the scales separate. In wet areas, an oven dryer works well to dislodge seeds. A lot of seeds will fall out, but many are stuck in the cone scales. Foresters shake the cones to dislodge the seeds. This can be done by hand, by bouncing the cone around in a closed paper bag, or with special cone tumblers or vibrators. If the seeds are not released, cones can be remoistened (scales close) and redried (scales open up) to release the seeds. Dry cones on screens that allow seeds to fall through the screen. Avoid breezy areas because seeds are winged and can blow around. Once separated from the cone, rub off the wings using a screen or hand rubbing in a cloth bag. Use an air screen cleaner to separate seeds from their papery wings."

- **Storage**

Seeds remain viable for 1 year in the wild; clean and dry seed may retain viability up to 10 years in storage (Alden, 1985). Seed viability was reportedly maintained for 5-17 years when hermetically stored at 2-4°C with 4-8% moisture content (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Other trials on file with the SER Seed Information Database report seeds to retain viability after 10-20 years at 4-8% moisture content stored between -10°C and 3°C. Alden (1985) recommends drying seeds at 25-30°C to stabilize seed moisture content and then storing at 4-8% moisture content between 2 and -18°C. Germination rates of seeds stored 7 years at -18°C to 3°C and 7% moisture was similar to germination rate of freshly collected seed (Youngblood & Safford, 2008). Store in 4-10 mil polyethylene bags to maintain moisture content within specifications and ensure seed longevity (Youngblood & Safford, 2008).

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

Seeds will germinate without stratification, but germination may be accelerated by stratification (Youngblood & Safford, 2008). Timing and handling of collected seeds is thought to affect prechilling requirements. For nursery propagation, pre-chilling seed at 2-4°C is recommended (Burns & Service, 1990). In some Alaska-based studies, stratification of mature seeds collected from high latitudes was detrimental to germination (Youngblood & Safford, 2008). Holloway (1985) similarly reports that for fully mature seed, pretreatments did not generally increase germination percentage. However, Densmore (1979) found that for seeds collected on the Kenai

Propagation Profiles

Peninsula, cold stratification at 2-5°C for 84-99 days significantly increased germination. Densmore also found that, across all light and stratification treatments, unstratified seeds exposed to a long light cycle had the highest germination rate (90+%), suggesting that the efficacy of stratification requirements may ultimately be dependent on photoperiod implemented during germination.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

For Alaskan seed, Holloway (1985) advises sowing in spring or fall, or germinate on filter paper or in 3:1 peat/vermiculite at 20-25°C (68-77°F). She provides additional guidance:

"Sow seeds in tree tubes such as Spencer Lemaire or Roottrainer® Hillson books. Do not use shallow plant trays and cell packs. It is critical that tree roots have plenty of room to grow downward, and containers need openings in the bottom to promote fibrous root development. Sow 2-3 seeds per tube (based on germination test) filled with a friable potting mix (often 3:1 (v:v) peat/perlite or peat/vermiculite). Sow on the surface, then cover with fine gravel, perlite or vermiculite. Keep moist during germination and fertilize weekly with liquid complete fertilizer (e.g. 18-18-18) after seedlings are 2 – 4 cm (1 – 1.5 in) tall. Seed germination should be timed so seedlings are planted outdoors before they are 6 mos old. Older seedlings left in the tubes or ones overwintered in the tubes, can have significant root growth problems (girdling) that can impact growth for many years after planting."

In general, it is advised to germinate seeds at a mean temperature of 10-24°C (Burns & Service, 1990). Diurnal temperature changes can be implemented to facilitate germination. See Burns and Service (1990) for an extensive summary on the interaction between light intensities and temperatures for affecting germination; overall they advise 400 lumens/m² with a thermoperiod cycle of 25°C/20°C for day and night. In general, light durations of less than 14 hours may cease growth, while light cycles of 16 hours or more can accelerate growth.

Densmore's (1979) study suggests that for unstratified seed, long light cycles appear to facilitate germination and that photoperiodic control could break conditional dormancy, especially at lower temperatures. In this study, exposing seeds to a long (e.g., 22 hours/2 hours dark) day light cycle resulted in the highest germination (90%+); across all light conditions, higher germination rates occurred at lower (<20°C) temperatures.

Youngblood and Safford (2008) summarize germination methods for stratified seed, advising to pre-chill 14-21 days at 3-5°C under light, on top of blotter. Pre-chill 2-4°C for 6 weeks to achieve high germination rate; or run under cold water for 24 hours, blot dry, refrigerate for 4°C for 3 weeks; stratification may be bypassed when storing cones at 5°C for 4 weeks.

For growing medium, Youngblood and Safford (2008) instruct providing a mineral mixture with high water retention potential, such as rotten wood, shallow duff, or organic soil and mineral mixtures. A commercial mix of equal parts sphagnum peat moss and vermiculite, or sphagnum peat moss, peat moss, and vermiculite will facilitate a high stem caliper and stem height, and heavy stem and root system for containerized seedlings.

Finally, note that germination is negatively impacted for seeds treated with insecticides, fungicides, and rodent repellent (Youngblood & Safford, 2008).

Propagation Profiles

- **Establishment phase**
Once germinated, extend light/simulated daylength to prevent dormancy and facilitate growth; 414 -4150 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{sec}$ (Youngblood & Safford, 2008).
- **Active growth phase**
Macro and micro nutrients and rhizobacteria will promote seedling emergence (Youngblood & Safford, 2008). Reductions in photoperiod, nitrogen stress, and moisture stress will elicit dormancy in seedlings. To break dormancy, expose seedlings to 4-6 weeks at 0°C or lower (Youngblood & Safford, 2008).
- **Hardening phase**
Reduce photoperiod to trigger hardening off (Youngblood & Safford, 2008).
- **Harvest, storage & shipping**
Picea glauca is sensitive to shock during transplanting and susceptible to “check,” this can be minimized by treatments that promote early root growth (Burns & Service, 1990).

For in-depth descriptions of various techniques implemented to increase seed cone production for harvest among cultivated stands of *Picea* spp., see Youngblood and Safford (2008).

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**
For best rooting ability, target young/juvenile trees for cuttings; trees 10-15 years old or older may not be as successful (Burns & Service, 1990). Summer stem cuttings may also be taken from lower lateral branches, for grafting and tissue culture, which is sometimes used to build clonal tree nurseries, but this method is not often used for day-to-day propagation since propagation by seed is preferable (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

- Abrahamson, I. (2015). *Picea glauca*, white spruce (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/picgla/all.html>
- Alden, J. (1985). *Biology and Management of White Spruce Seed Crops for Reforestation in Subarctic Taiga Forests* (Bulletin 69). University of Alaska, Fairbanks.
- Burns, R. M., & Service, U. S. F. (1990). *Silvics of North America*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Densmore, R. V. (1979). *Aspects of the Seed Ecology of Woody Plants of the Alaska Taiga and Tundra*. Duke University.
- Holloway, P. (1985). Propagation of Alaska Native Plants. *Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference*, 52–63.

Propagation Profiles

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Nesom, G., & Guala, G. (2003). *Plant Guide White Spruce Picea glauca (Moench) Voss Plant Symbol=PIGL*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. https://plants.usda.gov/DocumentLibrary/plantguide/pdf/pg_pigl.pdf

Roland, C. A., Schmidt, J. H., & Johnstone, J. F. (2014). Climate sensitivity of reproduction in a mast-seeding boreal conifer across its distributional range from lowland to treeline forests. *Oecologia*, 174(3), 665–677.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Youngblood, A., & Safford, L. (2008). *Picea A. Dietr. Spruce*. In F. T. Bonner & R. P. Karrfalt (Eds.), *The Woody Plant Seed Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Zasada, J. (1971). *Natural regeneration of interior Alaska forests—Seed, seedbed and vegetative reproduction considerations* (Fire in the Northern Environment - A Symposium: Proceedings., p. Pages 231-248). USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Zasada, J. C. (1985). *Production, dispersal, and germination of white spruce and paper birch and first-year seedling establishment after the Rosie Creek fire* (Misc Pub 85-2; Early Results of the Rosie Creek Fire Research Project, 1984, p. Pages 34-37). University of Alaska-Fairbanks Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station.

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Polemonium acutiflorum*

Synonyms: *Polemonium caeruleum* ssp. *villosum*

Common name (s): tall Jacob's-ladder

Family: Polemoniaceae


USDA PLANTS code: POAC

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Polemonium acutiflorum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Unavailable.

Habitat description:

Wet meadows, streamsides, thickets, tundra (Hultén, 1968; Klinkenberg, 2020).

Elevation range:

Middle to subalpine (Pojar and MacKinnon, 1994). In British Columbia, this species is found from sea level to 2440 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Adapted to medium and coarse soils (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Shade tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Low/none (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Low forage (generally 5-10% of diet) and low over value for small mammals (Quinlan & Cuccarese, 2004).

Restoration value:

Unavailable.

Primary reproductive mode:

Primarily by seed but may also be propagated from cuttings and division (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Unavailable.

Ease of growing:

Unavailable.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Fruit consists of tiny brown capsules opening at the top. Capsules have variable ripening on individual plants, so repeated harvests are necessary. Once capsules open, seeds can be scattered with the slightest movement or breeze. Harvest entire capsules by hand; cut multiple stems, then dump upside down into a paper bag; or use electric shears to cut stems and dump them into a tray. With electric shears, you get a variety of maturities, so harvest is timed for greatest capsule maturity."

- **Seeds per/lb**

464,940 seed per pound (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Many seeds dislodge easily from capsules after drying on screens or trays. Others remain stuck in the bottom of the capsule and require gentle rubbing on a screen. Air/screen cleaners are best for separating seeds from chaff."

- **Storage**

Seeds stored frozen may maintain 50% or greater viability for at least 5 years (Holloway, 2024).

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

- **Germination rates and techniques**

The PMC (2004) recommends soaking fresh seed for 24 hours before fall sowing, or direct sowing in spring after cold storage. In one study seeds were germinated in petri dishes lined with moist filter paper and exposed to continuous fluorescent light and 21°C; authors reported only 4% germination after 30 days, concluding that more complex germination requirements were at play. In outdoor plots, seeds successfully established on both irrigated and unirrigated plots, and bloomed mid to late August (Rutledge & Holloway, 1994).

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Tiny black seeds may be sown outdoors in spring or autumn. Indoors, sow onto sterile germination medium and cover lightly with fine vermiculite. No special pretreatments necessary. Seedlings appear in 10-14 days at 68°F (20°C)."

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Plants may be propagated through division in spring, just as growth begins (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Alaska Plant Materials Center. (2004). *Guidelines for Planting Seeds of Alaska Native Plants from the Native Plant Nursery*. <http://plants.alaska.gov/pdf/GuidelinesShortProtocolsfor2004Seed.pdf>

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Propagation Profiles

Quinlan, S., & Cuccarese, S. (2004). *Native Alaskan and exotic plants used by wildlife*. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Pojar, J., & MacKinnon, A. (1994). *Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast: Washington, Oregon, British Columbia & Alaska*. The BC Ministry of Forests and Lone Pine Publishing.

Rutledge, O. C., & Holloway, P. S. (1994). *Wildflower Seed Mixes for Interior Alaska* (Research Progress Report Number 31). Agricultural and Forestry Station, School of Agriculture and Land Resources Management, University of Alaska Fairbanks.

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Polemonium pulcherrimum*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name(s): Jacob's-ladder

Family: Polemoniaceae


USDA PLANTS code: POPU3

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: Unavailable

Polemonium pulcherrimum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Seeds propagated by Alaska Plant Material Center (seed source unknown), Palmer, Alaska (Moore & Hunt, 2006) - USDA Zone 5a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=polemoniaceae-polemonium-2747>

Bulkley Valley, Northwestern British Columbia (Burton & Burton, 2003) - USDA Zone equivalent 4b

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

Glacier National Park (Luna et al., 2002) – USDA Zone 5a

<https://npn.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=polemoniaceae-polemonium-126>

Habitat description:

P. pulcherrimum grows in dry, rocky places occurring in low to mid-elevation sites up to alpine habitats (Hultén, 1968; Hunt & Wright, 2007). Will colonize road shoulders, talus and scree slopes, and cliffs (Luna et al., 2002).

Elevation range:

Up to 2,000 meters (Hultén, 1968).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Adapted to gravel soils, with tolerance of saline conditions; does not tolerate wet soils (Wright & Czapla, 2013).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Shade tolerance:

Moderate (Burton & Burton, 2003).

Drought tolerance:

High (Wright & Czapla, 2013).

Disturbance tolerance:

Seedbank density and seedling emergence may be sensitive to disturbances that compact surface soils (Zabinski et al., 2000). Studies in Alaska suggest that *P. pulcherrimum* may also show sensitivity to soil toxicity associated with munitions exercises conducted on military training grounds (Doherty et al., 2019).

Community interactions:

Weak competitor (Wright and Czapla, 2013).

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Vigorous seedlings and tolerance of dry areas makes *P. pulcherrimum* a good candidate for revegetation projects (Hunt & Wright, 2007; Wright & Czapla, 2013). The 'Butte' germplasm, developed by the Alaska Plant Materials Center in Palmer, AK, is recommended for revegetation use and is reported to grow quickly and easily in appropriate ecosystems (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Primary reproductive mode:

Can be propagated by seed or plant division (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

P. pulcherrimum has deep, fibrous tap roots and strong ability to reseed itself in the wild (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Time collection just as or prior to seed ripening, as over-ripe seeds will dehisce and seed loss will occur (Burton & Burton, 2003). When ripe, seeds are red brown to black (Luna et al., 2002; Moore & Hunt, 2006). Moore and Hunt (2006) report hand-collecting seeds in July/August in Alaska, once "grayish" capsules began opening. In Northwest British Columbia, seeds were harvested by Burton and Burton (2003) from July to October, with ripe seeds often observed in June. Avoid collecting when wet, as seeds become slimy, which could be related to fungus (Burton & Burton, 2003; Hunt & Wright, 2007).

To collect, hold seed heads over bin or paper bag, and use hand clippers or scissors to clip seed heads into receptacle; store in well-ventilated shed before cleaning (Burton & Burton, 2003; Luna

Propagation Profiles

et al., 2002). For seed harvest from cultivated stands, place plastic between rows to minimize seed loss and recover dropped or dehiscent seed, and collect seeds from plastic immediately as they become slimy with ambient surface moisture (Burton & Burton, 2003).

- **Seeds per/lb**

The Alaska Plant Materials Center reports 413,115 seeds per pound for the 'Butte' germplasm (Hunt & Wright, 2007). Luna et al. (2002) report 704,000 seed per kg, for seed collected in Montana.

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Moore and Hunt (2006) report air drying seeds and cleaning seeds with a brush cleaner, hand screening, and air. Luna et al. (2002) reports cleaning seeds with a hammermill and 1/16" screen. Burton and Burton (2003) report cleaning seeds with a fanning mill, set with a 1.2 x 7.1 mm prescreen slot; 1.5 mm square top screen; and blank bottom screen. Chaff is then removed with a vacuum separator at medium suction.

- **Storage**

Moore and Hunt (2006) reports storing seed in a freezer, temperature unspecified. Seed may retain 88% viability after drying to moisture contents in equilibrium with 15% relative humidity, and freezing at -20°C for 47 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Scarification requirements**

Not required.

- **Stratification requirements**

Luna et al. (2002) report cold-moist stratifying Montana collected seed by placing in fine-meshed bags and burying in peat moss within ventilated containers for 60 days at 3°C. Protocols for germination of Alaska seed indicate no pre-treatment is required (Hunt & Wright, 2007; Moore & Hunt, 2006). Trials conducted by Burton and Burton (2003) using seed from Northern British Columbia determined that a 60-day, 5°C stratification reduced germination capacity, and also do not recommend pre-treating seed.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Seed requires light to germinate (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023). Germination trials from Canada report 100% germination over 35 days in 1% agar under an 8/16 hour light cycle at 15-20°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Additional treatments of 250mg/L gibberellic acid and thermocycles of 25/10°C also yielded 100% germination in 35 days. Three US trials (exact location unknown) germinating under the same temperature and light conditions report 60-78% germination rate over 63-70 days (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Moore and Hunt (2006), propagating Alaska seed under greenhouse conditions, planted 1 seed per cell, in a growing medium of well-drained upland soil. Seeds germinated after 10 days. Burton and Burton (2003) report highest germination capacity (75-93%) with untreated seed germinated under a day/night thermocycle of 25/15°C; seeds began emerging after 6 days with 50% capacity after 11 days.

Propagation Profiles

In their Montana greenhouse propagation, Luna et al. (2002) report a 75% germination rate. Seeds were direct sown into 172 mL cone-tainers filled with a growing medium of 6:1:1 milled sphagnum peat, perlite, and vermiculite, with 1 gram of Osmocote controlled release fertilizer (13N:13P₂O₅:13K₂O; 8 to 9 month release rate at 21°C) and 0.20 grams of Micromax fertilizer (12%S, 0.1%B, 0.5%Cu, 12%Fe, 2.5%Mn, 0.05%Mo, 1%Zn). Seeds were germinated inside a greenhouse where night temperatures were maintained at 16-18°C and day temperatures were maintained between 21-25°C. Seedlings emerged after 8 days. Seedlings were hand watered and moved to the outdoor nursery in mid-May (Montana), where they were irrigated early mornings.

To sow 'Butte' germplasm, seed at ¼" depth into well drained soil; broadcast seeding may also be used (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

- **Establishment phase**

Luna et al. (2002) report a 4 week establishment phase. For stand establishment, Burton and Burton (2003) recommend preparation of a weed-free, loamy, firm seedbed; selective herbicides are not recommended for use with this species.

- **Active growth phase**

Moore and Bunt (2006) reports minimal fertilization once true leaves appeared; overly rich soils can cause leaves to droop (Hunt & Wright, 2007). Burton and Burton (2003) advise keeping plots weed-free and recommend annual application of a low nitrogen fertilizer for stand maintenance and longevity. Luna et al. (2002) report plants becoming "root tight" after a 12-week active growth phase, with no flowering in the first year.

- **Hardening phase**

To harden, Moore and Hunt (2006) report moving plants to a lath-house. During their 4 week hardening phase in Montana, Luna et al. (2002) report fertilizing plants with 10-20-20 liquid NPK at a rate of 200 ppm during August and September, with irrigation tapering off from September to October and a final irrigation before winterizing plants.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Luna et al. (2002) report total time to harvest as 6 months, with plants overwintering outdoors under insulated foam covers and snow.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

P. pulcherrimum may be propagated by division (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

REFERENCES

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia (p. 168 pp). Symbios Research & Restoration.

Propagation Profiles

Doherty, S. J., Messan, K. S., Busby, R. R., & Barbato, R. A. (2019). Ecotoxicity of 2,4-dinitrotoluene to cold tolerant plant species in a sub-Arctic soil. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 21(10), 958–968.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Hunt, P., & Wright, S. (2007). Butte Germplasm beautiful Jacob's ladder *Polemonium pulcherrimum* Selected Class Release "Natural" (Alaska Plant Materials Center Plant Flyer). State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources Division of Agriculture Plant Materials Center.

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023). Native Plants Database. <https://www.wildflower.org/plants/>

Luna, T., Evans, J., & Wick, D. (2002). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Polemonium pulcherrimum* Hook. Plants 172 ml containers; USDI NPS - Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

Moore, N., & Hunt, P. (2006). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Polemonium pulcherrimum* Hook plants Alaska Plant Materials Center Palmer, Alaska (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). Germination Guide Plant List. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Wright, S. J., & Czapla, P. K. (2013). *Alaska Coastal Revegetation & Erosion Control Guide* (3rd ed.). State of Alaska Plant Materials Center.

Zabinski, C., Wojtowicz, T., & Cole, D. (2000). The Effects of Recreation Disturbance on Subalpine Seed Banks in the Rocky Mountains of Montana. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 78(5), 577–582.

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Pyrola asarifolia*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): liverleaf wintergreen

Family: Pyrolaceae


USDA PLANTS code: PYAS

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Subshrub

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Pyrola asarifolia



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Unavailable.

Habitat description:

Dry to moist sites, wooded areas (Gucker, 2007; Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Low to near timberline (Pojar and Mackinnon, 1994).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Moist, acidic soils with thick/deep litter layers (Gucker, 2007).

Shade tolerance:

High (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Unavailable.

Disturbance tolerance:

Low (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

Pyrola is a host and reservoir for inland spruce cone rust *Chrysomyxa pirolata*, a fungus disease affecting spruce trees and cones (Alden, 1985).

Some research indicates *Pyrola* might be mycoheterotrophic, meaning it gets all or some of its food from parasitizing fungi rather than through photosynthesis.; therefore it may be (at least partially) a parasitic plant (Bader, 2016; Hashimoto et al., 2012).

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Early seral species, also capable of persisting through late seral and climax stages. In Glacier Bay, Alaska, colonizes early successional glaciated rock surfaces (Cooper, 1930; Gucker, 2007).

Primary reproductive mode:

Most likely asexual; little is known but rhizomatous growth seems to be the dominant reproductive mode (Gucker, 2007).

Seed type:

Unavailable.

Ease of growing:

Difficult to grow and very difficult to germinate from seed (Downing, 1996).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**
Seeds are in a capsule which opens from the base (Holloway, 2024). Seeds are small and dust-like (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2024).
- **Seeds per/lb**
0.0001 grams per 1000 seeds (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).
- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**
Unavailable.
- **Storage**
Unavailable.
- **Scarification requirements**
Unavailable.
- **Stratification requirements**
Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Data is lacking. Some studies on *Pyrola* spp. and *Pyrola asarifolia* in other regions have found seeds to be mycoheterotrophic and therefore dependent on a symbiotic fungus for germination (Bader, 2016; Hashimoto et al., 2012). Consequently, plants may need soil native to the collection source for germination.

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Seeds of wintergreen are extremely tiny and are often called dust seeds. After many attempts, researchers at UAF have had no success developing a reliable method of germination. Research in Japan hints that germination, like that of orchids, requires association with mycorrhizal fungi that provide nutrients for the developing seed instead of an embryo or endosperm (Hashimoto et al., 2012). Japanese populations of *Pyrola asarifolia* showed roots full of the same mycorrhizae associated with nearby tree species such as *Betula* sp. If that is the case, a method of seed germination may be similar to orchid germination using micropropagation. Test tubes full of nutrients replace the mycorrhizal fungi in feeding the tiny seeds. Grown in flasks or test tubes, this method might provide an economical method of propagation for *Pyrola*."

In the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, germination of seeds in field-collected soils suggests that germination is best in unburned, heat-treated soils (Gucker, 2007). Germination was provoked by heating soils to 50°C for 1 hour; seedlings were more likely to emerge when germinated in soils collected in tufted hairgrass/sedge communities compared to forest and woodland soils (Clark, 1991; Gucker, 2007).

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Wintergreen produces shallow, elongate rhizomes that could be used for propagation. The only success at UAF was with clumps (12 x 12 in by 6 in deep; 30 x 30 x 15 cm) of forest soils collected and re-planted. Re-growth occurred 2 years later around the edges of the original clump. One attempt to propagate by harvested and cleaned rhizome cuttings planted into flats of peat, was not successful."

Propagation Profiles

REFERENCES

Alden, J. (1985). Biology and Management of White Spruce Seed Crops for Reforestation in Subarctic Taiga Forests (Bulletin 69). University of Alaska, Fairbanks.

Bader, G. (2016). Habitat characteristics and mycorrhizal fungi associated with extraordinary populations of native orchid species and *Pyrola asarifolia* (Ericaceae) on a mine tailings wetland in northern New York [PhD Thesis, SUNY College of Environmental Science & Forestry]. https://experts.esf.edu/view/pdfCoverPage?instCode=01SUNY_ESF&filePid=1356751070004826&download=true

Clark, D. L. (1991). The effect of fire on Yellowstone ecosystem seed banks. Montana State University.

Cooper, W. (1930). The seed-plants and ferns of the Glacier Bay National Monument, Alaska. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club, 57(5), 327–338.

Downing, J. (1996). Native Plant Materials for Economic Development in Southeast Alaska [Thesis, School of Agriculture and Land Resources Management, University of Alaska Fairbanks]. <https://scholarworks.alaska.edu/handle/11122/2812>

Gucker, C. (2007). *Pyrola asarifolia* (Fire Effects Information System, [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/forb/pyrasa/all.html>

Hashimoto, Y., Fukukawa, S., Kunishi, A., Suga, H., Richard, F., Sauve, M., & Selosse, M.-A. (2012). Mycoheterotrophic germination of *Pyrola asarifolia* dust seeds reveals convergences with germination in orchids. New Phytologist, 195(3), 620–630.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). Personal communication. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2024). *Pyrola asarifolia* (Liverleaf wintergreen), Native Plants of North America. Plants Database. https://www.wildflower.org/plants/result.php?id_plant=PYAS

Pojar, J., & MacKinnon, A. (1994). Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast: Washington, Oregon, British Columbia & Alaska. The BC Ministry of Forests and Lone Pine Publishing.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Taylor, R. F. (1932). The Successional Trend and Its Relation to Second-Growth Forests in Southeastern Alaska. Ecology, 13(4), 381–391.

USDA & NRCS. (2023). The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Propagation Profiles

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Rhinanthus minor*

Synonyms: n/a

Common name (s): little yellow rattle

Family: Scrophulariaceae

USDA PLANTS code: RHML13

Duration: Annual

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Rhinanthus minor



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Unavailable.

Habitat description:

Mesic to moist meadows; fields, pastures and lowland clearings from steppe to montane zones (Hultén, 1968; Klinkenberg, 2020).

Elevation range:

In British Columbia, occurs from 60-2073 meters (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Occurs on clay, sand, chalky soils, and sometimes on peat; prefers soils above 5.0 pH (Westbury, 2004).

Shade tolerance:

Low (Westbury, 2004).

Drought tolerance:

Low; likely drought intolerant (Westbury, 2004).

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

This plant is a therophyte (completes life cycle quickly and seeds can survive harsh conditions), and a facultative hemiparasite (partially parasitic but also produces its own food through photosynthesis). It grows on roots of grasses, legumes, and yarrow. Of three host species studied, Westbury (2004) report *Rhinanthus minor* plants connected to *Achillea millefolium* (common yarrow) were taller, more branched, and produced more seed capsules. Yellow rattle can stunt the growth of its host, reducing reproduction (Holloway, 2024).

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Because of its germination requirements and complex species and soil interactions, it is rare in some systems and can dominate in others. It is capable of readily invading unproductive grasslands amidst dense vegetation, when there is ample opportunity for root hemiparasitism, provided that light competition with other plants remains low (Hulst et al., 1987). It is widely used by gardeners and restoration practitioners to manage grass populations and promote native species and wildflowers; it partially bonds to root systems of grass species, thereby keeping grass populations in check and providing a foothold for wildflower species that might otherwise be outcompeted. European studies suggest its utility as a monitoring tool for grassland and meadow restoration, observing that abundance of *R. minor* indicates soil productivity capable of supporting both host and hemiparasite (Fry et al., 2017; Westbury et al., 2006).

Primary reproductive mode:

Only known to reproduce by seed (Westbury, 2004). Plants are self-compatible and mostly insect pollinated (Holloway, 2024).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

In the wild, it can be rare to sprout from seed, due to a lack of persistent seed bank and few seeds produced per plant (Westbury, 2004). In the absence of host plants, individuals stay stunted and may only produce seed under highly favorable “artificial” conditions (Hulst et al., 1987). In North America, use caution when sowing outdoors as it has potential to become weedy (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Seeds are large and winged, and readily eject from ripe capsules disturbed by wind or animals. The fruit is a tan/light brown, dry capsule; seed production is poor if a host plant is not present (Holloway, 2024). Holloway further writes:

“Capsules change from green to tan/brown when mature. They crack open at the top and can release seeds by wind or other disturbance. Tan/light brown winged seeds fall to the bottom of the capsule and “rattle” when shaken. Harvest entire capsules or stems into a paper bag or tray

Propagation Profiles

before the capsules open. Spread onto drying screens to allow for capsules to dry thoroughly. Seeds may fall out of capsules, but many need to be crushed lightly to release all seeds.”

Seed production in the absence of hosts and/or in the absence of nutrients is reportedly minimal with as few as 1-2 seed capsules per plant; commercially grown plants may yield seed at 40-100 kg/ha, depending on weather and sward (host/plot community) conditions (Westbury, 2004).

- **Seeds per/lb**
Unavailable.
- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**
Use a seed blower or air/screen cleaner to separate seeds from chaff; viability rapidly declines the longer seeds are dried, so processing should be quick (Holloway, 2024).
- **Storage**
The SER Seed Information Database reports the oldest known collection to be 15 years old, with average germination from 93-94% (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Westbury (2004) maintained seed viability for 21 months by exposing to an extended 36-week chilling period at 3°C, followed by a return to naturally cold temperatures outdoors, and reported 99% germination.
- **Scarification requirements**
Experimental treatments involving scarification have not demonstrated an increase in germination rate.
- **Stratification requirements**
Seed has intermediate physiological dormancy; a long period of cold stratification is required (Ter Borg, 2005). Review by Westbury (2004) advises breaking dormancy by exposing seed to 2-6°C for 3 months. In a test of European seed lots, stratification at 5°C for 13-37 weeks was sufficient to break dormancy, resulting in up to 97% germination (Marin et al., 2017).
- **Germination rates and techniques**
Holloway (2024) writes:
“Seeds are short lived when dry and should be planted outdoors in autumn very soon after harvest. Indoors, seeds germinate best after a 3-month cold stratification period. Sow onto sterile seed starting mix at 21°C (70°F). Germination begins in 10 days, but it can be prolonged depending on how long the seeds remain dry. For container culture, plan to include a host plant in the pots.”

Additional information on germination protocols relevant to North American is sparse. However, this species is a model research plant in Europe for studying host-plant interactions with respect to grassland restoration, and studies occasionally include a propagation component.

One study featuring wild collected seed in Belgium determined that wet (compared to dry or moist) soil conditions adversely affect germination and flowering rate, yet promoted flower and seed production (Ducarme & Wesselingh, 2010). In general, cold stratification at 2-6°C for 3 months is recommended (Westbury, 2004). Germination may be accelerated by exposing seed to an increasing range of warmer temperatures during final weeks of stratification (Ter Borg,

Propagation Profiles

2005). The Ontario Rock Garden and Hardy Plant Society (2023) similarly recommends sowing seed at 4°C for 3 months, followed by an increase to 20°C for 3 months.

Westbury's (2004) United Kingdom germination trials sought to determine the effects of various storage conditions and protocols. The highest germination rates (69%) were achieved with freshly collected seed placed in darkened, refrigerated storage at 3°C for 14 weeks. Seeds held first for 5 weeks in dry storage at 22°C, followed by exposure to 3°C for 14 weeks had 55% germination rate; seeds placed in moist storage preceding exposure to 3°C had the poorest germination result (21%). Authors also evaluated the effect of breaking the 3°C refrigeration period with subsequent warming to 16°C; these treatments all returned poor results regardless of seed storage conditions (fresh, dry, moist). Authors note that alpine and arctic collected seeds may require lower temperatures (e.g., 2°C) for germination.

Of note, trials comparing laboratory germination rates among commercially available European seed lots demonstrated that mean germination time and percent emergence were key indicators of seed vigor, corresponding with subsequent plant establishment, growth, and host-parasite relations, with possible repercussions for subsequent year seed lots (Marin et al., 2019). Authors recommend these characteristics as important metrics to monitor commercial seed quality.

Finally, European researchers report the successful application of a tetrazolium test (TTC) to identify dormant versus dead *R. minor* seeds for commercial applications, and predict germination within 2 days (Marin et al., 2017). However, P. Holloway warns that although tetrazolium tests are a promising tool, use caution and use in appropriate context. She explains: "Tetrazolium is most accurate with crop seeds where germ percentages are very high (>80%). There is also a tendency to receive false positives/negatives because the tetrazolium solution does not stain the embryo, but rather the outer seed layers. Just because it turns pink does not necessarily mean seeds are viable. Also, if the TTC soak is too long, all tissues will eventually turn pink so timing is important. Or if you do the TTC test in light rather than darkness it can mean false positive. A lot of literature on seeds uses TTC, but I am highly skeptical of its use on wild collected seeds. It is a lot trickier that you might think."

- **Establishment phase**

For seeds directly sown in meadows into freshly raked or harrowed soil, few plants are expected to establish during the first year. Allow numbers to naturally increase in subsequent years from seeds shed from newly established plants (PlantLife, 2024).

- **Active growth phase**

As with germination techniques, scant information was located for plant establishment and growth pertaining to North America populations. The following guidelines are provided for UK-based gardeners: For plants established by direct sowing in the field, keep meadow cut/mowed after seed has been shed in late summer, and maintain at ankle height during fall for overwintering (PlantLife, 2024).

Westbury (2004) advises that low concentrations of chlorophyll can stunt plants, as they have limited ability to assimilate inorganic nitrogen. Stimulate growth with phosphate (Na_2HPO_4) to enhance plant height and biomass, and promote efficient water use by plants (Seel et al., 1993).

Propagation Profiles

The addition of soil amendments and fertilizers can mitigate susceptibility of host plants to parasitic affects by *R. minor*.

- **Hardening phase**
Unavailable.
- **Harvest, storage & shipping**
Unavailable.

REFERENCES

Baskin, J. M., & Baskin, C. C. (2002). Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) *Rhinanthus minor* ssp. *Minor* L. plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources. <https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=scrophulariaceae-rhinanthus-1902>

Ducarme, V., & Wesselingh, R. A. (2010). Performance of two *Rhinanthus* species under different hydric conditions. *Plant Ecology*, 206(2), 263–277.

Fry, E. L., Pilgrim, E. S., Tallowin, J. R. B., Smith, R. S., Mortimer, S. R., Beaumont, D. A., Simkin, J., Harris, S. J., Shiel, R. S., Quirk, H., Harrison, K. A., Lawson, C. S., Hobbs, P. J., & Bardgett, R. D. (2017). Plant, soil and microbial controls on grassland diversity restoration: A long-term, multi-site mesocosm experiment. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 54(5), 1320–1330.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). Personal communication. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hulst, R. V., Shipley, B., & Thériault, A. (1987). Why is *Rhinanthus minor* (Scrophulariaceae) such a good invader? *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 65(11), 2373–2379.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Marin, M., Laverack, G., Matthews, S., & Powell, A. A. (2019). Germination characteristics of *Rhinanthus minor* influence field emergence, competitiveness and potential use in restoration projects. *Plant Biology*, 21(3), 470–479.

Marin, M., Toorop, P., Powell, A. A., & Laverack, G. (2017). Tetrazolium staining predicts germination of commercial seed lots of European native species differing in seed quality. *Seed Science and Technology*, 45(1), 151–166.

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). Germination Guide Plant List. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

Propagation Profiles

PlantLife. (2024). Plantlife Meadows | Yellow rattle. PlantLife Meadows Hub. <https://meadows.plantlife.org.uk/making-meadows/yellow-rattle/>

Seel, W. E., Parsons, A. N., & Press, M. C. (1993). Do inorganic solutes limit growth of the facultative hemiparasite *Rhinanthus minor* L in the absence of a host? *New Phytologist*, 124(2), 283–289.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). Seed Information Database. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Ter Borg, S. J. (2005). Dormancy and germination of six *Rhinanthus* species in relation to climate. *Folia Geobotanica*, 40(2), 243–260. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803238>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). Alaska Flora Quick Maps, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Westbury, D. B. (2004). *Rhinanthus minor* L. *Journal of Ecology*, 92(5), 906–927.

Westbury, D. B., Davies, A., Woodcock, B. A., & Dunnett, N. P. (2006). Seeds of change: The value of using *Rhinanthus minor* in grassland restoration. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 17(4), 435–446.

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Salix alaxensis*, *S. barclayi*, *S. lucida* ssp. *lasiandra*

Synonyms: see USDA Plants Database

Common name(s): feltleaf, Barclay's, Pacific


Family: Salicaceae

USDA PLANTS code: SAAL, SABA3, SALUL

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Shrub, tree

Wetland indicator status: *S. alaxensis*: FAC
S. barclayi and *S. lucida* ssp. *lasiandra*: FACW



WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

S. alaxensis - Propagation from summer stem cuttings in Fairbanks, Alaska (Holloway & Peterburs, 2009)
- USDA Zone 3b

Propagation of *Salix* sp. from cuttings (species and collection source location unknown) Moscow, Idaho -
USDA Zone 6b (Morrison et al., 2009)

<https://nnp.rngr.net/renderNPNProtocolDetails?selectedProtocolIds=salicaceae-salix-3519>

Habitat description:

S. alaxensis occurs along creeks and rivers with fast flowing water, along wet meadows and thickets, lakeshores, talus slopes, and gravel floodplains; it occurs in wetlands, alpine meadows, and young forests (Collet, 2002; Innes, 2014).

S. barclayi forms thickets along central and western southcentral Alaska coast, occurring along shores, bogs, mixed forests and forest clearings (Collet, 2002; Hultén, 1968). It is the dominant species found in subalpine thickets and moist disturbed sites south of the Alaska Range (Collet, 2004).

S. lasiandra is found in wet habitats: riverbanks and lakeshores, floodplains, pond edges, fast flowing streambanks, and sandbars along streams (Collet, 2004; Hultén, 1968; Pojar & MacKinnon, 1994).

Elevation range:

S. alaxensis occurs up to 1,800 meters; found in coastal to sub-alpine and alpine zones (Hultén, 1968; Innes, 2014; Klinkenberg, 2020). *S. barclayi* is recorded up to 2,300 meters in British Columbia; steppe to alpine and alpine zones (Klinkenberg, 2020). *S. lucida* does best at low elevation sites (Moore, 2002).

Propagation Profiles

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Both *S. alaxensis* and *S. barclayi* are adapted to fine, coarse, and medium soils, with high anaerobic tolerance; *S. lasiandra* occurs on similar soil characteristics yet is intolerant of medium soils, as this species tends to prefer heavy damp soils (Moore, 2002; USDA & NRCS, 2023). *S. alaxensis* is generally found among mineral soils with thin organic litter, slightly acidic to slightly alkaline nutrient-rich content with good drainage and aeration; permafrost is commonly found beneath (Innes, 2014).

Shade tolerance:

All three willow species are shade intolerant (Innes, 2014; USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

All three willow species exhibit low drought tolerance (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Disturbance tolerance:

Willows can act as early seral species with high capability for vegetative regeneration, and in general tolerate natural disturbances. *S. alaxensis* in particular is flood tolerant and can sprout after and survive low to medium intensity fires; however, browsing pressure from moose and snowshoe hares can severely suppress early seral stands (Innes, 2014).

Community interactions:

Willow species in general are typical of early seral plant communities, with no adverse community interactions known (Innes, 2014).

Wildlife associations:

Willows are a critical source of browse and cover for moose, snowshoe hares, ptarmigan, and other small mammals (Innes, 2014).

Restoration value:

Willows present excellent candidates for habitat restoration, erosion control and streambank stabilization, and reclamation; propagation from stems is an easy, reliable and recommended method for these sites (Collet, 2002; Innes, 2014; Moore, 2002).

Primary reproductive mode:

Willows propagate by seed and stem cuttings, and sprout vegetatively from root crowns and stem bases, and in some situations by layering (Innes, 2014). Propagation via stem cuttings and live staking is the predominant propagation technique.

Seed type:

S. alaxensis and *S. lasiandra* both exhibit orthodox seed characteristics; seed type is not listed for *S. barclayi* (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Because of the ease of propagating from cuttings, the majority of literature pertains to vegetative propagation. The main limitation to propagation from seed is collection in the wild (Dreesen, 2003). Seeds of these summer-dispersing willow species are short-lived (~8 weeks) with rapid decline in viability once seeds disperse, which should be taken into consideration when planning seed collection, handling, and storage (Zasada et al., 2008). Once germinated, seedlings undergo rapid growth, and transplantable

Propagation Profiles

seedlings can be produced within 1 growing season (Dreesen, 2003; Zasada et al., 2008). Cultivating seed stocks from reproductive cuttings of male and female plants can expedite the development of seedstock and bypass the challenges of viable wild seed harvest (Dreesen, 2003). Willows established from seed begin producing seed once 6-10 years old, whereas plants established from willow sprouts can flower as early as 3 years old (Innes, 2014).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

In Alaska, flowering and seed dispersal of *S. alaxensis* occurs in the wild from May to July; this is one of the earliest willow species to disperse seed, which generally co-occurs with receding spring floodwater (Innes, 2014). Catkins and leaves of *S. barclayi* and *S. lasiandra* start appearing mid-May to mid-June and disperse in mid-June; in alpine zones catkin development of *S. barclayi* may occur as late as mid-August, with possible repercussions on seed maturity (Collet, 2004).

Seed viability for summer-dispersing willow species is notoriously short-lived (Zasada et al., 2008). Schedule field collection as close to seed dispersal as possible, monitoring catkin color as it approaches yellow to yellow-brown at maturity and the capsule begins to open (Zasada et al., 2008). Seed dispersal may occur over several days during warm, dry, and windy conditions or be prolonged up to a month during wet and cool weather. Collect catkins into paper bags to dry and avoid overpacking bags to ensure adequate air-flow within bags; keep bags out of direct sun. (Zasada et al., 2008).

- **Seeds per/lb**

Seed yield is highly variable. Collections of *S. alaxensis* seed on the Arctic slope yielded 7-10 seeds per capsule, roughly equivalent to 333-1,174 seeds per catkin (Zasada et al., 2008). Estimates of seeds per pound were not available for *S. alaxensis*, but harvest from Idaho of *S. lasiandra* reported 11,500 seeds/ pound (Zasada et al., 2008).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Seeds should be processed and stored immediately as exposure to room temperature will rapidly reduce viability. For summer-dispersing species like *S. alaxensis*, storing seed with cotton still affixed may also adversely affect viability, in addition to interfering with seedling density and distribution when sown (Zasada et al., 2008). To separate cotton from seed, place catkins in screen covered box in a single layer, housed in a warm (20-25°C) and dry (25-35% humidity) area with circulating air flow; once capsules open, transfer to a container where an airstream may be applied to coax cotton free from seed, and then use screens to filter any other debris (Zasada et al., 2008). Seed may also be separated from cotton with a 1-hp mini-shop vacuum (Dawes, 2003), described as:

"We cover a wooden table with newspapers to catch any seeds that might fall through, and on top of that place a piece of recycled plastic decking for greenhouse benches. This decking has 2.5-cm (1-in) square holes and provides some aeration. On top of the decking we lay a piece of fiberglass window/door screen. We spread the capsules thinly over the screen, and then lay another section of fiberglass screen over the capsules, anchoring the newspaper, screens, and capsules with a few push thumbtacks around the perimeter. After the capsules open (generally just a few days), we use a 1-horsepower mini-shop vacuum with a clean cloth filter to extract the

Propagation Profiles

seeds. We slowly and uniformly pass the nozzle over the top layer of screen. The seeds and just a little of the cotton are pulled through the screening; most of the cotton and the larger debris remains sandwiched in between. Inside the vacuum, the cotton adheres to the cloth filter and the seeds and minor debris collect in the bottom of the cannister. When the seedlot is finished, we simply pour the seeds into a zip-locktype bag and keep them refrigerated for a few days until they are sown."

- **Storage**

Seed viability will rapidly decline at room temperature: viability of *S. alaxensis* was lost following 1 month of room temperature storage (Zasada & Densmore, 1977). Pre-drying seed to 6-10% of dry weight is recommended along with immediately storing seed in sealed containers with constant humidity at temperatures of -5°– 40°C, to maintain viability longer than 6 months (Zasada et al., 2008). For storage longer than 3 years, results are mixed. While viability of *S. lasiandra* was maintained following 36 months storage at -19°C, germination of *S. alaxensis* declined by as much as 40% (dropping from 94.5% to 55%) after 36 months at -10°C (Densmore & Zasada, 1983; Zasada & Densmore, 1980).

- **Scarification requirements**

Scarification is not required.

- **Stratification requirements**

- For summer-dispersing willow species such as *S. alaxensis* and *S. lasiandra*, stratification is not required; overwintered seeds have not been found to germinate after dispersal (Baskin & Baskin, 2002; Innes, 2014; Zasada et al., 2008).

The USDA lists cold stratification as a requirement for germination of *S. barclayi* (USDA & NRCS, 2023); however, given that seed dispersal of this willow occurs in summer, as with other summer-dispersed willow species cold stratification is more likely not a germination requirement (Collet, 2004; Densmore, 1979; Zasada et al., 2008).

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germination of *S. alaxensis* is most successful on wet to moist mineral soils, with germination rate regularly exceeding 90% (Innes, 2014). Unlike fall-dispersing willow species, which require stratification to break dormancy, germination of *S. alaxensis* in the wild occurs 12-24 hours following dispersal, with no evidence of dormancy in response to temperature and germination primarily occurring at 5-30°C and declining at temperatures above 30°C (Zasada et al., 2008; Zasada & Viereck, 1975). Lab germination trials show 94-97% germination between 5-25°C; germination of seed previously frozen may range 59-74% (Baskin & Baskin, 2002, 2003; Zasada et al., 2008). Zasada and Densmore (1980), working with Alaska seed, reported 94.5% germination of fresh *S. alaxensis* seed at 25°C; seeds stored 36 months reached their maximum germination rates of 67-74% when germinated at 15-20°C.

To sow fresh seed, sow immediately after seed collection, between 15-25°C into sand, peat, or 3:1 sand-to-peat seedbed (Holloway, 1985). Or use a mix of *Sphagnum* peat moss and perlite, which provides good aeration, light and moisture and allows small seeds to nest between moss particles (Dreesen, 2003). Mini-plug containers, either 14 x 14 x 29mm (for 2-3 week growth) or 19 x 19 x 63mm (for 4-6 week growth) provide adequate size for germination while conserving

Propagation Profiles

nursery space (Dreesen, 2003). Press - but do not bury - seed into a moist seedbed; maintain moisture by fine misting spray and/or with use of close shading to preserve humidity (Zasada et al., 2008). High moisture is important for germination, especially for seed that has been frozen (Innes, 2014). Germination rate may be higher with light exposure, however light is not a requirement for germination (Densmore & Zasada, 1983; Zasada et al., 2008). Once germinated, remove any shading as seedlings thrive in full light (Zasada et al., 2008).

- **Establishment phase**

For greenhouse plugs, growing medium must be kept moist. Dreesen (2003) recommends covering benches/tables where plug trays sit with a copper-coated fabric to “reduce root egress from cells,” and places filter fabric underneath copper fabric to encourage water movement. A soluble nitrogen fertilizer of 200 mg/l is applied 1-2 times per week. Plugs are transplanted into 164 mL Ray Leach Super Cells™ SC-10 containers filled with 2:1 mix of Sunshine Mix (#1 or #2) to 1 part perlite (with CRF incorporated at 2.7 kg/0.765m³).

For in-situ/field planting in disturbed areas, presence, or amendment of planting area with native, organic rich soil was found to enhance establishment of *S. alaxensis* on disturbed floodplain sites, yet may impact seedling survival (Cooper & Van Haveren, 1994). Sufficient water was a critical component of establishment, and selection of restoration sites within 1-2 meters from water table is recommended for establishment of *S. alaxensis* from seed in the field (Cooper & Van Haveren, 1994).

- **Active growth phase**

Dreesen (2003) transplants seedlings from 164mL containers into 2.8l (1 gal.) Treepots™ filled with growing medium of aged bark, pumice, and peat (55:35:10 ratio by volume) and top-dressed with 15 grams of controlled release fertilizer per pot. Plants are grown under full sun and watered often.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

Stem cuttings

- **Collection**

Near Fairbanks, Alaska, harvest of *S. alaxensis* stem cuttings is recommended from early to mid July to ensure peak rooting success (Holloway & Peterburs, 2009). When identifying stands for stem cuttings, target both male and female plants to ensure genetic diversity and maximum sustainability of stand over the long run (Landis et al., 2003). Sex of plants can be identified when flowering in spring, and then labeling the individuals for later collection during dormant period; alternatively, identify dormant season willows through examination of floral buds (Landis et al., 2003). Male buds are larger and occur in upper branches just beneath terminal bud; sex can be further verified by slicing buds open with razor blade and inspecting (Landis et al., 2003).

Propagation Profiles

Steinfeld (2003) reports collecting *Salix* sp. cuttings (Oregon) in late fall, once leaves begin to drop, or early winter, prior to bud swell. Cuttings of equal ratios of male and female plants were harvested from healthy stems of current year's growth, with a minimum stem diameter of $\frac{3}{8}$ - $\frac{5}{8}$ " and presence of healthy, intact buds (Steinfeld, 2003)

- **Processing and Storage**

Store processed hardwood cuttings under refrigerated cold storage; otherwise cuttings can be stored in outdoor winter burial pits or packed with snow and cached in unheated buildings (Mathers, 2003).

Morrison et al. (2009), used 1 year-old rooted cuttings to establish a stooling bed. Following harvest in the field, stems were inspected for disease, and cut with a bandsaw into 7.5 cm (3") lengths. They targeted segments with stem diameters 13mm (0.5") or less. They advise selecting/planning cuttings such that one healthy bud is within top 1", with the second bud somewhere else on cutting. Cuttings were placed in sealed plastic bags and stored at 1°C.

Steinfeld (2003) sealed harvested stem cuttings in plastic bags and stored at 28-30°F until processing. Just before planting, stems were cut into segments 4-6" in length with at least 2 buds present, with the terminal bud $\frac{1}{2}$ " or less from top of cutting.

- **Planting techniques**

Root softwood or hardwood cuttings in aerated in water, sand or perlite (Holloway, 1985). Hardwood and softwood cuttings collected near Fairbanks rooted with similar performance, and majority of root formation occurred within 2 weeks of planting, irrespective of hormone and wounding treatment, base or tip cuttings, or planting in sand or perlite (Holloway & Zasada, 1979).

Holloway and Peterburs (2009) observed 80% or more rooting success from *S. alaxensis* stems harvested mid-July, using the following protocol:

"Cuttings were treated with 0.3% indole-3-butyric acid powder and propagated in perlite/vermiculite (1:1 by vol) under intermittent mist with bottom heat [26C (78F)] in a greenhouse with a minimum night greenhouse temperature of 15C (59F). Light consisted of natural daylight."

Provided that cuttings are made from healthy plants, Mathers (2003) suggests that rooting success should be high (90% or more) and rooting hormones are unnecessary. If using rooting hormone, consider the season of harvest. Indole-3-butyric acid had the strongest effect on increasing the quantity and length of primary roots of spring-harvested cuttings of willow species *S. glauca* and *S. planifolia*, collected In Northwest Territories, Canada (Ficko & Naeth, 2022).

Mathers (2003) advises to soak cuttings in tepid water for 48-72 hours prior to planting to stimulate primordial roots. Ficko & Naeth (2022) found that root length was longest with soaking of 10 days, with no repercussion to plant of an extended soak (Ficko & Naeth, 2022). However, Mathers advises to soak roots only until initial roots begin to swell, and cautions that any further growth is likely to become damaged upon sticking of cuttings (Mathers, 2003)

Propagation Profiles

Morrison et al.'s (2009) protocol reports that three days prior to planting, cuttings were soaked in running tap water, in the shade. Cuttings were then planted into 336 mL containers with a 1:1 growing medium of *Sphagnum* peat moss and vermiculite, and then watered to saturation and left to drain.

Steinfeld's (2003) propagation took place outside on gravel pads, graded for drainage, with no shade, and an overhead fixed irrigation system. Cuttings were planted into 1, 2, 3, or 4 gallon sized TreePots™ filled with SunGro Horticulture Grower's Gold Mix #1 (40% composted pine/fir bark (fine 3/8" minus), 35% Canadian sphagnum peat moss (growers grade) and 25% screened volcanic pumice (3/8" minus). Growing medium was pre-moistened, fertilized with a 6-10-6/fritted trace element starting fertilizer, and lightly tamped once cuttings were struck. Cuttings were struck such that the terminal bud was just above growing medium. Containers were placed on a rack system outfitted with hardware cloth on bottom and wire mesh above, featuring either 4 x 4" or 8 x 8" openings for support. Once established, they describe:

"Several weeks after seedlings have been transplanted, a isobutylidene diurea top dressing is applied to each container. Wil-Gro (Wilbur-Ellis), a 18-6-12 with Mg, S and Fe elements, is applied as follows: 1/16 cup for 1-gallon containers, 1/8 cup for 2, 3 and 4-gallon containers. Seedlings are only fertilized one time per year. If a seedling is held over for a second year, controlled-release fertilizer is again applied as a topdress in the spring."

Finally, Dreesen (2003), describing planting procedure for development of *Salix* sp. nursery seedstock, planted cuttings in either 164mL SC-10 RayLeachSuperCells™ top-dressed with 5-6 month controlled release fertilizer (Osmocote Plus 15N:9P₂O₅:11K₂O) at 3.5 kg/m³, or in 2.8l (1 gal) Treepots™ top-dressed with 15 grams of fertilizer. Containers were marked by gender, and once seed is produced it is collected, cleaned, and sown into a second generation of containers.

- **Establishment**

Establishment for Morrison et al. (2009) took place over 2 weeks, after which Peters Excel Cal-Mag (15N:5P₂O₅:15K₂O) was applied at 114 ppm N twice per week. They describe their pruning process as:

"During the growing season, cuttings are pruned 3 or 4 times, depending on growth and available workers to do the pruning. As soon as shoots reach 20 to 25 cm (8 to 10 in) in height, we prune them back to 15 to 20 cm (6 to 8 in). We let them grow another 15 cm (6 in) or so, and then remove half of the new growth achieved since the last pruning, repeating this process as needed. In early September, cuttings are pruned the last time to about 41 cm (16 in)."

Establishment for Steinfeld (2003) was reported as 1 month, during which seedlings were watered 1-2 times week.

- **Active Growth**

Active growth for Morrison et al. (2009) lasted 4 weeks, during which Peters Professional Conifer Finisher (4N:25P₂O₅:35K₂O) was applied at 24 ppm N every other week, until August. After this period, fertilization was alternated with Finisher with CAN-17 (liquid calcium ammonium nitrate [17N]) at 77 ppm N for the twice per week fertilization. Fertilization was ceased once leaves began turning color and dropping in fall (mid to late October in Idaho).

Propagation Profiles

Active growth for Steinfeld (2003) lasted 3 months, during which seedlings were hand weeded every 6 weeks and watered early morning with frequency determined by moisture of plug as compared to field capacity, no drier. Temperatures into the high 80s in their area dictated irrigation up to 4.5 hours every 2-3 days.

- **Hardening**

Both Morrison et al. (2009) and Steinfeld (2003) report a hardening phase of 3 months. Steinfeld (2003) report tapering off watering frequency starting in late summer, and transferring seedlings into unheated greenhouse overnight for early overnight freeze-ups. They further describe pruning protocol to minimize root circling at container bottoms:

“Roots will circle and amass at the bottom of the containers due to the container design. Per client request, the bottom inch of the root plug will be pruned during the hardening phase. This is accomplished by pulling the plug from the container and cutting off the end of the plug with an industrial-strength paper cutter. Clients with containers that will remain for two years will often chop the bottom inch of the container off using a chop saw with an abrasive blade. This leaves the bottom of the container completely open, which prevents spiraling the second year but makes the container unusable after extraction.”

- **Harvest, Storage and Shipping**

Morrison et al. (2009) report by late November rooted cuttings were removed by hand from pots and placed in labeled plastic bags, 5 per bag (stems may protrude from top opening of bags). They advise to check for healthy appearance in stems, and firm root systems with shoot diameter of 6mm or more. Bags were stored in “stack-and-nest” tote boxes and placed in cooler at 1°C for 4-5 months. They advise that small cuttings were easier to maintain and store yet still provide capability for production of larger cuttings. Overall, from 150 original cuttings they produced 4500 microcuttings that rooted with 99% success.

Steinfeld (2003) shipped seedlings in their original 1,2,3, or 4 gallon container, standing upright within cardboard boxes and shipped in enclosed trucks (refrigerated or unrefrigerated).

Live Staking

- **Collection and Processing**

All three species (*S. alaxensis*, *S. barclayi*, and *S. lasiandra*) are recommended by Collett (2002) as good candidates for propagation via dormant cuttings. Studies have shown variable establishment and survival, which could be related to season of harvest and soil characteristics at planting site (Holloway & Zasada, 1979; Zasada et al., 1987). Despite this, live staking can be effective and presents an easy technique with minimal labor. As with stem cuttings, ensure that equal mix of male and female individuals are harvested (Landis et al., 2003). From Casey Greenstein, Natural Resources Specialist with Homer Soil and Water Conservation District:

“Locate harvest sites during growing season, when leaves are still present on stems, to aid species identification. For identification of dormant willows, Dominique Collet’s “Willows of Southcentral Alaska” (Collet, 2002) provides good guidance. To maximize establishment success, harvest stakes while willows are dormant and before leaves appear, winter through early spring/end of March. Establishment rate can be reduced by as much as 50% for stakes harvested during the growing season. Use a 1/3 rule when harvesting: don’t collect more than

Propagation Profiles

1/3 of individual shrub or 1/3 of stems at a given site. Select cuttings for a target length of 3-4' long and 0.5 - 2" diameter. Cut off top few inches of stem at right angle, and cut the bottom of stem at 45° angle to facilitate planting end and identify top from bottom. Store stakes with small amount of snow to reduce drying during transport. To prepare stakes for planting, several stakes (10-18" in length) can be made from one cutting. Discard any buds ("pussy willows") less than 1/4" diameter, and leave 1-2 leaf buds (smaller than pussy willow buds) minimum per segment."

- **Planting**

Holloway (1985) advises that if direct planting, plant in spring, outdoors in a moist substrate. From Casey Greenstein, Natural Resources Specialist with Homer Soil and Water Conservation District:

"Plant stakes as soon as soil thaws, or before July 1. Immediately prior to planting, soak in cold water 24-48 hours; for our area (south central Alaska) longer soaking is better. Pre-punch planting holes with rebar, shovel or drill, and plant bottom end (marked by 45° cut) into hole with approx 3/4 of stake length underground and 1-2 leaf buds above ground. The stake should be planted deep enough to reach the water table on site. If more than 1-2 buds, 1/4 of the stake, or 4" of stake is still above the soil surface, trim it back to this height. Plant stakes 1-3' apart. Pack soil tightly around stakes, creating a moat around stems for water to collect. Stems can be planted with native grasses or hay mulch. For dry sites, use larger cuttings and more water; for shady sites plant with more above ground length to facilitate light exposure. At least 6" of buried portion of stake should be exposed in permanently wet soil to encourage rooting and minimize air pockets. Water stakes as necessary, establishment may take a couple years."

REFERENCES

Baskin, J. M., & Baskin, C. C. (2002). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Salix lasiandra Benth. Plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

Baskin, J. M., & Baskin, C. C. (2003). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Salix alaxensis (Anderss.) Coville plants University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

Collet, D. M. (2002). *Willows of Southcentral Alaska*. Kenai Watershed Forum.

Collet, D. M. (2004). *Willows of Interior Alaska*. US Fish and Wildlife Service.

Cooper, D. J., & Van Haveren, B. P. (1994). Establishing Felt-Leaf Willow from Seed to Restore Alaskan, U.S.A., Floodplains. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 26(1), 42-45.

Dawes, D. (2003). Using a Shop Vacuum to Clean Salicaceae Seeds. *Native Plants Journal*, 4(2), 140-140.

Densmore, R. (1979). *Aspects of the Seed Ecology of Woody Plants of the Alaska Taiga and Tundra*. Duke University.

Propagation Profiles

Densmore, R., & Zasada, J. (1983). Seed dispersal and dormancy patterns in northern willows: Ecological and evolutionary significance. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 61(12), 3207–3216.

Dreesen, D. R. (2003). Propagation Protocol for Container Willows in the Southwestern US Using Seeds. *Native Plants Journal*, 4(2).

Ficko, S. A., & Naeth, M. A. (2022). Influence of treatment on rooting of arctic *Salix* species cuttings for revegetation. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 54(1), 62–77.

Holloway, P. (1985). Propagation of Alaska Native Plants. *Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference*, 52–63.

Holloway, P., & Peterburs, M. R. (2009). Propagation of Twelve Alaska Native Plants by Summer Stem Cuttings. *Journal of Environmental Horticulture*, 27(4), 207–210.

Holloway, P., & Zasada, J. (1979). *Vegetative Propagation of 11 Common Alaska Woody Plants* (Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station Research Note PNW-334). Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Innes, R. (2014). *Salix alaxensis* (Fire Effects Information System [Online]). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/salala/all.html>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]*. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Landis, T. D., Dreesen, D. R., & Dumroese, R. K. (2003). Sex and the Single *Salix*: Considerations for Riparian Restoration. *Native Plants Journal*, 4(2), 110–117.

Mathers, T. (2003). Propagation Protocol for Bareroot Willows. *Native Plants Journal*, 4(2), 132–136

Moore, L. (2002). *Pacific Willow Salix lucida Muhl. Ssp. Lasianandra (Benth.) E. Murr.* (Plant Guide). USDA, NRCS, National Plant Data Center.

Morrison, S., Wenny, D. L., & Dumroese, R. K. (2009). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Salix spp. L. plants 336 ml (20.5 cu. in) containers; USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station Moscow, Idaho* (Native Plant Network). US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Center for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources.

Pojar, J., & MacKinnon, A. (1994). *Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast*. British Columbia Ministry of Forest and Lone Pine Publishing.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Propagation Profiles

Steinfeld, D. (2003). *Propagation protocol for production of Container (plug) Salix plants 1,2,3 and 4 gallon containers; USDA FS - J Herbert Stone Nursery Central Point, Oregon* (Native Plant Network).

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Zasada, J. C., & Densmore, R. V. (1977). Changes in viability during storage for selected Alaskan Salicaceae. *Seed Science and Technology*, 5, 509–518.

Zasada, J. C., & Densmore, R. V. (1980). Alaskan Willow And Balsam Poplar Seed Viability After 3 Years' Storage—Reforestation, Nurseries and Genetics Resources. *Tree Planter's Notes*, 31(2).

Zasada, J. C., Douglas, D., & Buechler, W. (2008). Salicaceae- The willow family. In F. T. Bonner & R. P. Karrfalt (Eds.), *The Woody Plant Seed Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Zasada, J. C., Norum, R. A., Teutsch, C. E., & Densmore, R. (1987). Survival and Growth of Planted Black Spruce, Alder, Aspen and Willow After Fire on Black Spruce/Feather Moss Sites in Interior Alaska. *The Forestry Chronicle*, 63(2), 84–88.

Zasada, J. C., & Viereck, L. A. (1975). The Effect of Temperature and Stratification on Germination in Selected Members of the Salicaceae in Interior Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 5(2), 333–337.

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Sanguisorba canadensis*
Synonyms: *S.c.* var. *latifolia*, *S.c.* ssp. *latifolia*, *S. sitchensis*, *S. stipulata*.

Common name (s): Canadian burnet

Family: Rosaceae

USDA PLANTS code: SACA14

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FACW

Sanguisorba stipulata



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Wild-harvested seeds from commercial supplier in Kenai – USDA Zone 4b-5a, and germinated in Fairbanks – USDA Zone 3b (Holloway & Matheke, 2003)

<https://rngr.net/npn/journal/articles/seed-germination-of-burnet-sanguisorba-spp>

Habitat description:

Moist to wet meadows and roadside ditches, swamps, forest openings and seepages (Holloway & Matheke, 2003; Klinkenberg, 2020).

Elevation range:

Middle elevations to subalpine.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Moist to wet soils with high organic matter content.

Shade tolerance:

Low/none (Klinkenberg, 2020).

Drought tolerance:

Low.

Propagation Profiles

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Good potential for roadside revegetation (Holloway, 2024).

Primary reproductive mode:

Primarily by seed but can also be divided (USDA & NRCS, 2023).

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Rapid germination under controlled conditions (Holloway & Matheke, 2003).

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes:

"Flower spikes hold up to 500 individual flowers in tight clusters that bloom from the bottom up. Fruit is an achene with 4-winged calyx held in a thimble-shaped cluster. Lower achenes mature first. Harvest when clusters begin to fall apart when rubbed together with your fingers. Harvest entire heads and dry on screens."

- **Seeds per/lb**

The Seed Information Database reports a mean seed weight of 11.51 grams/1000 seeds (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Gently hand-strip the seed from the inflorescence, then clean with an air column separator or air/screen cleaner. The calyx husk doesn't necessarily need to be removed.

- **Storage**

Seeds last at least 3 years when frozen (Holloway, 2024). Holloway and Matheke (2003) stored cleaned *Sanguisorba* seeds at 39°F for 6 months to 1 year and reported no significant declines in germination rate relative to freshly harvested seed. The Seed Information Database reports seeds maintaining 100% viability after drying to moisture content in equilibrium with 15% relative humidity and freezing at -20°C for 1.92 years (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Propagation Profiles

- **Scarification requirements**

Holloway and Matheke (2003) found no significant difference in germination rates between seeds with or without the papery calyx hull when removed by mechanical abrasion.

- **Stratification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Holloway (2024) writes:

“Outdoors, direct sow in autumn or spring. Indoors, sow onto sterile potting mix. Cover lightly with mix or vermiculite. Germination begins in about 3 - 5 days, 77°F (25°C), but germination is gradual over a 30-day period.”

Holloway and Matheke (2003) report germination rates of nearly 80% when grown at 25°C, but rates drop to 40-50% when growing temperature is decreased to 20°C or increased to 30°C. Their results do not indicate that cool, dry storage (39°F, 1 year), nor removal of the calyx hull significantly impact germination rates.

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

Plants may be propagated by division of the crown in spring, just as growth begins (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Holloway, P. S., & Matheke, G. E. (2003). Seed Germination of Burnet, *Sanguisorba* spp. *Native Plants Journal*, 4(2), 95–99.

Propagation Profiles

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). *E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia* [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. <https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

USDA & NRCS. (2023). *The PLANTS Database*. National Plant Data Team, United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. <https://plants.usda.gov/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Spiraea stevenii*

Synonyms: *S. beauverdiana*

Common name (s): beauverd spirea

Family: Rosaceae


USDA PLANTS code: SPST3

Duration: Perennial

Growth habit: Shrub

Wetland indicator status: FACU

Spiraea stevenii



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Nearest propagation protocol:

Fairbanks, Alaska (P. Holloway & Peterburs, 2009).

Habitat description:

Common plant, found in woods, alder thickets, meadows, and tundra bogs (Hultén, 1968).

Elevation range:

Low to alpine (Pojar and MacKinnon, 1994; Hultén, 1968).

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Organic soils, moist to dry soils (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023; Pojar and MacKinnon, 1994).

Shade tolerance:

Medium (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, 2023).

Drought tolerance:

Unavailable.

Disturbance tolerance:

Spiraea species in general are speculated to have high disturbance tolerance as they appear to thrive in recently fire- or flood-disturbed sites, with newly abundant light and other resources (Zasada & Stickney, 2008).

Propagation Profiles

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Unavailable.

Restoration value:

Zasada and Stickney (2008) suggest that *Spiraea* spp. are appropriate for native revegetation projects along riparian and wetland areas.

Primary reproductive mode:

Primarily seed, and can also regenerate from basal sprouting (Zasada & Stickney, 2008).

Seed type:

Unavailable for *S. stevenii*, but other species in this genus are orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

Zasada and Stickney (2008) report that seeds germinate readily with no pretreatment required, especially if sown before seeds dry out.

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Flowers from June-August with fruit maturing from July-September, depending on elevation and overstory (Zasada & Stickney, 2008). Fruit consists of tiny brown follicles that open at the top; harvest entire head just as follicles turn from green to brown. Seeds are tiny and can be shaken out of follicles into an envelope (Holloway, 2024).

- **Seeds per/lb**

Unavailable.

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Dry heads at room temperature on screens until fruits have fully opened, allow seeds to drop through the screens with a bit of gentle shaking (Holloway, 2024; Zasada & Stickney, 2008).

- **Storage**

Seeds can be stored for several months and up to 1 year (Zasada & Stickney, 2008). Frozen seeds may last 2 years or more in storage (Holloway, 2024).

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

Freshly collected seeds may be sown directly in the fall without stratification. If seeds are stored, then stratification is generally advised (Zasada & Stickney, 2008). Holloway (1985) suggests 90-day cold stratification at 4°C.

Propagation Profiles

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germinate under constant light and a 25/10°C thermocycle, or germinate under constant temperature within 20-25°C (Holloway, 1985). Holloway (2024) further writes:

"Some seeds germinate immediately, but a 60-90 day cold stratification treatment increases germination percentages and speeds up germination. Sow onto sterile seed starting mix, and do not cover. Keep moist. Seedlings appear beginning in about 2 weeks (70°F, 21°C), but germination can be slow over 6-8 weeks."

Calmes and Zasada (1982) cold stratified seeds collected from the boreal forest in Alaska for 30 days at 2°C and reported 95% germination when exposed to 10-25°C, and only 40% germination at 5°C. As with Densmore's (1979) findings, their trial determined that light was a requirement for germination; no seeds germinated in the dark regardless of stratification.

Ontario Rock Garden provides this general guideline for all *Spiraea* species: "Expose to fluctuating outdoor winter temperatures including freezing for 3 months. Gradually increase light and temperature in the spring" (Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society, 2023).

- **Establishment phase**

Unavailable.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Cuttings**

Collect semi-hardwood or hardwood cuttings from late June through mid-July, to allow time for root development (Holloway, 1985; Holloway, 2024; Holloway & Peterburs, 2009).

Treat cuttings with rooting hormone and insert into peat or sand in a mist bench (Holloway, 1985). Holloway and Peterburs (2009) describes the treatment applied to cuttings prior to rooting:

"Cuttings were treated with 0.3% indole-3-butyric acid powder and propagated in perlite/vermiculite (1:1 by vol) under intermittent mist with bottom heat [26°C (78°F)] in a greenhouse with a minimum night greenhouse temperature of 15°C (59°F)." Cuttings were exposed to naturally occurring daylight.

- **Division** - Plants may be propagated by division in spring, or late summer.

Propagation Profiles

- **Simple layering** - For simple layering, Holloway (2024) writes:
"Choose young, succulent, bendable stems near the ground. Wound the center of the stem, treat with rooting hormone, and bury in compost. Remove leaves from all parts of the stem that will be buried. Allow tip of leafy branch to emerge from the compost. Keep in place with a peg or rock. Cut from the mother plant early the next season. Sometimes it is better when the entire shrub is cut to the ground resulting in lots of young succulent stems that can be bent easily."

REFERENCES

Calmes, M., & Zasada, J. (1982). Some reproductive traits of four shrub species in the Black Spruce forest type of Alaska. *Canadian Field Naturalist*, 96(1), Pp.35-40.

Densmore, R. V. (1979). *Aspects of the Seed Ecology of Woody Plants of the Alaska Taiga and Tundra*. Duke University.

Holloway, P. (1985). Propagation of Alaska Native Plants. *Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference*, 52–63.

Holloway, P., & Peterburs, M. R. (2009). Propagation of Twelve Alaska Native Plants by Summer Stem Cuttings. *Journal of Environmental Horticulture*, 27(4), 207–210.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. (2023). *Native Plants Database*. <https://www.wildflower.org/plants/>

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society. (2023). *Germination Guide Plant List*. <https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

Pojar, J, & MacKinnon, A. (1994). *Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast: Washington, Oregon, British Columbia & Alaska*. B.C. Ministry of Forests and Lone Pine Publishing.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Zasada, J., & Stickney, P. (2008). *Spiraea L. spirea*. In F. T. Bonner & R. P. Karrfalt (Eds.), *The Woody Plant Seed Manual* (p. pp 1068-1070). United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Propagation Profiles

Scientific name: *Tripleurospermum maritimum*

Synonyms: see below

Common name (s): false mayweed

Family: Asteraceae

USDA PLANTS code: TRMA17

Duration: Annual, biennial, perennial


Growth habit: Forb/herb

Wetland indicator status: FAC

Taxonomic ambiguity persists with the recognized subspecies: *T. maritimum* ssp. *phaeocephala* (also called false mayweed, or Arctic wild chamomile/seaside chamomile, formerly recognized as *T. phaeocephalum*), which occurs on the arctic coast; *T. maritimum* ssp. *maritimum* (false mayweed), an introduced sub-species primarily occurring in WA and OR; and to a lesser extent *T. perforatum* (scentless chamomile, formerly known as *T. inodorum*), a widely-distributed species with non-native status throughout Pacific Northwest with reported occurrences in Alaska (Hultén, 1968).

In the literature species have been both combined or parsed despite unique ecology, distribution, and occurrence. Information presented below refers to the primary species *T. maritimum*, unless otherwise noted. Due to sparsity of information specific to that species, information for the aforementioned species may also be presented, as propagation characteristics may share similarities, with the caveat that unique propagation requirements for Alaska populations are likely.

Tripleurospermum phaeocephalum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

Tripleurospermum inodorum



Data usage licenses: Arctos ALA collections, Hultén's Flora, iNat

WEBB & ICKERT-BOND, 2022

Range maps were not available for other species/synonyms

Nearest propagation protocol:

No propagation protocol for *T. maritimum* was available. There was information for the Kotzebue Germplasm *T. maritimum* ssp. *phaeocephala*, developed by the Alaska Plant Materials Center, Palmer Alaska (Hunt & Wright, 2007) - USDA Zone 2b, 5a

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/plant-flyers/KotzebueGermplasmArcticWildChamomile.pdf>

Habitat description:

T. maritimum spp. *phaeocephala* is found on seashores (Hultén, 1968), sand, gravel, and among grasses along the arctic coast (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Created 2024, Kelly Sivy

Last updated: n/a

Propagation Profiles

Elevation range:

Unavailable.

Soil preferences and adaptations:

Coastal populations in Estonia have high salinity tolerance (Levinsh et al., 2021). In Alaska, *T. phaeocephalum* grows in medium well drained soil; Kotzebue germplasm will grow in a wide range of soils and drainage conditions (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Shade tolerance:

Unavailable.

Drought tolerance:

Unavailable.

Disturbance tolerance:

Unavailable.

Community interactions:

Unavailable.

Wildlife associations:

Revegetation with *T. maritimum* spp. *phaeocephala* can contribute to bird habitat (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Restoration value:

May do well in mineral soils, as very high tolerance of heavy metals in soil/substrate was found in Estonian coastal plant populations (Levinsh et al., 2021). The arctic species *T. phaeocephalum* is considered a colonizer recommended in reclamation and revegetation seed mixes (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

Primary reproductive mode:

Seed.

Seed type:

Orthodox (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

Ease of growing:

May persist as an annual, biennial, or perennial depending on site conditions (Holloway, 2024).

The Kotzebue Germplasm of *T. maritimum* spp. *phaeocephala* is reportedly vigorous and very easy to grow (Hunt & Wright, 2007).

The non-native *T. perforatum* spreads abundantly due to a lack of seed dormancy, persistent seed bank, and ability for 2nd year seeds to germinate throughout the growing season (Bowes et al., 1995).

Propagation Profiles

SEED

- **Collection recommendations**

Holloway (2024) writes of *T. maritimum* spp. *phaeocephala*:

"Contains daisy-like flowers with up to 50 individual disk flowers in a head. Each disk flower produces a single achene or fruit. Achene with tufted hairs. Harvest achenes when the entire head dries, becomes brown, and begins to fall apart. Long-season flowering means variable maturity rates. Hand harvest individual heads or clip with electric shears into a paper bag or onto a tray."

- **Seeds per/lb**

Unavailable.

- **Processing techniques, recommended equipment**

Dry on screens, rub against the screen to dislodge achenes and break up heads, or use a hammermill. An air/screen cleaner will separate achenes from chaff (Holloway, 2024).

- **Storage**

Seeds can persist in the soil for 5+ years (Holloway, 2024).

Seeds stored for 11 years are reported to achieve 73% germination (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023).

A European study of *T. inodorum* found that seeds were more likely to retain viability when buried 2 cm below the soil surface, compared to seeds remaining on the surface or only lightly covered (Jensen, 2009).

- **Scarification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Stratification requirements**

Unavailable.

- **Germination rates and techniques**

Germination of *T. maritimum* is reported at 94% when placed in 1% agar under a 12/12 hour thermoperiod of 23°C/9°C (SER, INSR, RBGK, 2023). Laboratory germination tests based on seeds of this species in the Czech Republic identified the following conditions:

"In laboratory experiments seeds germinated best at 15-28 deg C, with a moisture content of < 20% and 15-30% in soil and sand respectively and when surface sown. Germination was stimulated by NH₄-N and particularly by NO₃-N, but was not affected by various systemic herbicides" (Zemanek, 1970).

Recent trials investigating the mineral tolerances by Estonian populations of *T. maritimum* describe the following protocol for greenhouse propagation:

"Seeds were surface sterilized with 5% NaOCl, imbibed in water and germinated in autoclaved substrate (Garden Soil, Biolan, Finland) in plastic plant tissue culture containers in a growth cabinet. Established seedlings with the two true leaves were individually transplanted first to 250 mL plastic containers and after two weeks to 1.3 L plastic containers filled with a mixture of heat-

Propagation Profiles

treated substrate containing Garden Soil and quartz sand (1:1, v/v). Plants were cultivated in an experimental automated greenhouse with supplemented light ($380 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at the plant level) with 16 h photoperiod, day/night temperature 24/16 °C, and relative air humidity 60 to 70%. Substrate water content was kept at 50 to 60% using deionized water.” (levinsh et al., 2021).

Holloway (2024) writes, of *T. maritimum* ssp. *phaeocephala*:

“Outdoors, direct sow in autumn or spring. Cover lightly. Indoors, sow onto sterile seed starting mix. Germination is easy beginning in 8-14 days at 7°F (21°C).”

Germination tests on *T. perforatum* found that in oxygen deficient soils (O_2 concentrations at 20.9%, 15%, 10%, 5%, and 2.5%), scentless chamomile achieved highest germination in soils treated with 20.9% O_2 (Yasin & Yasin, 2019).

- **Establishment phase**

levnisch et al. (2021) investigated the effect of nutrient additions on growth of Estonia *T. maritimum*, finding that mineral nutrients in soil increased the dry weight up to 60%. Shoot biomass increased 20-30% with the addition of either calcium nitrate or ammonium sulphate as fertilizer. Mineral nutrients or ammonium sulphate additions had no effect on root growth.

- **Active growth phase**

Unavailable.

- **Hardening phase**

Unavailable.

- **Harvest, storage & shipping**

Unavailable.

VEGETATIVE PROPAGATION

- **Division**

T. maritimum ssp. *phaeocephala* plants may be propagated by crown division in spring, just as growth begins, or in autumn (Holloway, 2024).

REFERENCES

Bowes, G. G., Thomas, A. G., & Lefkovitch, L. P. (1995). Changes with time in the germination of buried scentless chamomile (*Matricaria perforata* Mérat) seeds. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 75(1), 277–281.

Holloway, P. S. (2024). *Personal communication*. Professor Emerita, Horticulture, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. <https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Propagation Profiles

Hunt, P., & Wright, S. (2007). *Kotzebue Germplasm arctic wild chamomile Tripleurospermum maritima Selected Class Release "Natural"* (Alaska Plant Materials Center Plant Flyer). Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture.

levinsh, G., Andersone-Ozola, U., Karlsons, A., & Osvalde, A. (2021). Tripleurospermum maritimum from a coastal shingle beach: Nitrophilic status, tolerance to salinity and heavy metals. *Environmental and Experimental Biology*, 19(4), 256–273.

Jensen, P. K. (2009). Longevity of seeds of four annual grass and two dicotyledon weed species as related to placement in the soil and straw disposal technique. *Weed Research*, 49(6), 592–601.

SER, INSR, RBGK. (2023). *Seed Information Database*. Society for Ecological Restoration, International Network for Seed Based Restoration and Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. <https://ser-sid.org/>

Webb, C., & Ickert-Bond, S. (2022). *Alaska Flora Quick Maps*, <<https://alaskaflora.org/qm/>>, using data from Hultén, E. (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*, <<https://arctos.database.museum/>> and <<https://www.inaturalist.org/>>, a product of US NSF grant 1759964 [dataset].

Yasin, M. author P. S., & Yasin, M. (2019). The Effect of Oxygen Concentration on the Germination of Some Weed Species under Control Conditions. *Weed Science*, 67(5), pp 580-588.

Zemanek, J. (1970). Effect of environmental factors and some chemicals on germination and emergence of the weed Tripleurospermum maritimum (L.) Sch. Bip. *Vedecke Prace Vyzkumnych Ustavu Rostlinne Vyroby v Praze-Ruzyni*, 16, 11–19.

Appendix B – Recommended Reading

Compiled by Kelly Sivy, Casey Greenstein, and Bonnie Bernard
Homer Soil and Water Conservation District

CONTENTS

National Native Seed Needs 1

Alaska Native Plant Organizations.....1

Online Databases.....1

Nursery Management 2

Seed Collection and Seed Production 3

Vegetated Mats 4

Equipment 5

Restoration 5

Field Guides 6

Alaska-specific Research 7

Items with (*) include catalog of taxon-specific propagation information, in addition to general reference.

National Native Seed Needs

National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2023). *An Assessment of Native Seed Needs and the Capacity for Their Supply: Final Report*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/26618>

Alaska Native Plant Organizations

Alaska Native Plant Society

A non-profit organization for the study and conservation of Alaska's native plants.

<https://aknps.org/>

Alaska Plant Materials Center

Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture

Serving Alaska's needs in the production of native plants and traditional crops.

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/index.htm>

Georgeson Botanical Garden

A nationally recognized botanical garden dedicated to plant culture and conservation, part of the School of Natural Resources and Extension at the University of Alaska Fairbanks.

<https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/>

Online Databases

Alaska Flora Quick Maps

<http://alaskaflora.org/qm/>

Alaska Plant Materials Center – Plant Flyers

Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/Pubsplantflyers.htm>

Hultén, E. (1968). *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories: A Manual of the Vascular Plants*. Stanford University Press. Available online at Flora of Alaska Project.

<https://alaskaflora.org/hulten/do>

Klinkenberg, B. (2020). E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia [eflora.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver.

<https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/>

Native Plants Journal
Online Journal Content Archive
<https://npj.uwpress.org/content/by/volume>

Native Plant Network
Reforestation, Nurseries and Genetics Resources – Propagation Protocols
<https://npn.rngr.net/propagation/protocols>

Native Plant Network
Reforestation, Nurseries and Genetics Resources – Publications
<https://rng.net/publications>

Ontario Rock Garden & Hardy Plant Society
Germination Guide Plant List
<https://onrockgarden.com/index.php/germination-guide/germination-guide>

Society for Ecological Restoration
Restoration Resource Center Project Database
<https://ser-rrc.org/project-database/?type=resource#app>

Society for Ecological Restoration
Seed Information Database
<https://ser-sid.org/>

United States Department of Agriculture. (2023). 2023 USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map.
<https://planthardiness.ars.usda.gov/>

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service
PLANTS Database
<https://plants.usda.gov/home>

Nursery Management

Dumroese, R. K., Landis, T. D. & Luna, T. (2021). *Raising Native Plants in Nurseries: Basic Concepts*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Rocky Mountain Research Stations. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-274.
https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_series/rmrs/gtr/rmrs_gtr274.pdf

Dumroese, R. K., Luna, T., & Landis, T. D., eds. (2008). *Nursery Manual for Native Plants: A Guide for Tribal Nurseries*. Volume One: Nursery Management. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Agriculture Handbook 730. Revised January 2022.
<https://www.fs.usda.gov/research/treesearch/33057>

- Haase, D. L., & Rose, R. (Eds.). (2001). *Native Plant: Propagation and Restoration Strategies*. In Proceedings of the Conference, December 12-13, 2001. Nursery Technology Cooperative, Oregon State University, Western Forestry and Conservation Association.
<https://nnp.rngr.net/publications/propagation-and-restoration-strategies>
- * Heger, M., Whitman, J., & Lonnee, D. (2011). *Growing Perennials in Cold Climates*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Landis, T. D., Dumroese, R. K., & Haase, D. L. (2010). *The Container Tree Nursery Manual, Volume 7: Seedling Processing, Storage, and Outplanting*. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Agricultural Handbook 674.
<https://www.fs.usda.gov/research/treesearch/35195>
- Landis, T., Tinus, R., & Barnett, J. (1998). *The Container Tree Nursery Manual. Volume 6, Seedling propagation*. USDA Forest Service. <https://nnp.rngr.net/publications/ctnm/volume-6>
- McNabb, K. (ed). (2019). *A Nursery Guide for the Production of Bareroot Hardwood Seedlings*. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Agricultural Handbook 733.
<https://rng.net/publications/a-nursery-guide-for-the-production-of-bareroot-hardwood-seedlings>
- Parks, N. M. (2023). Native Plant Nursery (Parts 1, 2, 3). In *Growing for Market* magazine. (Requires a magazine subscription, but the Homer Public Library has a hard copy.)
- * Rose, R., Chachulski, C., & Haase, D. (1998). *Propagation of Pacific Northwest Plants*. Oregon State University Press.

Seed Collection and Seed Production

- Alaska Natural Heritage Program, & Duffy, M. (2012). *Seeds of Success Summary of Collections 2002-2012 AK025, AK040, AK930*. https://accs.uaa.alaska.edu/wp-content/uploads/Seeds_of_Success_2002-2012_Summary_of_Collections.pdf
- * Alaska Plant Materials Center. (2004). *Guidelines for Planting Seeds of Alaska Native Plants from the Native Plant Nursery*.
<http://plants.alaska.gov/pdf/GuidelinesShortProtocolsfor2004Seed.pdf>
- * Banerjee, S. M., Creasey, K., & Gertzen, D. D. (n.d.). *Native Woody Plant Seed Collection Guide for British Columbia*. British Columbia Ministry of Forests Tree Improvement Branch.
https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/forestry/tree-seed/tree-seed-publications/native_woody_plant_seed_collection_guide.pdf

- * Bonner, F. T., & Karrfalt, R. P. (Eds.). (2008). *The Woody Plant Seed Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Agricultural Handbook 727. https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_series/wo/wo_ah727.pdf
- Bureau of Land Management. (2015). *Seeds of Success Bureau of Land Management Technical Protocol for the Collection, Study, and Conservation of Seeds from Native Plant Species*. https://www.blm.gov/sites/blm.gov/files/program_nativeplants_collection_quick%20links_technical%20protocol.pdf
- * Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Northern Interior of British Columbia*. Symbios Research & Restoration. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>
- * Corvallis Plant Materials Center, & Bartow, A. (2015). *Native Seed Production Manual for the Pacific Northwest*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/plantmaterials/orpmcpu12768.pdf>
- Densmore, R. V. (1979). *Aspects of the Seed Ecology of Woody Plants of the Alaska Taiga and Tundra*. Duke University. <https://www.frames.gov/catalog/6401>
- Justice, O. L., & Bass, L. N. (1978). *Principles and Practices of Seed Storage* (Agriculture Handbook No. 56). USDA Science and Education Administration. <https://nprn.rngr.net/publications/principles-and-practices-of-seed-storage/principles-and-practices-of-seed-storage-1978>
- Kolotelo, D. 1997. Anatomy & Morphology of Conifer Tree Seed. Forest Nursery Technical Series 1.1. British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Nursery and Seed Operations Branch. <https://rngn.net/publications/anatomy-and-morphology-of-conifer-tree-seed>
- Kolotelo, D., Van Steenis, E., Peterson, M., Bennett, R., Trotter, D., & Dennis, J. (2001). *Seed Handling Guidebook*. British Columbia Ministry of Forests Tree Improvement Branch. <https://nprn.rngr.net/publications/seed-handling-guidebook>

Vegetated Mats

- Sterrett, R. B., & Sydnor, T. D. (1977). *The Production of Ground Covers in a Sod-like Manner*. Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center. <file:///C:/Users/HSWCD/Downloads/hortsci-article-p492.pdf>
- Airhart, D. L., Falls, K. M., & Hosmer, T. (1983). Developing Wildflower Sods. *HortScience*, 18(1), 89–91. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.18.1.89>

- Emilsson, T. (2008). Vegetation development on extensive vegetated green roofs: Influence of substrate composition, establishment method and species mix. *Ecological Engineering*, 33(3), 265–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.05.005>
- Schindler, U, Müller, L., & Eulenstein, F. (2016). Hydraulic Performance of Horticultural Substrates—1. Method for Measuring the Hydraulic Quality Indicators. *Horticulturae*, 3(5). <file:///C:/Users/HSWCD/Downloads/horticulturae-03-00005.pdf>
- Schindler, U., & Müller, L. (2016). Hydraulic Performance of Horticultural Substrates—2. Development of an Evaluation Framework. *Horticulturae*, 3(6). <file:///C:/Users/HSWCD/Downloads/horticulturae-03-00006.pdf>
- Schindler, U., Lischeid, G., & Müller, L. (2017). Hydraulic Performance of Horticultural Substrates—3. Impact of Substrate Composition and Ingredients. *Horticulturae*, 3(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae3010007>
- Sprague, C. Joel., & Sprague, J. E. (2016). *Testing and Specifying Erosion Control Products*. 10. <http://erosiontest.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/IECA16-TestingSpecgRECPs-Sprague.pdf>

Equipment

- Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Interior of Northern British Columbia*. Symbios Research & Restoration, Smithers, B.C. 168 p. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>
- Corvallis PMC. Native Seed Production Manual for the Pacific Northwest. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/plantmaterials/orpmcpu12768.pdf>
- Great Basin Fire Science Exchange. Revegetation Equipment Catalog. <https://revegetation.greatbasinfirescience.org/>

Restoration

- Alaska Department of Fish and Game. *Plant Care and Preparation: Dormant Cuttings—Streambank Revegetation and Protection: A Guide for Alaska*. <https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=streambankprotection.cuttings>
- Alaska Department of Fish and Game. *Revegetation Techniques: Live Staking—Streambank Revegetation and Protection: A Guide for Alaska*. <https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=streambankprotection.staking>

Burton, C. M., & Burton, P. J. (2003). *A Manual for Growing and Using Seed from Herbaceous Plants Native to the Interior of Northern British Columbia*. Symbios Research & Restoration, Smithers, B.C. 168 p. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib107640.pdf>

* Densmore, R. V., Vander Meer, M. E., & Dunkle, N. G. (2000). *Native Plant Revegetation Manual for Denali National Park and Preserve* (Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0006; p. 42 pp.). U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA396156.pdf>

Haase, D. L., & Rose, R. (Eds.). (2001). *Native Plant: Propagation and Restoration Strategies*. In Proceedings of the Conference, December 12-13, 2001. Nursery Technology Cooperative, Oregon State University, Western Forestry and Conservation Association. <https://npn.rngr.net/publications/propagation-and-restoration-strategies>

Orloff, N., M. Pokorny, J. Mangold, J. Marks, B. Christiaens, and S. Rogge. Revegetation Guidelines: Considering Invasive and Noxious Weeds. EBo242. November 2022. 44pp. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/plantmaterials/mtpmcpu13977.pdf>

*Smreciu, A., Gould, K., & Wood, S. (2013). *Boreal Plant Species for Reclamation of Athabasca Oil Sands Disturbances – Updated December 2014* (OSRIN Report No. TR-44). Oil Sands Research and Information Network, University of Alberta, School of Energy and the Environment. <https://era.library.ualberta.ca/items/1621b679-b3fd-4ce6-bf92-2dba9cb1bd3e>

Walter, J., Hughes, D., Moore, N., & Muhlberg, G. (2005). *Streambank Revegetation and Protection: A Guide for Alaska*. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/static/home/library/pdfs/habitat/98_03.pdf

Wright, S. J., & Czapla, P. K. (2013). *Alaska Coastal Revegetation & Erosion Control Guide*. State of Alaska Plant Materials Center. https://www.naturebob.com/sites/default/files/2013%20Wright%20%26%20Czapla%20Coastal-Reveg_web_v2.pdf

Wright, S. J., & Hunt, P. (2008). *Revegetation Manual for Alaska*. Division of Agriculture, Alaska Department of Natural Resources. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/RevegManual.pdf>

Field Guides

Carter, R. (2014). *Alaska Plant Materials Center Revegetation Field Guide—Revegetation Plant Identification*. Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Agriculture. <https://dnr.alaska.gov/ag/akpmc/pdf/RevegetationFieldGuide.pdf>

- Collet, D. M. (2002). *Willows of Southcentral Alaska*. US Fish and Wildlife Service.
<https://www.kenaiwatershed.org/resources/willows-scak/>
- Johnson, D., Kershaw, L. J., MacKinnon, A., & Pojar, J. (1995). *Plants of the Western Boreal Forest & Aspen Parkland*. Lone Pine Publishing and the Canadian Forest Service.
- Pojar, J., & MacKinnon, A. (1994). *Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast: Washington, Oregon, British Columbia & Alaska*. B.C. Ministry of Forests and Lone Pine Publishing.
- Kari, P. R. (2020). *Den'ina K'et'una/Tanaina Plantlore: An Ethnobotany of the Dena'ina People of Southcentral Alaska*. University of Alaska Press.
- Skinner, Q. D., Wright, S. J., Henszey, J. L., Henszey, R. J., & Wyman, S. K. (2012). *A Field Guide to Alaska Grasses*. Education Resources Publishing.
- Tande, G., & R. Lipkin. (2003). *Wetland Sedges of Alaska*. Alaska Natural Heritage Program, Environment and Natural Resources Institute. https://accs.uaa.alaska.edu/wp-content/uploads/Wetland_Sedges_Alaska.pdf

Alaska-specific Research

- Downing, J. (1996). *Native Plant Materials for Economic Development in Southeast Alaska* [Thesis, School of Agriculture and Land Resources Management, University of Alaska Fairbanks]. <https://scholarworks.alaska.edu/handle/11122/2812>
- Holloway, P. (1985a). *Propagation of Alaska Native Plants*. Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference, 52–63. https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1985.Holloway.prop_ak_native.plants.pdf
- Holloway, P. (1985b). *Propagation of Woody Plants by Cuttings*. Proceedings of the 4th Alaska Greenhouse Conference. https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1985.Holloway.prop_woody_plants.pdf
- Holloway, P. (1986). *Summary of Germination Tests with Alaska Native Plants*. <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1987.-Holloway-seed-germ.pdf>
- Holloway, P. (1994a). *Collecting Alaska Native Wild Plants from Wild Stands* (No. 19; Georgeson Botanical Garden Notes). University of Alaska Fairbanks Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1994.-Holloway.-native-plants-note19.pdf>

Holloway, P. (1994b). *Tips on Collecting, Processing and Storing Seeds of Alaska Native Plants* (No. 18; Georgeson Botanical Garden Notes). University of Alaska Fairbanks Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1994.-Holloway-seeds.pdf>

* Holloway, P., & Gauss, V. (2021). *Wildflowers for Northern Gardens*. A.F. Farmer, LLC.

Holloway, P. S., Wagner, P. J., Matheke, G. E. M., & Gibson, Jane. (1998). *Perennial Plant Trials at the Georgeson Botanical Garden* (Circular 114). University of Alaska Fairbanks. <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1998.-Holloway-Wagner-Matheke-Gibson.pdf>

Zasada, J. C., Holloway, P., & Densmore, R. V. (1978). Considerations for the Use of Hardwood Stem Cuttings in Surface Management Programs. In M. Evans (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Symposium Surface Protection through Prevention of Damage (Surface Management) Focus: The Arctic Slope*. Bureau of Land Management, Alaska State Office. <https://georgesonbotanicalgarden.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/1977.Holloway.zasada.cuttings.pdf>

Appendix C – Collection Permits

Written by Bonnie Bernard
Homer Soil and Water Conservation District

CONTENTS

Land Ownership.....	1
Personal, Commercial and Scientific Use	1
Major Landowners in Alaska	1
Federally Owned & Managed Lands.....	1
US Forest Service (USFS)	1
Bureau of Land Management (BLM)	3
US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Includes National Wildlife Refuges	3
National Park Service (NPS).....	4
Department of Defense (DOD), includes USAF Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson	4
State Owned and Managed Lands.....	5
AK Department of Natural Resources (AK DNR), Division of Parks & Outdoor Recreation (Includes State Parks).....	5
AK Department of Natural Resources (AK DNR), Division of Mining, Land & Water (DMLW)	5
Other Lands Owned/ Managed by State of Alaska Entities	6
Borough, Municipal, and Other Locally Owned Lands.....	6
Matanuska-Susitna Boroughs	6
Kenai Peninsula Borough	7
Native Corporation Land	7
Ahtna, Inc.....	7
Chugach Alaska Corporation.....	7
Cook Inlet Region, Inc. (CIRI).....	7
Privately Owned Land	7

Land Ownership

The original geographic scope of this research project was Southcentral Alaska, but as the project progressed, so did the spatial reach of our research. However, this section specifically addresses landownership in Southcentral, but many of the issues surrounding collection permits are applicable statewide. When in doubt, use a land ownership mapping tool and contact the land manager before any collections.

In general, persons wishing to collect plant material for scientific study or commercial use should first determine who owns the land from which they plan to collect, and then contact the corresponding management office. It is the individual's responsibility to know and abide by the laws that apply to a given collection location. The Kenai Peninsula Borough maintains a robust collection of geospatial resources, including an online map tool that can be used to look up landowner information for parcels within the borough. Similar tools are available for the Matanuska-Susitna Borough. At the statewide level, the BLM maintains a geodatabase that more coarsely maps Federal, State, Native, and private ownership.

- Kenai Peninsula Borough: <https://geohub.kpb.us/>
- Matanuska-Susitna Borough: <https://data.matsugov.us>
- Statewide (BLM Lands, Minerals, and Realty): <http://sdms.ak.blm.gov/isdms/imf.jsp>

Personal, Commercial and Scientific Use

In September of 2023, the Alaska Native Plant Society published a thorough guide (<https://aknps.org/wp-content/uploads/NativePlantSourceList.pdf>) to collecting plant materials for personal use. Though collecting native plant materials for the purposes of production falls outside most agencies' definitions of personal use, it isn't always clearly addressed by commercial use policies either. Furthermore, collecting plant materials for horticultural research may be better addressed by a scientific permit, rather than a personal or commercial permit. If in doubt, contact the land management agency's local office, and ask them about their permitting requirements. They are the best source of information about their agency's regulations.

Major Landowners in Alaska

Basic permitting information for major landowners in Southcentral Alaska is summarized below. Additional maps and resources are linked where available. Please note that this guide is intended to serve as a starting point; it does not authorize collection of plant materials. Parties interested in plant materials collection are strongly advised to consult the landowner before harvesting.

Federally Owned & Managed Lands

US Forest Service (USFS)

Permits to collect plant material from National Forest lands can typically be obtained at a USDA Forest Service District Office. Depending on the size and intended use of the collection, a Forest Product Removal Permit (FS-2400-1) or a Forest Products Free Use Permit (FS-2400-8) will likely

be appropriate. Permit types, costs, and stipulations may also vary between regions, and Forest Service personnel can help collectors determine which permit is best suited for their collection goals. It is important to work with the local district office to ensure that collectors are aware of all terms and specifications of their permits, including any seasonal restrictions, any species with protected status, and/or any special management areas where harvest is prohibited.

There is additional statutory guidance specifically for Southcentral Alaska: <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2000/03/10/00-5581/special-forest-products-resource-management-in-the-alaska-region>, plus a 2002 Categorical Exclusion Decision Memo on Special Forest Products for the Seward and Glacier Ranger Districts. These indicate that for non-commercial personal use, most activities don't require a permit, but individuals are "expected to exercise reasonable care in protecting the resource from damage." In most personal use cases we do not require a 2400-8 permit, but individuals can always apply for one if they care to.

Personal Use Permits

Individuals collecting a small amount of plant material for personal use may be allowed to harvest under a Forest Products Free-Use Permit (FS-2400-8) if "supply is not limited and value is low." Individuals collecting plant materials under a Forest Products Free-Use Permit are specifically prohibited from selling or exchanging material harvested under that permit. If collecting large volumes of plant material, a Forest Product Removal Permit (FS-2400-1) is needed.

Commercial Use Permits

For persons interested in collecting plant materials for a native plant business, a Forest Product Removal Permit (FS-2400-1) is likely more appropriate. This permit authorizes small commercial and larger-scale personal collections that are "expected to have limited resource impacts," and they allow collectors to remove forest products, such as plant materials, when such removal would otherwise be illegal. The standard fee for this permit is \$20, though larger or more complicated collection requests may incur additional charges.

Obtaining Permits

To obtain permits, collectors should visit and/or contact the district office that corresponds to the region in which they intend to collect. Forest Service staff can help determine which permit is right for your intended use. Chugach National Forest is divided into three large Ranger Districts, with district offices in Girdwood, Seward, and Cordova. Additional information may be found via the following links:

<https://www.fs.usda.gov/wildflowers/ethics/permit.shtml>

<https://www.fs.usda.gov/main/chugach/passes-permits>

Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

On most BLM lands, small volumes of plant material can be collected for personal use without a permit. However, commercial use harvest of plant materials requires a permit, or even a contract. General information about collecting on BLM land is available at <https://www.blm.gov/Learn/Can-I-Keep-This>.

Personal Use Permits

On BLM lands, plant materials such as seeds or transplants are referred to as “special forest products.”

In Alaska, Free Use Permits may be issued for materials that are for personal use; materials collected under a Free Use Permit cannot be bartered or sold. BLM does not permit the taking of cuttings or transplants without a permit, but BLM does allow for limited seed collection (100 seeds per species per year) without a permit for personal use.

Commercial Use Permits

Any research or commercial use of seeds, cuttings, or transplants from BLM lands requires a Forest and Wood Products Permit. Some additional information is available at the following link, but collectors will ultimately need to contact their local Alaska BLM office for more information and necessary forms: <https://www.blm.gov/programs/natural-resources/forests-and-woodlands/forest-product-permits>

Obtaining Permits

Collectors are encouraged to contact their local Alaska BLM office before harvesting, even if only making personal collections, as some areas may be closed to certain uses. An interactive map of BLM lands in Alaska is available at <http://sdms.ak.blm.gov/isdms/imf.jsp>.

US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Includes National Wildlife Refuges

Personal Use Permits

Personal use collection of whole plants or plant cuttings is allowed in non-wilderness areas but prohibited within wilderness areas. Collectors are encouraged to visit refuge headquarters or visitor centers to ensure that no special restrictions exist for their region or species of interest, and to obtain up-to-date maps of designated public use areas.

Commercial Use Permits

Some commercial or research activities may only be authorized on National Wildlife Refuge lands only with a Special Use Permit. These required permits help ensure that the permitted activity is consistent with the refuge’s wildlife conservation goals, and permit fees are collected to help cover the cost of this evaluation. Special Use Permits are subject to specific conditions and may place limitations on use locations, timing, etc.

Obtaining Permits

Persons interested in commercial use harvest of plant material should contact a refuge office or the refuge manager to determine if such harvest is appropriate on the refuge in question.

Information about permits can be found at:

<https://fwsepermits.servicenowservices.com/fws>

Additional information about Special Use Permits can be found at:

<https://www.fws.gov/service/special-use-permits-national-wildlife-refuges>

National Park Service (NPS)

Removing plant materials from lands owned or managed by the National Park Service (NPS) is generally prohibited, and collection permits are issued only under very limited circumstances. Title 36, Chapter I, Part 2.1 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) dictates that “possessing, destroying, injuring, defacing, removing, digging, or disturbing from its natural state plants or the parts or products thereof” is an expressly prohibited use of National Park Service resources. It also specifically prohibits the unauthorized removal of natural products from the park area, and the sale or commercial use of natural products (36 CFR 2.1).

Personal Use Permits

There is currently no known avenue for the issuance of personal use permits for plant material collection on National Park Service lands.

Commercial or Scientific Use Permits

There is currently no known avenue for the issuance of commercial use permits for plant material collection on National Park Service lands. Only under extremely limited circumstances are park superintendents authorized to issue specimen collection permits for scientific use. A collection permit will not be issued if the specimen “is readily available outside of the park area” (36 CFR 2.5). As such, none of these circumstances are likely to cover collection for horticultural research or cultivation.

Obtaining Permits

The removal of plant materials from NPS lands can only be authorized by the park superintendent under extremely rare circumstances. This is not expected to be a fruitful path of inquiry for collectors looking to start a native plant business.

Department of Defense (DOD), includes USAF Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson

No plant material collection is allowed on Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, which is managed by the US Department of Defense (DOD).

State Owned and Managed Lands

AK Department of Natural Resources (AK DNR), Division of Parks & Outdoor Recreation (Includes State Parks)

The Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) Article 1 “State Park Land and Water Restrictions” explicitly prohibits the removal of trees and plants from state park lands, except for personal consumption of berries or other edible plants; or unless authorized by special permit.

Information on the types of permits available, as well as application guidance, is available at: <https://dnr.alaska.gov/parks/permit/index.htm>

AK Department of Natural Resources (AK DNR), Division of Mining, Land & Water (DMLW)

On AK DNR lands managed by the Division of Mining, Land, and Water (DMLW), personal use collection of plant materials is covered under “generally allowed uses.” Commercial use harvest of plant materials from any general state land requires an official permit obtained from the DMLW. Please note that this permit from DMLW does not cover collection on State Park land, or on land managed by other state agencies.

Personal Use Permits

Title 11, Chapter 96 of the Alaska Administrative code allows for the harvest of small quantities of wild plants or other plant material for personal (non-commercial) use, under the provisions of “generally allowed uses” (11 AAC 96.020). Please note that operating under the “generally allowed uses” requires compliance with the conditions set out in 11 AAC 96.025. Under these provisions, personal use plant material collection does not require a permit from DMLW, but it may be restricted in certain special management areas or on Special Use Land.

A list of Special Use Lands is provided on the DMLW website: <https://dnr.alaska.gov/mlw/lands/special-use-areas/>

For more information on “generally allowed uses,” please reference the following DMLW fact sheet:

<https://dnr.alaska.gov/mlw/cdn/pdf/factsheets/generally-allowed-uses.pdf>

Commercial Use Permits

The DMLW defines “commercial use” of plant materials as “harvested for the primary purpose of sale, resale, or use in a manufacturing process resulting in a product that will be sold or used for business activities.” Commercial use collection of plant material can be authorized through the AK DNR Non-Timber Forest Product (NTFP) Commercial Harvesting Permit. In cooperation with Cooperative Extension, AK DNR has also produced a Harvest Manual, which provides harvest limits and protocols for different plant material types to ensure sustainable management.

Obtaining Permits

An official permit must be obtained from DMLW for commercial use harvest on any general state land. This permit requires a \$160 fee, the maintenance of harvest records, and submission of an

end-of-season report. Detailed application information, instructions, and the full harvest manual can be found at: <https://dnr.alaska.gov/mlw/lands/permitting/ntfp-commercial-harvest-permit/>

Other Lands Owned/ Managed by State of Alaska Entities

It is important to note that the NTFP Commercial Harvest Permit issued by DMLW does not cover land owned or managed by state parks or other state agencies.

Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF)

In many cases, AK DOT&PF highways and rights-of-way function as easements through lands owned by other entities. As such, authorization or permits for plant materials collection must be issued by the owner of the parcel through which a road or right-of-way passes. However, interested parties should also work with DOT&PF to ensure that collection will not impact road use or DOT&PF operations.

University of Alaska (UA)

The University of Alaska is considered a private owner, and its lands are not considered public domain lands. All extractive uses require prior authorization via submission of a Land Use Application Form. More info about this application is available at <https://www.alaska.edu/ualand/permits/land-use.php>.

Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority

Commercial uses of land held by the Alaska Mental Health Trust require authorization via a Land Use Application Form, available at <https://tlo.dnr.alaska.gov/tloforms/LandUseApplication/>, and a \$500 non-refundable application fee. Additional information and guidance about the application process is available at <https://alaskamentalhealthtrust.org/trust-land-office/land-sales/land-use-application/>.

Alaska Railroad (ARRC)

Access to Alaska Railroad property requires prior authorization and issuance of a permit. Information about these permits is available at <https://www.alaskarailroad.com/real-estate/permitting>.

Borough, Municipal, and Other Locally Owned Lands

Matanuska-Susitna Boroughs

Collection of plant materials for personal use is not authorized without prior approval via a Personal Intermittent Use Permit. The application costs \$25 per person, and the permit restricts use to seven consecutive days or 21 total days in a twelve-month period. Commercial use of Mat-Su Borough land requires a Commercial Permit Application. Both permit applications are available online at https://matsugov.us/document?search_tags=132.

Kenai Peninsula Borough

The KPB Land Management Division considers personal use harvest of berries, mushrooms, and dead trees as allowable casual uses of borough land and provides guidelines for responsible harvest. However, before collecting other plant materials for personal use, parties should contact the KPB Land Management Division to ensure that their intended activity falls under casual use. Maps and more information about casual use is available at <https://www.kpb.us/landmgt/casual-use-of-borough-land>.

Commercial harvest of non-timber plant materials on KPB lands is not explicitly addressed. Parties interested in collecting for commercial use should contact the KPB Land Management Division to determine the appropriate process for obtaining commercial use authorization.

Native Corporation Land

A number of Native Corporations also own and manage lands throughout Southcentral Alaska. Each Native corporation has different land use policies, but many require users to obtain permits for any type of land access. Depending on the corporation, plant material harvest may not be allowed.

Ahtna, Inc.

Information related to general access of Ahtna lands, as well as contact information for commercial use permitting is available at <https://www.ahtna.com/lands/land-permits/>.

Chugach Alaska Corporation

No permitting information is available for Chugach Alaska Corporation currently.

Cook Inlet Region, Inc. (CIRI)

Permitting is required for all CIRI land use. Unauthorized use of CIRI land constitutes trespassing. Persons wishing to access CIRI land are advised to contact the CIRI Land and Resources Department to learn more about land use policies. Information about permit requirements is available at <https://www.ciri.com/our-corporation/ciri-lands/permitting/>.

Privately Owned Land

On private land, plant material collection is not allowed without explicit landowner authorization.

Appendix D – Survey/Interview Questions

Compiled by Casey Greenstein and Jake Egelhoff
Homer Soil and Water Conservation District

Homer Soil and Water Conservation District's Native Plant Materials Survey

Homer Soil and Water is collecting data on native plants and would love your feedback!

We will use this data to build collaborations, honor expertise in native plant propagation, understand potential supply and demand, and collect background information. These questions are intended to help determine how Homer Soil and Water can best help move forward the statewide native plants program, while specifically focusing on Southcentral Alaska.

* Indicates required question

1. Email *

2. Your name *

3. Name of your organization

Background questions

4. Rank your level of interest in working with native plants, on a scale of 1-5

Mark only one oval per row.

	1	2	3	4	5
Level of interest	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

5. How would you describe the current status of how your organization utilizes native plants, and the goals you have for the future?

6. What resources do you have available regarding infrastructure, land, transportation, expertise, and workforce to implement native plants?

7. What expertise do you currently have in-house regarding botany and restoration? Do you have a need or interest in hiring someone with expertise?

8. Would you or your organization like to be involved in the Alaska Native Plants Program? If so, how would you like to be involved?

9. What services or support would you like the Alaska Native Plants program to provide? (species-specific and education-specific questions to follow)

10. Have you spoken with any partner agencies, individuals or groups about native plant production? If so, with whom, and what was discussed?

Once we collect some baseline data on native plant needs, a separate economic feasibility study will be done by a contractor. Briefly summarize the following, and be prepared to talk more in depth on these topics with the economic contractor.

- Funds available
- Funds pending
- Funding sources
- Funding limitations
- Transportation costs
- Staffing rates
- Contracting rates

11. Summarize below.

12. If available, how much would your organization be willing to pay for genetically appropriate, local plants and seeds (as opposed to out-of-state seed mixes, or nonnative nursery plants)?

In contrast, if your organization produces these products, at what rate would you expect to sell?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ No more than what we already pay for out-of-state materials
- ☐ Up to 10% more
- ☐ Up to 25% more
- ☐ Up to 50% more
- ☐ Up to 100% more
- ☐ Not sure
- ☐ Other: _____

13. The Alaska Weed-Free Forage and Gravel Program has faced similar challenges that the Native Plants Program is now addressing. Namely, chicken-and-egg issues with supply and demand, and how this influences policy. To best learn from past experience, we'd like to know if you're familiar with the Weed-Free Forage and Gravel Program, and are you likely to use it?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Not familiar
- ☐ Somewhat familiar
- ☐ Familiar
- ☐ Yes, would use it
- ☐ No, would not use it

14. If you answered that you would not use weed-free forage or gravel, why not? What are potential barriers to implementation?

15. Do you have any thoughts or comments on policy regarding potential native plant material requirements or related issues?

16. What sort of materials would your organization be interested in, with regard to native plant knowledge? (e.g. formats, such as guidebooks, single page flyers, web resources; or topics, such as propagation, restoration, economic breakdowns)

Demand Intro

The next section is applicable to organizations that have restoration projects planned or in progress, and addresses demand for native plants. Please answer no if this does not apply, in order to skip this section.

17. Does your organization have a need for native plant materials?

Mark only one oval.

☐ Yes

☐ No *Skip to question 40*

Demand Questions

18. Do you have project(s) planned or in progress currently that need native plant materials? If so, what square footage or acreage needs planting?

19. Do you use any of the materials listed below for projects with your organization?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Native Seed
- ☐ Non-native Seed
- ☐ Native plant materials
- ☐ Non-native plant materials

20. What geographic region does your organization work in? You can write in a description of where you work, or refer to [Level III Ecoregions of Alaska](#) and choose from the list below.

If you have projects planned or in progress in these regions, please make note in the answer box below.

Check all that apply.

- ☐ 113: Alaska Peninsula Mountains (Kodiak Island)
- ☐ 115: Cook Inlet
- ☐ 116: Alaska Range
- ☐ 117: Copper Plateau (Copper River Basin)
- ☐ 118: Wrangell Mountains
- ☐ 119: Pacific Coastal Mountains (Chugach-St. Elias)
- ☐ 120: Coastal Western Hemlock-Sitka Spruce Forests (Gulf of Alaska Coast, includes Homer and Seldovia)
- ☐ Statewide
- ☐ Other: _____

21. Include any notes on location, or projects planned or in progress.

22. What habitat type does your organization work in? You can write in a description or choose from the list below.

If you have projects planned or in progress in these habitats, please make note in the answer box below.

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Boreal forest
- ☐ Coastal rainforest
- ☐ Rivers and Lakes
- ☐ Wetlands
- ☐ Coastal
- ☐ Disturbed areas (roadsides, urban, industrial, residential, etc.)
- ☐ Other: _____

23. Include any notes on habitat type, or projects planned or in progress.

24. If you have projects planned or in progress what is the approximate elevation?

25. Optional: define area by Level II Viereck code

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Needleleaf forest
- ☐ Broadleaf forest
- ☐ Mixed forest
- ☐ Dwarf tree scrub
- ☐ Tall scrub
- ☐ Low scrub
- ☐ Dwarf scrub
- ☐ Graminoid herbaceous
- ☐ Forb herbaceous
- ☐ Bryoid herbaceous
- ☐ Aquatic herbaceous
- ☐ Other: _____

26. Material needs

What types of plant material do you need? Choose:

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Graminoids (grasses, sedges, rushes)
- ☐ Forbs (non-woody herbaceous flowering plants)
- ☐ Shrubs/subshrubs
- ☐ Trees
- ☐ Other: _____

27. How much plant material do you need (# of plants, pounds of seed), as they apply to the plant categories above?

28. What type of plant would be most useful to you?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Seed
- ☐ Hydroseed
- ☐ Seedlings in plugs or pots (3 month - 2 years old; graminoids, forbs, shrubs, or trees)
- ☐ Woody plant cuttings (e.g. willow stakes)
- ☐ Saplings or young shrubs in soil
- ☐ Bare root trees or shrubs
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least two years
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least five years
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown more than five years
- ☐ Vegetation mats, sod
- ☐ Other: _____

29. What are your most commonly needed plant species? Are there other specific species you would like to have available?

30. Timelines for future work

Check all that apply.

- ☐ This year
- ☐ 1-3 years out
- ☐ 3+ years out
- ☐ Other: _____

31. Time of year that seeds/plant materials are needed

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Spring and summer (for outplanting)
- ☐ Fall (for outplanting, direct sowing of seeds needing cold stratification)
- ☐ Other: _____

32. How do you currently acquire plants/seeds?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Grow your own
- ☐ Salvage
- ☐ Wild harvest
- ☐ Purchase from retailer in-state
- ☐ Purchase from retailer out-of-state
- ☐ Contractor
- ☐ Giveaways
- ☐ Other: _____

33. If you chose purchase in-state, out-of-state, or contractor, please include name.

34. How do you expect your organization's need for native plant materials to change over the next 10 years?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Increase
- ☐ Decrease
- ☐ Stay the same
- ☐ Unknown
- ☐ Other: _____

35. Timelines

If it hasn't already been addressed, summarize projects that are

- Pending
- In progress
- Completed (what has your historical use of plant material looked like?)

36. For past projects, were you able to acquire the amount and type of native seed/plant materials you needed?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No (why not? see next question)

37. If no, please choose from below, or elaborate in the "Other" category.

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Were native species too expensive?
- ☐ Were natives not available within project timeline?
- ☐ Were natives not available at all?
- ☐ Were other natives substituted for preferred natives?
- ☐ Were non-native species substituted for native ones?
- ☐ Other: _____

38. Project purpose

What types of projects do you - or do you expect to - need plant materials for?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Post-fire rehabilitation
- ☐ Resource extraction reclamation
- ☐ Mitigation (describe below)
- ☐ Erosion control and stabilization
- ☐ Roadside vegetation
- ☐ Weed exclusion
- ☐ Improve habitat diversity
- ☐ Pollinator support
- ☐ Restoration of wildlife habitat
- ☐ Landscaping
- ☐ Other: _____

39. If needed, explain project purpose or elaborate.

Salvage

The next section is applicable to organizations or individuals that 1) have areas scheduled for disturbance where plants could be salvaged beforehand, 2) potentially have the capacity to salvage plants, or 3) have experience with native plant salvage. Please answer no if this does not apply, in order to skip this section.

40. Do you have experience with plant salvage?

Mark only one oval.

☐ Yes

☐ No *Skip to question 48*

Salvage questions

41. Salvage opportunities

Do you have any upcoming projects that will cause ground disturbance?

Mark only one oval.

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Possibly

42. Do you have capacity in your organization to salvage native plants before disturbing the ground?

Mark only one oval.

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Possibly

43. Would you need staffing or technical guidance in that process?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Staffing
☐ Guidance

44. Do you have a site in a similar ecosystem that's in need of restoration/revegetation?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Possibly

45. If yes, do you plan to salvage and replant between sites?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Possibly
☐ Not applicable

46. If no, would you consider salvaging plants to share with another land management organization, contractor, or individuals?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Possibly
☐ Not applicable

47. Any additional thoughts on plant salvage?

Supply Intro

The next section is applicable to organizations that collect or grow native plant materials, and addresses supply of native plants. Please answer no if this does not apply, in order to skip this section.

48. Does your organization supply native plant materials?

Mark only one oval.

☐ Yes

☐ No *Skip to question 57*

Supply questions

49. Location

Will you be growing or collecting native plant materials?

Check all that apply.

☐ Growing

☐ Collecting

☐ Neither

☐ Unsure

☐ Other: _____

50. If growing on a farm, describe geographic location, elevation, aspect, habitat type, soil characteristics, sun exposure, etc.

51. If harvesting wild materials, what ecoregion are you collecting from? Are you able to tailor collections to meet demand?

52. Experience

What's your experience with propagating native plants? Choose:

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Little to no propagation experience -- would appreciate help from the native plants program
- ☐ Experience with agricultural or ornamental crops, but not with natives
- ☐ Some propagation experience -- successfully done native plant propagation
- ☐ Extremely experienced in native plant propagation

53. What types of plant material are you interested in producing?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ Seed
- ☐ Hydroseed
- ☐ Seedlings in plugs or pots (3 month - 2 years old; graminoids, forbs, shrubs, or trees)
- ☐ Woody plant cuttings (e.g. willow stakes)
- ☐ Saplings or young shrubs in soil
- ☐ Bare root trees or shrubs
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least two years
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown at least five years
- ☐ Trees or shrubs grown more than five years
- ☐ Vegetation mats, sod
- ☐ Other: _____

54. What specific species do you grow? What species are you interested in growing?

55. What is your current and/or projected production quantities?

56. Infrastructure

What do you have, and what do you need for infrastructure? For example:

- Capillary beds, in-ground planting, high tunnels
- Square footage of high tunnels, acres of land
- Power, water, irrigation, equipment, materials

Propagation expertise

The next section is applicable to organizations that have propagation expertise. Please answer no if this does not apply, in order to skip this section.

57. Do you have propagation expertise?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Yes *Skip to question 58*
- ☐ No *Skip to question 68*

Propagation expertise questions

58. Are you willing to share your expertise, either with the Native Plants program or with other individuals or organizations?

Check all that apply.

- ☐ With the Native Plants program
- ☐ With others
- ☐ Possibly/conditionally

59. What species have you had a high success rate with propagating?

60. What species have you had a low success rate with propagating?

61. What methods do you use to overwinter plants and what have you seen as success or failures?

62. What equipment do you use, or would like access to, for seed processing and propagation?

63. If so, please describe below

64. Rank your skills and experience for each row, where:

1 - no skills/experience

2 - some skills/experience

3 - moderate skills/experience

4 - strong skills/experience

5 - expert-level skills/experience

Check all that apply.

	1	2	3	4	5
Seed collection (wild harvest)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Seed collection (agricultural/horticultural)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Cuttings collection (e.g. willow stakes)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Plant salvage (prior to disturbance)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Seed processing/cleaning	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Seed storage	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Stem storage	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Propagation from seed	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Propagation from cuttings	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Propagation from roots	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Propagation, other methods (e.g. grafting)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Propagation of plugs and pots	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bare root plants	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Propagation outdoors	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Propagation in greenhouse/high tunnel	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Storage techniques	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Prescribing seed mixes	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Creating hydroseed mixes	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Growing sod	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Growing vegetated mats	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Grasses, sedges, rushes	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Forbs	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Shrubs/subshrubs/trees	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Mosses	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Mycorrhizae	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other relevant skills/experiences (describe below)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

65. If you need to elaborate on any sections above, please do so below.

66. Vegetation mats are sheets of native plant material commonly used in restoration. Do you have any experience using or producing them?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Somewhat

67. If you answered yes, please elaborate below.

Final thoughts/notes

Please include any other thoughts you have for us below. Thank you for taking the time to do this survey! We greatly appreciate your input.

68. Notes:

Appendix E – Network Diagram

Compiled by Casey Greenstein with contributions from Jake Egelhoff
(Homer Soil and Water Conservation District)
and Lisa Dlugolecki
(US Fish and Wildlife Service)

